

ग्राधिकार से प्रकाशित PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

ਚਂ• 52] No. 52] नई फिल्सी, शतिवार, विसम्बर 25, 1982/पीच 4, 1904

NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, DECEMBER 25, 1982/PAUSA 4, 1904

इस भाग में भिन्न पृष्ठ संस्था को जाती है जिससे कि यह अलग संकान के रूप में रहा जा सके Separate paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a separate compilation

भाग II—वाड 3—उद-खण्ड (ii) PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (ii)

(रक्षा मंत्रालय को छोड़कर) मारत सरकार के मंत्रालयों द्वारा जारी किए गए सांविधिक बादेश बौर छिछसूचनाएं Statutory Orders and Notifications issued by the Ministries of the Government of India (other than the Ministry of Defence)

विधि, न्याय क्षीर कम्पनी कार्य संत्रालय (कम्पनी कार्य विद्यान)

नई दिल्ली, 9 दिसम्बर, 1983

कार आर 4284.---एक धिकार तया मनरोधक व्यापारिक विश्वापार प्राप्तिक विश्वापार विश्वापार

[संख्या 16/9/82-एम० III] चन्द्रकांप खुणालदास, निदेणक

MINISTRY OF LAW, JUSTICE & COMPANY AFFAIRS

(Department of Company Affairs)

New Delhi, the 9th December, 1982

S.O. 4284.—In pursuance of sub-section (3) of section 26 of the Monopolies and Restrictive Frade Practices Act, 1969 (54 of 1969), the Central Government hereby notifies the cancellation of the registration of M/s Oberoi Properties Private

Limited under the said Act (Certificate of Registration No. 1007/75).

[No. 16/9/82-M III] C. KHUSHALDAS, Director

रहेम्द्रीय प्रस्कक्ष कर बोर्ड

नई दिल्ली, 22 जुलाई, 1982 (भाय कर)

का लाल 4285 — केन्द्रीय प्रत्यक्ष कर बोर्ड, श्राय कर प्रधितियम 1961 (1961 का 43) की धारा 121 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रवत्त शिक्षयों का प्रयोग करते हुए समय-समय पर यथा मंशोधित अपनी अधिसूचना मंल 679 सारीख 20.7.74 का निम्निष्वित संशोधन करती है:

कम संख्यांक 19क भौर 19वा के सामने स्तम्त्र 1, 2 और 3 के नीचे विद्यमान प्रविष्टियों के स्थान पर निम्नाणिकित रखा जाएगा

धायकर घायुक्त	मुख्यालय	म धिकारिता
1	2	3
19 क कोलह	पुर कोल्हापुर	1. बारसी
		2. ईम्फलकरंजी

(4409)

1	2	3
1 श्ख नासिक	नासिक	 कोल्हापुर पंढारपुर रत्नागिरि गोलापुर सांगली मतारा ग्रहमदनगर ग्रीरंगाबाद भीड धूले जसगांव लटूर भालगांव नारिड नारिड

यह मधिसूचना 1.5.81 से प्रभावी होगी।

[सं॰ 4825/फा॰सं॰ 189/6/81-फ्रा॰क॰(ए॰ I)] वी॰ बी॰ श्रीनिवासन, सचिव

CENTRAL BOARD OF DIRECT TAXES

New Delhi, the 20th July, 1982 (INCOME-TAX)

S.O. 4285.—In exercise of the powers conferred by subsection (1) of Sectoin 121 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), the Central Board of Direct Taxes hereby makes the following amendments to its Notification No. 679 dated 20-7-74 as amended from time to time.

Existing entries under Column 1, 2 and 3 against Sl. No. 19A and 19B shall be substituted as follows —

Commissioner of Income-tax	Headquarters	Jurisdiction		
19A. Kolhapur	Kolhapur,	 Barsi. Ichalkaranji. Kolhapur. Pandharpur. Ratnagiri. Solapur. Sangli. Satara. 		
19B. Nasik.	Nasik.	 Ahmednagar. Aurangabad. Bhid. Dhule. Jalgaon. Latur. Malegaon. Nanded. Nasik. Parbhani. 		

This notification shall take effect from 1-5-81.

[No. 4825/F. No. 189/6/81-IT(AI)] V. B. SRINIVASAN, Secy.

केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क समाहतालय, कामपुर

(अधिसूचना सं० 4/1982)

कानगुर, 10 नवम्बर, 1982

थिपय: नियम 71(3) के अन्तर्गत, अधीनस्य अधिका रियों को समाहती की शक्तिओं का प्रत्यायोजन।

का० का० 4286--- . इस कार्यानय के पृथ्ठांकन सं० बी (30) 97/तकनीकी-111/81/10861, दिनांक 31-3-1981 के प्रन्तर्गत जारी की गई इस
समाहतीलय की प्रश्चिस्तना सं०-2/81, दिनांक 20-3-1981 जैसी
कि दिनांक 26-8-81 की प्रश्चिस्तना सं०-5/81 द्वारा संगोधित की गयी

हैं, में नियम 71 (3) के सामने प्रिमिवन्धों के रिक्त स्तम्भ स०-4 में परस्तुक के अन्तर्गत "शिथिलन (छूट) देने के सम्बन्ध में समाहर्ता स्वम विचार करेंगे। स्वीकृत हस्ताक्षर मिलान एवं आच पड़ताल के निये सहायक समाहर्ता को भेषों आने हैं," शब्दों को रखा जाना चाहिये।

[पत्नांक बी (8) तक ०-IV/362/82/37101] गुरबक्श सिंह, ग्रपर समाहर्ता

CENTRAL EXCISE COLLECTORATE KANPUR

NOTIFICATION NO. 4/1982

Kanpur, the 10th November, 1982

Subject.—Central Excises-Delegation of Collector's powers under rule 71(3) to subordinate officer.

S.O. 4286.—In this Collectorate Notification No. 2/81 dated 20-3-1981 circulated under this office endorsement C. No. V(30)97/Tech-III/81/10861 dated 31-3-81 and as amended by Notification No. 5/81 dated 26-8 81 against rule 71(3) in column (4) of limitations which was left blank, the words "relaxation under proviso to be exercised by the Collector personally. Approved speciments to be sent to Assistant Collector for comparison and check" should be inserted.

[C. No. V(8) TECH.IV/362/82/37101] GURBAX SINGH, Addl. Collector.

वाणिण्य मंत्रालय

उप मुख्य नियंत्रक आयात-निर्यात का कार्यालय, जयपुर 📳

जयपुर, 12 जुलाई, 1982

चां० आ० 4287: --- सर्वेश्री भारत कैमिकल एण्ड प्रायुवैधिक ववसे ढेर का वालाजी चोमू रोड जयपुर-302012 को एक प्रायान लाइसेंग सं० पी/एम/1921087/मी/xx 75/क्यू/80 दि० 21-6-80 वाम्ने 1,06,200/६० माज, प्रायान नीति प्रप्रैल-मार्च-81 के प्रपेनिक्स-5 में लिखित प्रमुमेय मदों के प्रायात हेतु फाइन-कैमिकल्म ग्रीर लैंबोचेटगे कैमीकल्म के उत्पाद हेतु जारी किया गया था 4

प्रावेदक ने प्रवं गपथ-पत्न प्रायात-निर्यात की कार्यविधि पुस्तिका 1982-83 के पैरा 353 के प्रन्तर्गत प्रस्तुत किया है जिसमें यह कहा गया है कि फा॰ सं॰ पी/एस/1921087 दि॰ 21-6-80 बास्ते 1,06,200/रू॰ मान्न प्रप्रैल-मार्च-81 के लिए, की मूल कस्टम हेतु कापी बस्बई कस्टम पर पंजीकृत होने तथा प्रांणिक रूप से 97,953/रू॰ के लिए इस्तेमाल होने के परचान खो गई है।

में सन्तुष्ट हूं कि उक्त लाइमेंस की मूल कस्टम हेतू कायी खा गई है।

भतः भावात-व्यापार नियंत्रण भ्राषेश 1955 दि॰ 7-12-55 (यथा संगोधित) की भ्रारा 9(cc) में प्रदत्त भिधकारों का प्रयोग करने हुए मैं उपरोक्त लाइसेंस सं॰ पी/एस 1921087 दि॰ 21-6-80 बास्ते 106,200/द० मात्र की कस्टम हेत् कापी को निरस्त करता है।

भावेवक की प्रार्थेना पर भ्रव भ्रायात-निर्यात की कार्य विधि पुस्तिका 1982-83 के पैरः 351 से 354 के भन्तर्गेस ला० स०पी/एस/1921087 दि० 21-6-80 व.स्ते 1,06,200/द० मान्न की कस्टम कापी की मनु-लिष (इ्प्लीकेट कापी) जारी की जा रही है।

[भि॰ सं॰ एस एस भाई/इंग्जिस्ट/झोटो/2/ए एम-81/सेक II/एय्/डी सी सी झाई एण्ड ई/राज]

> एस० के० वत्ता, उप मुख्य नियंत्रक भागत-निर्यात

MINISTRY OF COMMERCE

(Office of the Deputy Chief Controller of Imports & Exports)

Jaipur, the 12th July, 1982

CANCELLATION ORDER

S.O. 4287.—M/s. Bharat Chemical and Ayurvedae Works, Dher Ka Balaji, Chomu Road, Jaipur-302012 were granted Import Licence No. P[S]1921037[C]XX/75/Q/80 dated 21-6-1980 for Rs. 1,06,200 only for import of permissible items of Appendix-5 of Policy Book for AM. 81 required for manufacture of fine Chemicals, and Laboratory Chemicals.

The applicant has filed an affidavit as required under para 353 of Hand Book of Import Export Procedure 1982-83, wherein they have stated that Original Customs Purposes Copy of Licence No. P/S/1921087 dated 21-6-1980 for Rs. 1,06,200 only for AM 81 period has been misplaced/lost having been Registered with Bombay Customs House and utilised partly for Rs. 97,953.

I am satisfied that the original custom purpose copy of said licence has been lost/misplaced.

In exercise of the powers conferred on me under section 9(cc) of Import Trade Control order 1955 dated 7th Dec., 1955 as amended upto dated the Custom Purpose Copy of the licence No. P/S/1921087 dated 21-6-1980 for Rs. 1,06,200 only is hereby cancelled.

The applicant is now being issued duplicate Custom Purpose Copy of Import Licence No. P/S/1921087 dated 21-6-1980 for the CIF value of Rs. 1,06,200 only in accordance with the provision of para 351 to 354 of Hand Book of Import Export Procedure of 1982-83.

[F. No. SSI|Exist|Auto|2]AM, 81/Sec. II/AU/-DCCI&E/RAJ/7]

S. K. DUTTA, Dy. Chief Controller of Imports and Exports.

वित्त मंत्रालय

(राजस्य विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 27 ग्रगस्त, 1982

(आय-कर)

का॰ आ॰ 4288.—केन्द्रीय सरकार, प्राय-कर प्रधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43) की घारा 10 की उपधारा (23-ग) के खण्ड (iv) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, "राजस्थान पुलिस कार्मिक कल्याण न्यास" को निर्धारण वर्ष 1982-83 और 1984-85 के बन्तर्गत भाने थाली सर्वधि के लिए उक्त धारा के प्रयोजनार्थ प्रधिसुचिन करती है।

| र्स॰ 4890 / फा॰र्स॰ 197/166/82 आ॰ क (ए I)]

MINISTRY OF FINANCE

(Department of Rovenue)

New Delhi, the 27th August, 1982

(INCOME-TAX)

S.O. 4288.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (iv) of sub-section (23C) of the Section 10 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), The Central Government hereby notifies "The Rajasthan Police Personnel Welfare Trust" for the purpose of the said section for the period covered by the assessment years 1982-83 and 1984-85.

[No. 4890/F. No. 197/166/82-IT(AI)]

नई दिल्ली, 31 भगस्त, 1982

(आय-कर)

का • आ • 4289 -- केन्द्रीय सरकार, भाय-कर भिधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43) की धारा 10 की उप धारा (23-ग) के खण्ड (iv) द्वारा प्रवत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, "कौभीन डॉक श्रम बोर्ड" को निर्धारण वर्ष 1973-74 से 1982-83 के अन्तर्गन आने वाली अविधि के लिए उनत धारा के प्रयोजनार्थ अधिसुचित करती है।

[सं० 4891 / फा॰ सं 197/141/79 मा॰फ (ए 1)]

New Delhi, the 31st August, 1982

(INCOME-TAX)

S.O. 4289.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (iv) of sub-section (23C) of Section 10 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), the Central Government hereby notifies "Cochin Dock Labour Board" for the purpose of the said section for the period covered by the assessment years 1973-74 to 1982-83.

[No. 4891/F. No. 197/141/79-IT(AI)]

(आय-कर)

का॰ वा॰ 4290.—केन्द्रीय सरकार, माय-कर प्रधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43) की घारा 10 की उपघारा (23-ग) के खण्ड (iv) द्वारा प्रवस प्रक्षितयों का प्रयोग करते हुए, "उपभोक्ता शिका और अनुसंधान केन्द्र" को निर्धारण वर्ष 1983-84 से 1985-86 के मन्तर्गत भाने वाली मविध के लिए उक्त धारा के प्रयोजनार्थ मधिसुनित करती है।

[सं॰ 4892 /फा ॰सं॰ 197/9/82 मा॰ क (ए I)]

(INCOME-TAX)

S.O. 4290.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (iv) of sub-section (23C) of Section 10 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), the Central Government hereby notifies 'Consumer Education and Research Centre' for the purpose of the said section for the period covered by the assessment years 1983-84 to 1985-86.

[No. 4892/F. No. 197/9/82-IT(AI)]

(आध-कर)

का॰आ॰ 4291.--केन्द्रीय सरकार, श्राय-कर श्रधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43) की घारा 10 की उपधारा (23-ग) के खण्ड (iv) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, "श्रद्धानंद महिनाश्रम" को निर्धारण वर्ष 1976-77 से 1982-83 तक के झन्तर्गत झाने वाली अवधि के लिए उक्त धारा के प्रयोजनार्य श्रधिसुचित करती है।

[र्स॰ 4893/फा॰सं॰ 197/121/78-मा॰ क॰ (ए-I)]

S.O. 4291.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (iv) of sub-section (23C) of section 10 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961). The Central Government hereby notifies "Shraddhanand Mahikashram" for the purpose of the said section for the period covered by the assessment year 1976-77 to 1982-83.

[No. 4893/F. No. 197/121/78-IT(A1)]

नई दिल्ली, 2 सितंधर, 1982

(आय-कर)

का॰ आ॰ 4292. — केन्द्रीय सरकार, भाय-कर ग्रिधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43) की धारा 10 की उपधारा (23-ग) के खण्ड (iv) द्वारा प्रदत्त ग्रिवनियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, "भारतीय शिशु कल्याण परिवद्" की निर्धारण वर्ष 1982-83 के घारांत भाने अली भवधि के लिए उक्त धारा के प्रयोजनार्थ ग्रिधिसुनित करती है।

[सं० 4898/फा०सं० 197/185/81 म्या० क (ए I)]

New Delhi, the 2nd September, 1982

(INCOME-TAX)

S.O. 4292.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (iv) of sub-section (23C) of section 10 of the Income-tax

Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), the Control Government hereby notifies 'Indian Council of Child Welfare' for the purposes of the said section for the period covered by the assessment year 1982-83.

[No. 4898/F. No. 197/185/81-IT(AI)]

आय-कर

का॰ आ॰ 4293.-केन्द्रीय सरकार, श्राय-कर प्रधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43) की धारा 10 का उपघारा (23-ग) के खण्ड (iv) द्वारा प्रदत्त प्रक्तियों का प्रगान करने हुए, "हिन्दुस्तान पूर्त न्यास, 1959" की निर्धारण वर्ष 1981-82 भीर 1982 से 83 के ग्रन्तर्गंत भाने वाली घवधि के लिए उक्त धारा के प्रयोजनार्थ प्रधिसुचित करती है।

[सं॰ 4899/फा॰सं॰ 197/177/80 आ॰ क (ए I)]

INCOME-TAX

S.O. 4293.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (iv) of sub-section (23C) of section 10 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), the Central Government hereby notifies "Hindustan Charity Trust, 1959" for the purpose of the said section for the period covered by the assessment years 1981-82 and 1982-83.

INo. 4899/F. No. 197/177/80-IT(AI)]

नई दिल्ली, 6 सिसंबर, 1982

आय-कर

का॰ 4294. - केन्द्रीय संस्कार, माय-कर प्रधितियम, 1961 (1961 का 43) को धीरा 10 की उपधारा (23-ग) के खण्ड (iv) द्वारा प्रवत्त शिक्तियां का प्रयोग करते हुए, "केरल गाधी स्मारक निधि" को निर्धारण वर्ष 1980-81 से 1982-83 के प्रत्तांत प्राने वाली श्रवधि के लिए उक्त धारा के प्रयोजनार्थ ग्राधिस्थित करती है।

[सं॰ 4903 / फा॰सं॰ 197/111/76 मा॰ क(ए I)]

New Delhi, the 6th September, 1982

INCOME-TAX

S.O. 4294.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (iv) of sub-section (23C) of section 10 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), the Central Government hereby notities "Kerala Gandhi Smarak Nidhi" for the purpose of the said section for the period covered by the assessment years 1980-81 to 1982-83.

[No. 4903/F. No. 197/111/78-JT(AD]

नई दिल्ली, 30 मितबर, 1982

आध-कर

का० अ१० 4295.— केन्द्रीय सरकार, आय-कर प्रधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43) की धारा 10 की उपधारा (23-ग) के खण्ड (iv) हारा प्रदक्ष शिक्षियों का प्रयोग करने हुए, "एस भी एस चिल्ड्रन्म यिलेजेज वेस्ट वगान" को निर्धारण वर्ष 1980-81 और 1981-82 के भ्रन्थांत ग्राने वाली भ्रविध के निए जक्त धारा के प्रयोजनार्थ ग्राधिस्तित करती है।

[संख्या 4929/फा॰सं॰ 197/160/80 आ॰ क (ए-I)]

New Delhi, the 30th September, 1982

JNCOME-TAX

S.O. 4295.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (iv) of sub-section (23C) of section 10 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), the Central Government hereby notifies "SOS Children's Villages West Bengal" for the purpose of the said section for the period covered by the assessment years 1980-81 and 1981-82.

[No. 4929/F. No. 197/160/80-1T(AI)]

नई दिल्ली, 23 नवस्थर, 1982

आय-कर

का॰ आ॰ 4296.--केन्द्रीय सरकार, प्राय-कर प्रधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43) को धारा 10 को उपधारा (23-ग) के खण्ड (iv) द्वारा प्रदत्त सिन्तयों का प्रयोग करते दुए, "दि लक्ष्मण झूला लेप्नांसी जिसपेमरी एण्ड रिहैियलिटेशन सेस्टर" को निर्धारण वर्ष 1983-84 और 1984-85 तक के अन्तर्गत प्रानं वाली भ्रवधि के लिए उक्त धारा के प्रयोजनार्य भविसूचित करती है।

[सं॰ 4977/फा॰स॰/197/23/82 मा॰ क (ए.l)]

New Delhi, the 23rd November, 1982

INCOME-TAX

S.O. 4296.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (iv) of sub-section (23C) of section 10 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), the Central Government hereby notifies "The Lakshmanjhula Leprosy Dispensary & Rehabilitation Centre" for the purpose of the said section for the periord covered by the assessment years 1983-84 and 1984-85.

[No. 4977/F. No. 197/23/82-IT(AI)]

317m-m77

का॰ आ॰ 4297.---केन्ब्रीय सरकार, श्राय-कर श्रधितियम, 1961 (1961 का 43) की धारा 10 की उपधारा (23-ग) के खण्ड (iv) द्वारा प्रवक्ष शासितयों का प्रयोग करने हुए, श्री दया भाई देवती चौहान चैरिटेबल दूस्ट, नासिक रोड" को निर्धारण वर्ष 1981-82 श्रीर 1982-83 के श्रन्तगंत श्राने वाली श्रवधि के लिए उक्त धारा के प्रयोजनार्ष प्रशिसूचित करती है।

[सं॰ 4978/फा॰स॰ 197/217/81-मा॰फ (ए I)]

INCOME-TAX

S.O. 4297.—In explains of the powers conferred by clause (iv) of sub-section (23C) of section 10 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), the Central Government hereby notifies "Shri Daya Bhai Devsi Chauhan Chantable Trust, Nasik Road" for the purpose of the said section for the period covered by the assessment years 1981-82 and 1982-83.

· [No. 4978/F. No. 197/217/81-IT(AJ)]

आय-कर

का॰ आ॰ 4298.— केन्द्रीय सरकार, आय-कर प्रधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43) की धारा 10 की जपधारा (24-ग) के खण्ड (iv) द्वारा प्रवक्त सिनयों का प्रयोग करते हुए, "गुरूदेव सिर् पीठ गणेशपुरी" को निर्धारण वर्ष 1980-81 से 1982-83 तक के अन्तर्गत जाने यानी भवधि के सिए जस्त धारा के प्रयोजनार्थ प्रधिसूचित करती है।

[सं० 4979/फा॰सं॰ 197/70/82 फ्रा॰ क (ए.I)]

INCOME-TAX

S.O. 4298.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (iv) of sub-section (23°C) of section 10 of the Income-tax (1961 (43 of 1961), the Central Government hereby notifies 'Gurudev Biddha Peeth Ganeshpuri' for the purpose of the said section for the period covered by the assessment years 1980-81 to 1982-83.

[No. 4979/F. No. 197/70/82-IT(AI)]

आय-कर

का॰ आ॰ 4299 — केखीय मरकार, ग्राय-कर प्रधितियम, 1961 (1961का 43) की धारा 10 की उपधारा (23-ग) के खण्ड) (iv) द्वररा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करने हुए, "मयाजवाई रतन टाटा टुस्ट"

को निर्धारित वर्ष 1982-83 के प्रश्तर्गत ग्राने जालो भवधि के तो उन भाग क प्रयोजनार्थ प्रधिमाचन करनी है।

[ন০ 4980/फা০ ন০ 197/64/৪1-য়০ ফ (ঢ়1)]

INCOME-TAX

S.O. 4299.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clease (iv) of sub-section (23C) of section 10 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), the Central Government hereby notifies "Navajbar Ratan Lata Trust" for the purpose of the said section for the period covered by the assessment year 1982-83.

INO. 4980/F. No. 197/64/81-IT(AI)]

आयकर

कां आ 4300 -- केन्द्रीय सरकार, प्राय-नर प्रथितितम 1961 (1961 भा 43) की धारा 10 की उपवास (23-4) के खण्ड (iv) ब्रास प्रयुक्त किसमें का प्रयोग करते हुन्, 'दमानद एस्की मैदिक हुन्य कार्नेज मैनेज़मेट सामाइटी' का विधारण वर्ष 1981-93 मा 1935 -- का कि मन्त्रीत प्रामि वासी प्रमुख के किसे उक्त धारा क एगे।जनार्ग प्रशिमुखिन कुरनी है।

> [सं । 1983/फा॰स । 197/ । 1 । / ७० पा॰ के (ए 1)] मिनाप जैन, अवर गाँचन

INCOME-TAX

S.O. 4300—In exercise of the poweds conferred by clause (iv) of sub-section (234) of section 10 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), the Central Government bereby notifies "Dayanand Anglo-Vedic Trust College Management Society" for the purpose of the said section for the period covered by the assessment years 1981-82 to 1983-84.

[No. 4983/F. No. 197/149/79-TT(A1)] MILAP JAIN, Under Secy.

(आर्थिक कार्ये विमाग)

बंकिय प्रभाग

न्ध् दिन्नी, 7 दिसम्बर, 1983

का० आ० 4301.- श्रीद्धार्गिक विन्हां निगम श्रिधिनियम 1948 (1948 का 15) की धारा 21 की उपधारा (2) के श्रेनुगरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार शास्त्रीय श्रीद्धार्गिक विन्त्र निगम के निदेणक मण्डल की मिकारिंग पर उक्त निगम द्वारा 22 दिसम्बर, 1982 की श्रारी किसे शान वाले और 22 विमस्बर, 1977 की परिषक्त होने वाचे बाण्डी पर गा जाने वाणे ब्याज नी दर एतद्वारा 7-1/2 श्रीरंगा (साई मान श्रीतंशन) वाधित विश्रीरंत करती है।

[सब्या ८ (18) शार्रिक एफ०-1/82] के० पीठ पाडियन, श्रवर सचिव

(Department of I'conomic Affairs)

(BANLING DIVISION)

New Delhi, the 7th December, 1982

S.O. 4301—In pursuance of sub-section (2) of section 21 of the Industrial I mance Corporation Act, 1948 (15 of 1948), the Central Government on the recommendation of the Board of Directors of the Industrial France Corporation of India, hereby fixes 7-1/2% (Seven and a half per cent) per annum as the rate of interest payable on the bonds to be issued by the said Corporation on 22nd December, 1982 and maturing on 22nd December, 1997.

[No. 2(18)IF 1/82] K. P. PANDIAN, Under Secy.

आवेश

न**ई दि**ल्ली, 7 दिशम्बर 1982

स्टाम्प

का आ 4302 - मारतीय स्टाम्य श्रातियम, 1899 (1899 ता 2) की धारा 9 की उपवास (1) क खण्ड (ख) द्वारा परत्न मिक्तमी का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा ईस्ट इंडिया होट्य ति।संटेंड, कश्वला की, माल गार नावा पत्राम हजार काये के उस मिकित स्टाम्य मुलक की आद्रामी करने की अनुमति देवी है, जो उक्त कम्मनी द्वारा महण्यकों के हम में (मी गी क्यम के नजद सममृत्य के 10,00,000 15 प्रतिमन अपियतंनीय मुरक्षित महण्यका) जारी किमें जान वाले 10 कराइ कम्में के बायांना पर प्रमाप है।

[सख्या अर्ज/४४-च्टाम्य का० स० ७३/४०/४४-वि०क०] एत० के० राठोड, उप सचिव

ORDER

New Delhi, the 7th December, 1982

STAMP5

S.O. 4302.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (b) of sub-section (1) of section 9 of the Indian Stomp Act, 1899 (2 of 1899), the Central Government hereby permits the Faxt India Hotels Limited, Calcutta, to pay consolidated stamp duty of seven Iakhs firty thousand rupees only chargeable on account of the stamp duty on bonds in the term of orderntimes (10,00,000 15 per term Non convertible. Secured debentures of Rs 100 each for each at part for Rs. 10 Croics to be issued by the said Company.

[No 35/82-Stamps I: No. 33/40/82-ST] L K. RATHOD, Dy. Secy.

(राजस्य विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 25 दिसम्बर, 1982

सं 281/82-सीमाञ्चक

का. आ. 4303. — कंन्द्रीय सरकार, सीमाश्चल अधि-रियम, 1962 (1962 का 52) की धारा 7 के खंड (क) द्वारा प्रदत्त रिवितमों का प्रयोग करते हुए, भारत सरकार के बिन्त सभात्य (राजम्ब और बीमा बिभाग) की अधिमूचना स. 75/75 मीमाश्चल तारीख 3 जुलाई, 1975 का निम्नलिखित और संशोधन करती है, अर्थात् —

उकत अधिमूचना से उपाबद्ध अन्मृषी में बंगलौर से मबिधत फ्रम भ 4 के सामने स्तम्भ 3 की विद्यमान प्रविष्टियों के स्थान पर निम्निलिस प्रविष्टियों रसी जाएगी, अर्थात् :—

- ''(क) आयात्तित हाइसोल का उतारा जाना ; और
- (ल) सभी निर्यात माल की लदाई ।"

[फा मं 481/11/82-सीमाशल्क 8]

(Department of Revenue)

New Delhi, the 25th December, 1982

No 281/82-CUSTOM9

5.0 4303—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (1) of section 7 of the Customs Act, 1962 (52 of 1962), the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Linance (Department of Revenue and

Insurance) No. 75/75-Customs, dated the 3rd July, 1975, namely:—

In the Table annexed to the said notification, against serial number 4 relating to Bangalore, in column 3, for the existing entries, the following entries shall be substituted, namely:—

- "(a) The unloading of imported Hysol; and
- (b) the loading of all export goods."

Note: All items of export can now be exported by air from the Bangalore Airport. 'Hysol' can be imported at this airport besides certain other items which have already been granted such facility viz. (1) aircraft parts, accessories and materials; (2) watches and component parts of watches; and (3) electronic equipments, spare parts and components.

[F. No. 481/11/82-Cus. VII]

केरब्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क और सीमाशुल्क बोर्ड

नई दिल्ली, 25 दिसम्बर, 1982

र्स. 282/82-सीमा**श**ल्क

का. था. 4304 - कंन्द्रीय उत्पाद-शुल्क और सीमा-शुल्क बोर्ड, सीमाशुल्क अधिनयम, 1962 (1962 का 52) की आरा 9 द्वारा प्रदत्त शिक्तयों का प्रयोग करते हुए, गुजरात राज्य में क्लाइ जिले में वापी को भाण्डागारण केन्द्र के रूप में घोषित करता है।

[फा. सं. 437/183/82-सी. ब्: -7]

CENTRAL BOARD OF EXCISE AND CUSTOMS

New Delhi, the 25th December, 1982

No. 282/82-CUSTOMS

S.O. 4304.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 9 of the Customs Act, 1962 (52 of 1962), the Central Board of Excise and Customs hereby declares Vapi in Valsad District in the State of Gujarat, to be a warehousing station.

[F. No. 473/183/82-CUS. VII]

सं. 283/82-मीमाश्लक

का. अ1. 4305. — केन्द्रीय उस्पाद-शूल्क और मीमा-शूल्क बोर्ड, सीमाशूल्क अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 52) की धारा 0 द्वारा प्रदत्त शिक्तयों का प्रयोग करते हुए महाराष्ट्र राज्य में रायगढ़ जिले में अलीकान ताल्क में क्कूल गांव को भाण्डागार स्टेशन के रूप में घोषित करता है।

[फा. सं. 473/179/82-सीमादालक-73

No. 283/82-CUSTOMS

S.O. 4305.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 9 of the Custof, Act, 1962 (52 of 1962), the Central Board of Facisc and customs hereby declares village Kurul in Alibag Taluka of Raigad District in the State of Maharashtra, to be a warehousing station.

[F. No. 473/179/82-CUS. VII]

सं 284/82-सीमा-शुल्क

का. था. 4306. — केन्द्रीय उत्पाद-शूल्क और सीमा-शुल्क बोर्ड, मीमाशुल्क अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 52) की धारा 9 द्वारा प्रदत्त शिक्तयों का प्रयोग करते हुए महाराष्ट्र राज्य में रायगढ़ जिले के पानवल तालूक में गण्हुं। गांव को भाण्डागार स्टेशन के रूप में घोषित करता है।

[फा. सं. 473/182/82-सी. शू. -7]

एन. को. कप्**र**, अवर स**चि**व

No. 284/82-CUSTOMS

S.O. 4306.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 9 of the Customs Act, 1962 (52 of 1962), the Central Board of Excise and Customs hereby declares Gavham Village in Panyel Taluka of District Raigad in the State of Maharashtra to be a warehousing station.

[F. No. 473/182/82-CUS. VII]
N. K. KAPUR, Under Secy.

बाणिज्य मंश्रालय

(संयुक्त मूख्य नियंत्रक, आधात-निर्यात का कार्यालय)

आवेश

बम्बई, 5 अगस्त, 1982

विषय :-- सर्वश्री तालरेज। प्रोडक्ट्स, 17/20 नवकेसन इन्डस्ट्रियल इस्टेट, महाकाली कावेज रोड, ग्रन्धेरी, (पू०) बम्बई, 93 को जारी किए प्रायान लाइसेस सं० पी/जैंड, 1454795 विनाक 9-2-82 की सीमा शुल्क प्रयोजन/मुद्रा विनिभय नियंत्रण प्रयोजन प्रति को रह करने का धादेश।

कां आं 4307.--- सर्वेश्वी सालरें जा प्रांडन्द्स, बस्बर्ध को 10,000 रुपए के लिए उपयुंक्त लाइसेंस काजू और खजूरों को छांड कर सुखें फलों का स्नायात करने के लिए प्रवान किया गया था। उन्होंने प्रश्न उपयुंक्त लाइसेंस की सीमा गुन्क प्रयोजन प्रति भौर मुद्रा विनिमय नियंसण प्रति की सनुलिपि जारी करने के लिए इस अवधार पर प्रावेदन किया कि उपयुंक्त लाइसेंस की मूल सीमा गुन्क प्रयोजन प्रति भौर मुद्रा विनिमय नियंत्रण प्रति किसी भी सीमागुन्क प्राधिकारी के पास पजीकृत कराए विना और उसका उपयोग किए बिना ही उनसे खोगई है। लाइसेंस की कुल धनराणि जिसके लिए लाइसेंस जारी किया गया था यह 10,000 रुपए हैं भीर अनुलिपि जी लाइसेंस की कुल मृत्य को पूरा करता है वह 10,000 रुपए हैं।

इसके समर्थन में प्रार्थी ने पंजीकृत भीर महानगरीय दण्डाधिकारी एम्पेलनेड न्यायालय, बम्बई द्वारा विधिवन् सन्यापिन एक स्टाम्प पेपर पर एक शपथपत दाखिल किया है।

तदनुसार मैं सन्तृष्ट हूं कि लाइसेंस सं० पी/जैंड 1454795 विनोक 9-2-82 की मूल सीमा गुल्क प्रयोजन प्रति और मृद्रा विनिसय नियंत्रण प्रति किसी भी सीमा गुल्क प्राधिकारी से पंजीकृत कराए विना खो गई है और निदेण देता है कि उपर्युक्त लाइसेंस की सीमा गुल्क प्रयोजन प्रति भीर मृद्रा विनिसय नियंत्रण प्रति की अनुलिपि प्रार्थी को जारी की जानी चाहिए! साइसेंस स० पी/जैंड 1454795 दिनाक 9-2-82 की मूल सीमा-गुल्क प्रयोजन प्रति और मुद्रा विनिसय प्रतियों को एसक द्वारा रह किया जाता है।

मदे वैधतः मृत्य क्षेत्र लइसेस सं० किसने जारी किय। जी. मी. ए. पी/जैड 12年度 10,000/~ स० मु० नि० क।जू भौर रुपए 1454795 घा० नि० रुपए अस्मर्ह खत्ररो छोड़कर सुखे फल भ्रप्रयुक्त मृत्य : 10,000 रुपए प्रयुक्त मूल्य .शुन्य

[मिसिल सं॰ 1372/32581/एएम 82/डफ/एयू-1 ही/1038)] एन० के जगताप,

उप मुख्य नियंत्रक, कृते संयुवन मुख्य नियंत्रक (भायात-निर्यात)

MINISTRY OF COMMERCE

(Office of the Joint Chief Controller of Imports & Exports)
Bombay, the 5th August, 1982

ORDER

Subject: Order for cancellation of custom purpose copy & Fychange control copy of Lic. P/Z. 1454795, dated 9-2-82 issued in favour of M/s. Talreja Products., 17/20, Navketan Industrial Estate, Mahakali Cawes road, Andheri (E) Bombay-93.

S.O. 4307.—M/s. Talreja Products, Bombay, were granted the licence mentioned above for Rs. 10,000 for item Dry fruits excluding cashewnuts & Dates. They have now applied for duplicate copies of the customs purpose, and Exchange control copies of the above licence on the ground that original customs and Exchange control copies of the above licence have been lost without having been registered with any customs authority and not utilised at all. Total amount for which licence was issued is for Rs. 10,000 and the total amount for which the duplicate is required is to cover the full value of Rs. 10,000.

In support of this the applicant have furnished an affidavit on stamped paper duly attested by Registrar & Metropolitan Magistrate, Esplanade Court, Bombay.

I am satisfied that the original customs and Exchange control copies of the licence No. P/Z.1454795 dated 9.2-82 has been lost without registration with any custom authority and direct that duplicate customs and Exchange control copies of the above licence should be issued to the applicant. The original customs and Exchange control copies of above licence No. P/Z. 1454795 dated 9-2-82 are hereby cancelled.

Licence No. Issued by Items Vaid for Value in Rs. Area.

P/Z. 1454795 JCCI&E, Bombay ***

***Items: Dry Fruits excluding ~

Cashewnuts & Dates. Value UN-UTILISED: 10,000/-Value Utilised: Rs. NIL.

[F. No. 1372/32581/AM. 82/df/AU.1] N. K. JAGTAP, Dy. Chief Controller of Imports & Fxports. For Jt. Chief Controller of Imports & Exports.

(मुख्य नियंत्रक, आचान-निर्यास का कार्यालय)

भावेश

मई दिल्ली, ८ प्राप्तनूबर, 1982

का ५ देशा ० ४३०॥ - लैं० वर्नल बीरेस्त कुमार, 136 नारायणन, स्ट्रीट, कच्ची छावनी, जम्म (बीस्पवाना सरकार के यहां सेना अधिकारी के रुप में प्रतिनियुक्त) को 1977 टोयाटा कोरोला सैलून कार भैनिम सं० 919346 श्रीन इंजन स० 2 टी 12343 के श्रायान के लिये 28000 कर मृत्य का एक सीमाशुन्क निकासी परमिट संर पी/शै/0391110 दिनोंक 29-6-82 प्रदान किया गया था।

आवेदक ने सीमा गुल्क निकासी परिमट की श्रनुलिपि जारी करने के लिये इस श्राधार पर आवेदन किया है मूल सीमागुल्क निकासी परिमट खो गया है। आगे यह भी बनाया गया है कि मूल प्रति किसी भी सीमा-गुल्क प्राधिकारी के पाम पंजीकृत नहीं कराई गई थो और इस प्रकार सीमागुल्क निकासी परिमट के मूल्य का विल्कुल भी उपयोग मही हुआ है।

ग्रपने तर्क के समर्थन में लाइसेंस्टारी ने नोटरी पिक्निक, विल्ली के सामने निधिवत् शपथ लेकर स्टाम्प पेपरपर एक जपथ-पत्न भी दाखिल किया है। तदनुसार, में संनुष्ट हूं कि मूल मीमाशुल्क निकासी परिमट सं० पि/जे/0391110/एन/एमपी/83/एच/82 दिनाक 29-6-82 ग्रावेदक से खो गया है/ग्रस्थानस्थ हो गया है। समय-समय पर, यथा-संशोधिन ग्रायात नियंत्रण ग्रावेश, 1955 की उपधारा 9 (सी सी) के ग्रन्थन प्रदेत ग्रिधिक कारों का प्रयोग करते हुए ले० कर्नल बीरेन्द्र कुमार के नाम में जारी उपयुक्त मूल सीमाशुल्क निकासी परिमट सं० पी/जे/0391110/एन/एमपी/83/एच/82 विनाक 29-6-82 को एनद्रहारा रव्द किया जाना है।

सीमाशुल्क निकासी पर्यामट की अनुलिपि प्रांत ले० कर्नल बीरेन्ड्र कूमार को सला से जारी की जा नहीं है।

> [मिमिल सं० 2 (ए)/139/80-81/बी एल एस/2359(ए)] जं० पी० मिहल, उप मुख्य नियंत्तक, श्रायात-नियंति

(Office of the Chief Controller of Imports and Exports)

ORDER

New Delhi, the 8th October, 1982

S.O. 4308.—Lt. Col. Virender Kumat, 136, Narainan Street, Kachi Chawni, Jammu (Army Officer on deputation to Govt, of Botswana was granted a CCP No. P/I/0391110 dt. 29-6-82 for Rs. 28,000 for import of 1977 Toyota Corolla Saloon car Chassis No. 919346 and Engine No. 2T 12343.

The applicant has applied for issue of a Duplicate Copy of the above mentioned CCP on the ground that the original CCP has been lost. It has further been stated that the original CCP was not registered with any Custom Authority and as such the value of the CCP has not been utilised at all.

In support of his contention, the licensee has filed an affidavit duly sworn before the Notary Public Delhi. I am accordingly satisfied that the original CCP No. PJJ/0391110/MP]83|H]82 dated 29-6-82 has been lost or misplaced by the applicant. In exercise of powers conferred under Sub-Clause 9(cc) of the Import Control Order 1955 dated 7-12-1955, as amended from time to time the said original CCP No. P/J/0391110|N]MP[83|H/82 dated 29-6-82 issued to Lt. Col. Virender Kumar is hereby cancelled.

A duplicate copy of the CCP is being issued to Lt. Col. Virender Kumar.

[F. No. 2(A)-139/80-81/BLS/2359(A)]
J. P. SINGHAL, Dy. Chief Controller of Imports and Exports.

आदेश

नई दिल्ली, 6 विसम्बर, 1982

काँ० आ० 4309.— सर्वश्री कुदरेमुख आयरन और तां० लि०, बंगलीर को लागन बीमा भाइ। के आधार पर विदेश में निमुल्क मरम्मत करने के पश्चात् संघटकों के पुन. आयांत के लिये आंजरलोडेड/आंधर टेम्परेचर-5 नग (2) फेज अनवैसेंस कार्ड-7 नग (3) एन्सिएटर कार्ड-1 नग. (4) िले द्राह्मर कार्ड-2 नग, (5) प्राजंध फाल्ट कार्ड-1 नग के आयात के जि 58,300/- रुपये (जैन \$ 7456.00) श्रटावन हजार तीन सी गाये माल का सीमा-णुल्क निकासी पर्यामट मंश्री प्राहि/जो/3037565/एन/डार्मा/82/एख/81/ सीजी 2 विनोक 23 2 82 प्रदान किया गया था। फर्म ने उपर्युक्त सीमा णुल्क निकासी परिमट की श्रनुंजिप प्रति भागे करने के लिए इस आधार पर श्रावेदन किया है कि मृल सीमा शुल्क निकासी परिमट खो गया श्रम्थानस्थ हो गया है। श्रागे यह बनावा गया है कि सीमा-णुल्क निकासी परिमट किसी भी सीमा णुल्क प्राधिकारी के पास पंजीकृत नहीं कराया गया है भीर उपमोग में नहीं लाया गया है।

- 2. श्रपने तर्क के समर्थन में, पाइसेंनवारी ने नोटरी, यूनियन श्राफ इंडिया, अंगलीर के सम्मूख विधिवत् सौष्याकित स्टाम्प कार्यत्र पर एक श्रपण-पन्न दाखिल किया है। तदनुसार में संतुष्ट हूं कि मूल सोमा-शुक्त निकासी परिमट संव श्राई/त्रे/3037565 दिनाक 23-2-82 कमें से खा गया या अस्थानस्थ हो गया है। यथा संगंधित श्रायत (नियंत्रण) श्रादेश, 1955 विभोक 7-12-55 के उप-खण्ड-9 (सीमी) के अन्तरीत प्रदत्त अधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुए मर्वश्री कुवरेमुख श्रायरन श्रार कर निव, अंगलीर को जारी किये गये उका मूल मोमा-यूक निकासी परिमट मंव साई/त्रे/3037565 विनोक 23-2-82 की एनंद्हारा रद्द किया जाता है।
- 3. वह धनराणि जिसके लिये अब अनुलिपि सीमा-णुक्क निकासी प्रसिद्ध की आवश्यकता है प्रयास 58,300/- उपये (कैन \$ 7456.00) उसके लिये उपर्युक्त कीमा-णुक्क निकासी प्रसिद्ध का अनुलिपि सीमा-णुक्क निकासी प्रसिद्ध का अनुलिपि सीमा-णुक्क निकासी प्रसिद्ध का उन्हों है।

निं॰ सीं॰ जी॰- /स्टील/24/81-82/1135] पाल येक, स्व-मुख्य नियंक्षक, आयान-निर्मात कृते, मुख्य नियंक्षक, आयात-निर्मात

ORDER

New Delhi, the 8th December, 1982

- S.O. 4309.—M/s. Kudremukh Iron Ore Co. Ltd., Bangalore were granted CCP No. I|J|3037565|N|DC/82/H/81-CGII dated 23-2-82 for Rs. 58,300 (Can. \$. 7456.00) Rupees fifty eight thousand and three hundred only for import of Overload/Overtemperature-5 Nos. (2) Phase umbalance card-7 Nos. (3) Annunciator card-1 No. (4) Relay Driver card-2, Nos. (5) Ground fault card-1 No. for re-import of components after repairs abroad free of charge on cif basis. The firm has applied for issue of duplicate copy of the above mentioned CCP on the ground that the original CCP has been lost/misplaced. It has further been stated that the CCP has not been registered with any Customs Authority and has not been utilised.
- 2. In support of their contention, the licensee has filed an Affidavit on stamped paper duly sworn in before Notary, Union of India, Bangalore. I am accordingly satisfied that the original CCP No. 1/J/3037565 dated 23-2-82 has been lost or misplaced by the firm. In exercise of the powers conferred under sub-clause 9(cc) of the Import (Control) Order, 1955 dated 7-12-55, as amended the said original CCP No. 1/J/3037565 dated 23-2-82 issued to M/s. Kudremukh Iron Ore Co. Ltd. Bangalore is hereby, cancelled.
- 3. A duplicate CCP of the above said CCP is being issued to the party separately, for the amount for which the duplicate CCP is now required i.e. Rs. 58.300 (Can. \$ 7456.00).

No. CG.II|Stee|24|81-82|1135|
PAUL BECK, Dy. Chief Controller of
Imports & Exports.
for Chief Controller of Imports & Exports.

विवेश संशास्त्र

नई दिल्ली, 6 विमम्बर, 1982

का० आ० 4310--- राजनियक तथा कौसर्वा अधिनारी (अपथ एवं शृहक अधिनियम, 1948) (1948 का 41ना) के खड 2 की उपधारा (क) के अनुसरण में केन्द्र सरकार, इसके द्वारा भारत था राजदूतावास, जैददा में सहायक श्री सी. पी. नन्जप्पा की तत्काल में कौमनी एजेंट का कार्य करने के लिए प्राधिकृत करती है।

[फाइल मं॰ टी॰ 4330/2/82]

वी. एस. निष्ठर, प्रश्नर सचिव

MINISTRY OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS

New Delhi, the 6th December, 1982.

S.O. 4310.—In pursuance of the clause (a) of Section 2 of the Diplomatic and Consular Officer (Oaths and fees) Act, 1948 (41 of 1948) the Central Government hereby authorise Shi C. P. Nanjappa, Assistant in the Embassy of India, Jaddah to perform the duties of a Consulate Agent with immediate effect.

[F. No. T.4330/2/82] B. S. NIDDAR, Under Secy.

स्वासभ्य और परिवार कल्याण मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 8 दिसम्बर, 1982

का. आ. 4311.—औषि और प्रसाधन सामग्री अधिनियम, 1940 (1940 का 23) की धारा 5 की उप-धारा (1) और उप-धारा (2) द्वारा प्रदत्त शिक्तयों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा भारत सरकार के स्वास्थ्य और परिवार कल्याण मंत्रालय, (स्वास्थ्य विभाग) की अधिसूचना संग्वस-19012/1/78-डी. एण्ड एम. एम. तारीख 15 फरवरी, 1979 में निम्नलिखित मंशीधन करती है, अर्थात:—-

उक्त अधिसूचना में ''भारा-5 की उप-भारा-2 के खण्ड (1) के अधीन मनोनीत'' शीर्षक के अन्तर्गत बर्तमान प्रविष्टिट खंख्या 2 के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित प्रविष्टि प्रतिस्थापित की जाए, अर्थात् :—

''डा. एम. ए. पटेल, निवेशक, औ्षिधि नियंत्रण प्रशासन, गुजरात राज्य''

[सं. एक्स-19012/2/81-डी.एम.एस. एण्ड पी.एफ.ए.] जी. पंचापकेशन, अवर सचिव

MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE

New Delhi, the 8th December, 1982

S.O. 4311.—In exercise of the powers conferred by subsection (i) and sub-section (2) of section 5 of the Drugs and Cosmetics Act, 1940 (23 of 1940), the Central Government hereby makes the following amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare (Department of Health) No. X.19012/1/78-D&MS dated the 15th February, 1979, namely —

In the said notification under the head, Nominated under clause (ix) of sub-section 2 of section 5 for the existing entry No. 2, the following entry shall be substituted, namely—

 Dr. M. A. Patel, Director, Drugs Control Administration, Gujarat State.

> [No. X.19012/2/81-DMS&PFA] G. PANCHAPAKESAN, Under Secy.

नागरिक पूर्ति मंत्रालय

मारतीय मानक सस्या

नई दिल्ली, 1982-11-26

का ल्यान 4312.---मभय-मन्य पर मंगोधित भारतीय मानक मन्या (प्रमाणन चिन्त) नियम 1955 के नियम 4 के उरियिनियम (2) के अनुसार प्रिष्मितित किया जाता है कि IS: 7270- 1974 चमकदार छड़े (मानक किस्म) की बिशिष्टि से सम्यन्धित चमकदार छड़े (मानक क्षोर साधारण किस्म) के मानक चिन्त जिन्ते हमीं भारत के राजपत्त, भाग II, खंड 3, उन्जंड (ii) वितास 1977-08-20 में का ल्यान 2607 दिनाक 1977-08-02 के अपीन प्रकाणित हुए थे, दिनाक 1981-10-01 से रह कर दिए गए हैं

सिंख्या सी एम डी/13 : 9]

MINISTRY OF CIVIL SUPPLIES INDIAN STANDARDS INSTITUTION

New Delhi, 1982-11-26

S.O. 43(2.—In pursuance of sub-rule (2) of Rule 4 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Rules, 1955 as amended from time to time, it is, hereby, notified that the standard marks for bright bars (standard and ordinary quality), relating to IS: 7270-1974 Specification for bright bars (standard quality) and IS: 7271-1974 Specification for bright bars (ordinary quality), details of which were published in the Gazette of India, Part-II, Section-3, Sub-section (ii) dated 1977-08-20 under S.O. 2607 dated 1977-08-02, have been rescinded with effect from 1981-10-01.

[No. CMD/13 · 9]

बार आर 1313 --मम-र-ममय, पर समोधित भारतीय नातक सस्या (प्रमाणन निष्ह) विनिधम 1955 के विनिधम 4 के अनुसार भारतीय मानक सस्या द्वारा अधिसूचित किया जाता है कि उक्त विनिधम (3) के उपयिनिधम (1) के अनुसार प्राप्त आधिकारों के अधीन यहां अनुसूची में विए भारतीय मानकों के संबोधन जारी किए गए हैं

अम् सूची

फ्रम सं ०	सशोधित मानक की पदसंख्या ग्रीर शीर्षक	जिस राजपत्र में भारतीय मानक के तैयार होने की मूचना छपी थी उसकी सख्या ग्रीर पीर्यक	मशोधन की संख्या ग्रीर निथि	संशोधन का संक्षिप्त विजरण	सशोधन लाग्त्रीने की तिथि
- (:	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
1	IS 178—1965 सूर्ता ट्विल की विशिष्टि (प्तरीक्षित)	्रस्त्रभो० 1992 दिनांक 1966-07-02	सं० 1 विसम्बर 1979	मारणी । को संगोधित किया गया है।	1979-12-31
2	IS: 273-1973 गैनी झौर कुदानी की विशिष्टि (दूसरा पुनरीक्षण)	एस०म्र १० 2669 विनाक १९७ 5-08-16	सं० 5 दिसम्बर 1979	 1 खण्ड 6,1 को संगोधित किया गया है। 2 खण्ड 4 के पञ्चात एक टिप्पकी दी गया है। 3 खण्ड 6,2 श्रीर 9,1 के पञ्चात कमशः नये खण्ड 6 3, 6,4 श्रीर 10, 10, 1, 10, 2 श्रीर 10,3 कोडे गये है। 	
3.	IS 666 (भाग 1)-1972 तिग वृश की विशिष्टि भाग 1 सिरदार श्रीर सिर रहिस जिग बुश (वृसरा निरीक्षण)		स ० 1 फरवरी 1980	खण्ड 2.1 मीर 2.2 के नीचे दी गर्या भ्रतीयवारिक सारणियों को संगोधिस किया गया है।	1980-02-29
	IS 710, 1976 जहाजी प्रयोग के प्लाईबुट की विभिष्टि (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	ं एस ्थ्रो ० 97 दिनोक 1980- 0 1-12	स ् । अप्रैल 1978	(पृष्ठ 11, उपखण्ड 8.1.5 (स्तः) पंकित 1) "8.1.3" के स्थान पर "8.1.2" पढिये।	1978-04-30
5	IS 779~1978 पाना भाषने के मीटर (घरेलू उपयोग के) की निणिष्टि (पाचत्रा पुनरोक्षण)		स ० 1 दिसम्बर 1 97 9	परिचिष्टि ए में पृष्ठ 22 पर झनौपर्चारक सारणी की संशोधित किया गया है।	1979-12-31
υ.	IS . 7.31-1971, 1000 बो॰ से प्रश्निक सांकतिक बोस्टता की गिरोपरि विद्युम लाइनों के लिये बीनी के रोधक की विशिष्टि (क्सरा पुनरीक्षण)	•	"स्ट ४ जनवर्ग 1980	खण्ड 10,1 2.2 में दी गयी क्रतौपसारिक मारणी को संशोधित किया गया है।	1980-01-31

^{*}भारतीय मानक संस्था प्रमाणिकरण चिस्हांकन योजना के लिए यह संगोगित 1980-05-01 से लागू होगा। 1056 G1/82--2

(1	(2)	(3,)	(4)	(5)	(6)
7	IS: 919-1963 इंजीनियरी मे सिमिट भीर फिट संबंधी अनुषेमाये (युनरीक्षित)	् एस०झो० 2673 दिनांक 1965-08-28	म० ≟ फरवरी 1980	 (नियुक्ति)-मानक में हर अगृह "IS 919, 1963" के स्थान पर "IS 919 (भाग 1)-1963 पृष्ट । भीर प्रिति भाषरण पृष्ट और पृष्ट । भीर पर गृष्ट भी भाग पर्वितित किया गया है। अखण्ड 0.4 के बाद एक न्या खण्ड 0.5 दिया गया है और वर्तमान खण्ड 0.5 की मुक्या खण्ड ()-6 नेर दी गुष्ट है। 	1980-02-29
8	IS 1221-1971 रजक भाषान्ति फाउन्टेन पैन स्पार्त्ता की विभिष्टि (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	्म•भी ० 889 दिन्मा 1974-04-06	स ० ८ दिसम्बर 1979	IS 8643-1977 'जिल आधारित लिखाई की स्थाही के लिये रंजक की विधिष्टि' जिसमें इक ब्लूं रजन की अरेक्षाय दी गयी है, के प्रकाशन के उपरान्त IS 2247-1962 'स्याही उद्योग के लिये इक ब्लू रजन की विधिष्टिंको रह कर विया गया है। अन यह समीधन जारी किया गया है।	1979-12-31
•	IS: 1:397-1967 कापट कागज भी विकिष्टि (पद्गता पुनरीक्षण)	. एस०म्बा ० ३७३४ विनोक 1967-10-21	म्(० L च्लक्री 1980	1 नाष्ट्र 0.2 के पश्चार नेस उपवाड 0.2.1 ओड़ा गया है। 2 पुट 4 पर सारणें 1 का संशोधन किया गया है।	1980-01-31
10.	IS . 1538 (भाग 1 से 23)—1976, जल, गैस और मलमृत के लिये दबायणील पहिंचों की दले जोहें की फिटिंग की विकित्ति, भाग 1 सामान्य प्रवेकाये, भाग 4 पाइकों भीर फिटिंग के फ्लैंज की विधिष्टि श्पेक्षाये भाग 7 से भाग 22 (इसरा पुनरीक्षण)	दिनांक 1979-11-24	म् ० ३ फरवरी 1990	 अपकाष्ट 9,3,1 के पीछे खण्ड 9,4 और 9 5 जोडे पये है। सरणी 1 के अत में नर्ग टिप्पणिया जोड़ी गई है। भाग 7 से 22 में विभिन्न सारणियों में "माग" स्तम्भ के नीचे 'लगभगग' पट्ट काटिये। 	1.980-02-29
] 1	IS: 1824-1978 कीटनाणक दवाई का पौद्यों पर छिड़काम करने की विशिष्टि (बूसरा पुनरीक्षण)		म० ।	खण्ड अ 1.1, ई-८ 1.1 से ई-८.१.७ श्रीर खण्ड ई-८ 2 में दिये गये सूत्र में परिवर्तन किया गया है।	1979-11-30
1 2.	IS: 1970 (भाग 1)-1974 हस्तचालित दमायदार पृष्ठवाही छिडनाव यंग की विणिष्ट भाग 1 दबाय न रोकने वार्जा किस्स (तीनरा पुनरीक्षण)		स ० ४ तंत्रस्त्रेष्ट 1974	खण्ड 5.17 का संशोधन किया गया है।	1979-11-30
13	$1S \cdot 2289 - 1976$ खराद भर्गान के लिये 60^0 रिथर केन्द्र की विभिष्टि (पहल पुनरीक्षण)	ं एस०क्रा० ५७ दिमांक 1980-01-12	म ः 1 सर् ^ष 1978	1 खण्ड 2.1 में अनीपन(रिक भारणी का संशोधन किया नया है। 2 खण्ड 4 1 के स्थान पर एक नया खण्ड दिया गया है।	1978-05-31
14	IS 2186 (भाग 1)-1977, 1000 वां से प्रधिक माकेतिक बील्टला का शिरोंपरि विश्वत लाइनो के लिये रोधन क्लिटन की विशिष्टिभाग 1 सामान्य प्रपेक्षायें और परीक्षण (पहला पुनरीक्षण)		[†] प्∘ जिल े 1980	1 खण्ड 4 से 1.1, 1 5 और 5 9 के स्थान पर न्ये प्यान क्षिणे गये हैं। 2 पृष्ठ 6 पर """ चिन्ह वाली, पृष्ठ 7 पर "†" चिन्ह वाली क्रीर पृष्ठ 14 पर ⊣-" और " [‡] " चिन्हों वाली टिप्पणियों के स्थान पर नयी टिप्प-	1980-01-31,
1.5	. IS 2586-1975 भेजी जिक्को (सर्वीन चालक के णिकंजे) की विभिष्टि (पहला पुनर्राक्षण)		स० 2 अस्त् _र ० १९७९	.साण्ड 6.4 के स्थान पर एक नया खण्ड दिया गया है।	1979-10-31

⁽पहला पुनराक्षण)

*भारतीय मानक सस्या प्रमाणीकरण जिन्हाकत योजना के त्रिये वह मणोधन 1950-03-31 में लागू होगा।

^{**}भारतीय मानक संस्था प्रमाणांकरण चिन्हांकम योजना के लिए यह मंबोधन 1980-08-01 से लाग् हीगा।

(1) (2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	IS : 30 0 2-1974 रॉकर छिड़काब यंद्र की विशिष्टि (दसरा पुनरीक्षण)	एस ्मो० 1 092 दिनाक ३ 9 77-04-09	*स ः 4 फर् _{र 1980}	 खण्ड 2 17, 4 1 और धनुष्णुंद या के स्थान पर नये खण्ड धीर धनुष्णुंद दिये गये हैं। खण्ड 8 1 का सणोधन किया गया है। 	1980-02-29
17.	IS : 35341976 विषुत् चालित लिश्ट के बहिरोंबा परिमाप (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	एस०मा० ५९ दिनाह 1930-01-12	मंऽ । मार्च 1980	त्र्वानिका । श्रीर ३ का संबोध न (के यो संया है।	1980 03 31
18.	IS 36521974 गद चालित छिडेगाव यज्ञ की विशिध्टि (दूसरा पुनशीकाण)	ं एस ुझो ं 1092 दिनाक 1977-04-09	''*संव 5 नंबंद 1979	 (1) खड 2 17 मीर 4 1 के स्थान पर नवे खड विये गये हैं। (2) खंड 5.3.1, 5.12 मीर 8.1 का मंगोमन किए। गज है। 	1979-11-30
1 9.	IS: 43291967 मापन (चालक) चूर्ववीय की विकिष्टि	एस० घो० 520 दिनाक 1968-02-10	सं० । दिस ० 1979	खड 5 2, 5 1 और 582 का समावर किया गया है।	1979-12-31
20.	IS: 46151969 स्वित साकेट निगम		स् ० 2 मन ० 1979	 (1) खंड 4.3 और 10.18 के स्थान पर नये खंड दिये गये हैं। (2) पृ० 12 और 13, खंड 10.21 (एत)) इस खंड को काटिये और उत्तरवर्ती 	1979-11-30
3 1	IS: 3135 (जाग 1)1974 हस्तवधित चिक्रत जुरुकात बंत की विधिष्टि, भाग 1 पेड वर रक्ष कर चलाने सामा	एम० मो० 2858 दिनांव 1976-08-07	र सं० 2 विमाम 1979	मृहा की नंबपाए ठीक दीजिए।] (1) पारणी। ग्रीर खंड 4.11 के स्वान पर नर्जनारणी ग्रीर खंड किये गये हैं। (2) (पृष्ठ S, खंड 4.9, ग्राशियों वाक्य)	1979-12-31
	(भड्डणाः पुनर्शेक्षा म)			इस की काटिये। (3) बाड 4 2 मीर 4 12 का सशोधन किया गया है। (4) (पुष्ठ 8, 'X" विज्ञ को टिप्पणी)~ इसे काटिये (5) बांड 4 8, 4.12 मीर 5.1 के बाद मंगी विषय बस्सु जोडी गमी है।	
22.	IS: 71421974 बेल्बकृत मल्प कार्यन इस्पात मल्य दावः प्रवणीयर्थेस केलिए 5 लिटर यानी असता तथा के मल्प कार्यन इस्पात के बेल्बकृत रीस (सॉलडर की विशिष्टि	्म ्यां ० 776 विनास 1976-02-21	स्तु 5 करुन 1979	(1) खंड 10 1 और 11.1 का संशोधन शिया गया है। (2) (पृष्ठ 13, उपखंड 11 2.3) इसकी काटिया (3) (पृष्ठ 13, उपखंड 11.2 2 1) इस खंडवा क्रमांक बदल कर 11 2.4 करिये। (4) खंड 11.2.2 के बाद नये खंड 11.2.3 11.2.3 1, 11 2 3.2 और 11.2 3.3 कोई गये हैं।	1979-02-28
23	IS: 7587 (भाग 5)1976 कानों में मपेटने के लिये पितरेनूना सूलेबार गियर की विशिष्टि माग 5 समभारी प्लेड	एप व्यो० 97 विनास 1980-01-12	मण् । नव् १९७१	(पृष्ट 1, खाउँ ६, इस्तमा 1) क्वकी कास्टिये स्रोर "हिष्पणी 2" की संकार दीत्र करदीजिए।	(97 8 -1,1-30
	IS: 77471975 वसंटेड ह्यबुनाई के क्रमी धार्ग की विश्विष्ट	एस ः भो ० 353 0 दिनाक 1977-11-19	ন ি ∤ নৰ⊲ 1'979	 बंद 3.1 के स्थान पर एक न 11 खद दिया गया है । (2) (पृष्ठ 4, 'X" विक्क की टिप्पणी) — वर्तमान टिप्पणी के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित करिये"X" ऊर टॉर की बारीकी की भेगीयां। (पहला पुनरीक्षण)" 	1979-11-30
25.	IS: 8394 1977 विद्युत रोधी केबजों के चासकों में टांका लगाने भीर वें स्विग किस्म के मंत्यसिरों की विभिन्टि।		₩ 1	महरणी 2ग्रीर उका संशोधनकिया गयहहै।	1980-03-31

^{*}भारतीय मानक संस्था प्रनाणीकरण चिन्हाँकेन योजना के जिए यह संजीवन 1980-07-01 में लागू होगा।

^{**}मारतीय ग्रानक संस्था प्रमाणीकरण चिन्हांकन गीजना के लिए यह मंगीवन 1980-05-01 से लागू होगा।

इन समोधनों की प्रतियां भारतीय मानक सरेषा, 9 बहादुरहाह जिकर भागें नई दिल्ली-110002 भीर उसके अहमदाबाद, वयनीर, भोशाल, भूवनेश्वर, यम्बई, कनकता, चंडींगढ, हुँदराबाद, जयपुर, कानपुर, महास, पटना, सथा जिबेन्द्रम कार्यालयों में उपनव्छ है।

S.O. 4313:—In pursuance of regulation 4 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Regulations, 1955, the Indian Standards Institution, hereby, notifies that amendment (s) to the Indian Standard (s) given in the schedule hereto annexed have been issued under the powers conferred by the sub-regulation (1) of Regulation 3 of the said Regulations.

SCHEDULE.

SI. No	No. and title of the Indian Standard amended	No. and date of Gazette Notification in which the estab- lishment of the Indian Standard was notified	No. and Date of the Amendment	Brief particulars of the Amendment	Date from which the amend- ment shall have effect
1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	IS: 178-1965 Specification for cotton twills (revised)	S.O. 1992 dated 1966-07-02	No. 1 Dec. 1979	Table one has been amended.	1979-12-31
2,	IS: 273-1973 Specification for picks and beaters. (second revision)	S.O. 2669 dated 1975-08-16	No. 5 Dec. 1979	 (i) Clause 6.1 has been amended (ii) A note has been added after clause 4 (iii) New clauses 6.3, 6.4, 10, 10.1, 10.2 and 10.3 have been added after Clause 6.2 and 9.1 respectively. 	1979-12-31
	IS: 666 (Part I)-1972 Specification for jig bushes: Part I Headed and headless jig bushes (second revision)		No. 1 Feb. 1980	Informal tables under clauses 2.1 and 2.2 have been amended.	1980-02-29
4.	IS: 710-1976 Specification for marine plywood. (first revision)	S.O. 97 dated dated 1980-01-12	No. 1 Apr. 1978	[Page 11, clause 8.1.5(b), line 1] Substitute '8.1.3' for '8.1.2'.	1978-04-30
5,	IS: 779-1978 Specification for water meters (domestic type) (fifth revision)		No. 1 Dec. 1979	Informal table of Appendix A at page 22 has been amended	1979-12-31
6.	IS: 731-1971 Specification for porce- lain insulators for overhead power lines with a nominal voltage greater than 1000V. (second revision)	S.O 751 dated 1974-03-16	*No. 3 Jan. 1980	Informal table of clause 10.1.2.2 has been amended.	1980-01-31
	IS: 919-1963 Recommendations for limits and fits for engineering (Revised)	S.O. 2673 dated 1965-08-28	No. 2 Feb. 1980	 (1) (Designation) - Substitute' 'IS: 919 (Part I) - 1963' for 'IS: 919— 1963' wherever it appears in the standard. (11) Title at first cover page, pages 1 and 5 has been substituted by a new one. (11) New clause 0.5 has been added after clause 0.4 and the subsequent clause 0.5 has been renumbered as clause 0.6 	1980-02-29
8.	IS: 12211971 Specification for dye based fountain pen inks (first revision)	SO. 889 dated 1974-04-06	No. 2 Dec 1979	In view of the publication of IS: 8642—1977 'Specification for dye for water-based writing inks' in which the requirements of dye, is blue have been covered, IS: 2247 1962 'Specification for dye, ink bl for ink industry' has been will drawn. Hence this amendment being issued.	nk —. ue
9.	IS: 1397—1967 Specification for kraft paper. (first revision)	S.O. 3734 dated 1967-10-21	No. 1 Jan 1980	 (i) New Clause 0.2.1 has been added after clause 0.2 (ii) Table 1 at page 4 has been amended 	

^{*}For purposes of ISI Certification Marks Scheme; this amendment shall come into force with effect from 1980-05-01.

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
10.	IS: 1538 (Parts I to XXIII)—1976 Specification for east iron fittings for pressure pipes for water, gas and sewage Part I General requirements Part IV Specific requirements for flanges of pipes and fittings Parts VII to XXII (second revision)		*No. 3 Feb 1980	 (i) Clause 9.4 and 9.5 have been aded after clause 9.3.1. (ii) New notes have been added at the end of Table 1 (iii) Delete the word '(Approx)' from the various tebles under column 'Mass' in parts VII to XXII. 	
11.	IS: 1824—1978 Specification for insecticidal space spray (second revision)		No. 1 No. 1979	Clauses 3.1.1, 12.1.1 to E-2.1.3 and 1 formula under clause E-3.2 have been substituted by new ones.	
12.	IS: 1970 (Part I)—1974 Specification for hand-operated compression knepsack sprayer Part I non pressure retaining type (third revision)		No. 4 * Nov 1979	Clause 5.17 has been amneded	1979-11-30
13.	IS . 2289—1976 Specification for 60° dead centres for lathes (first revision)	S.O. 97 dated 1980-01-12	No. 1 May 1978	 (i) Informal table under clause 2.1 has been amended (ii) Clauses 4.1 has been substituted by a new one 	1978-05-31
14.	IS: 2486 (Part I)—1971 Specification for insulator fittings for overhead power lines with a nominal voltage greater than 1000 V: Part I General requirements and tests (first revition)	S.O. 1853 dated 1974-07-27	**No. 1 Jen 1980	(i) Clausos 4.1.1, 4.5 and 5.9 have been substituted by new ones (ii) Foot notes with '*' mark at page 6, with '1' mark at page 7 and and '4' mark at page 14 have been substituted by new ones	1980-01-31
15.	18: 2586—1975 Specification for bench vices (machinist's vices) (first rovision)		No. 2 Oct 1979	Clause 6.4 have been substituted by new one	1979-01-31
16.	IS: 3062—1974 Specification for rocket sprayer (second revision)	S.O. 1092 dated 1977-04-09	***No. 4 Fcb 1980	 (i) Clauses 2.17, 4.1 and appendix B have been substituted by new ones (ii) Clause 8.1 has been amended 	1980-02-29
17.	IS: 3534—1976 Outline dimensions of electric lifts (first revision)	S.O. 99 dated 1980-01-12	No.1 Mar 1980	Tables 1 and 3 have been amended	1980-03-31
18.	IS: 3652—1974 Specification for foot sprayor (second revision)	S.O. 1092 dated 1977-04-09	****No. 5 Nov 1979	(i) Clauses 2.17 and 4.1 have been substituted by new ones(ii) Clauses 5.3.1, 5.12 and 8.1 have been amended	1979-11-30
19.	18: 4329—1967 Specification fo measuring (havelling) microscope	S.O. 520 dated 1968-02-10	No. 1 Dec 1979	Clause 5.2, 5.4 and 6.8 have been amended	1979-12-31
20.	IS: 4615—1968 Specification for switch socket-outlets (non-interlocking type)	S.O. 3608	No. 2 Nov 1979	 (i) Clauses 4.3 and 10.18 have been substituted by new ones (ii) [Pages 12 an 13, clause 10.2.1(s)] Delete and renumber the subsequent items accordingly 	1979-11-30
21.	IS: 5135 (Part 1)—1974 Specification for hand rotary duster: Part I Belly- mounted type (first revision)		No. 2 Dec 1979	 (i) Table 1 and clause 4.11 have been substituted by new enes. (ii) (Page 8, clause 4.9, last sentence)—Delete. (iii) Clauses 4.2 and 4.12 have been amended. (iv) (Page 8, foot-note with '*' mark)—Delete. (v) New matter have been added after clauses 8.4. 412 and 5.1. 	1979-12-31

^{*}For purposes of ISI Certification Marks Scheme; this amendment shall come into force with effect from 1980-03-31.

^{**}For purpose of ISI Certification Marks Scheme; this amendment shall come into force with effect from 1980-08-01

^{***}For purposes of ISI Certification Marks Scheme, this amendment shall come into force with effect from 1980-07-01
****For purposes of ISI Certification Marks Scheme; this amendment—shall come into force with effect from 1980-05-01

(1)	(1)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
22.	IS: 7142—1974 Specification for welded low earbon steel gas cylinder for low pressure liquoflable pages, not exceeding 5 litre water trapacity	S.O. 776 da.ed 1976-02-21	No. 5 Feb. 1979.	(i) Clauses 10.1 and 11.1 have been amended (ii) (Page 13, clause 11.2.3*)—Delete (iii) (Page 13, clause 11.2.2.1'—Renumber the clause as 11.2.4 (iv) New clauses 11.2.3, 11.2.3.1, 11.2.3.2 and 11.2.3.3 have been added after clause 11.2.3	1979-02-28
23.	IS: 7587 (Part V) -1976 Specification for engo suspendion gene for winding in mines: Part V Equalizing plates	S.O. 97 dated 1980-01-12	No. I No. 1979	(Page 1, clause 3, Note 1)—Dolete and redesignar 'Note 2' es 'Nete	
24.	18: 7747-1975 Specification for hand-knitting wood yarn, worked	S.O. 3530 dated 1977-11-19	No. 1 No. 1979	 (i) Clause 3.1 has been substituted by a new one (ii) (Prge 4, foot-note with ** mark)—Substitute the following for the existing foot-note; **Finances grades of wool top (first revision)." 	1979-11-30
25.	IS: 8394 -1977 Specification for soldering and welding type terminal ends for conductors of insulated cables.		No. 1 Mar 1980	Table 2 and Table 3 have been amended	1980-03-31

Copies of those amendments are available with the Indian Standards Institution, Manak Bhavan, 9. Bahadur Sheh Zefer Marg, New Dolhi-110002 and also from its b anch offices at Ahmedabad, Bangalore, Bhopal, Bhubaneshwar, Bombay, Calcutta, Chandigarh, Hydorabad, Jaipur, Kanpur, Madeas, Patna and Trivandrum.

[No. CMD, 13 : 5]

कार्रकार 314—भारतीय मानक संस्था (प्रभाणन जिल्ला) िनियम 1955 के विनियम 7 के उपविनियम 3 के प्रमुखार भारतीय मानक संस्था द्वारा ्य्रिधिमूचिन किया जाता है कि विभिन्न जतादों की प्रति इकाई मृहर लगाने की फीम निर्धे प्रमुखा में दिए गए व्योगे के प्रमुखार निर्धारित की गई है प्रौर ये फीम दिसाल 1982-02-01 में लाग् होंगी

अनुसूद्धी

क्रम सं० उत्पाद । उत्पाद का श्रेणी	तत्संबर्धः भारतिय मानक की पद सक्का और शीर्वक	इकाई	प्रति इतार्ड सृहर लगाने की फीस
1. फारमोचियोन, पायस्त्रीय साद्र	IS. 8026 फारने। धियोन पोबननीय सांद्र को विभिष्टि	100 सिटर	(1) हुए 15 00 प्रति इस्तर्ह पहली 500 इस्तइयों के लिए (2) ए० 1000 प्रति इस्तई 5010 से 1500 तर की इसइयों के लिए (3) हुए 200 प्रति इसई 1501वी और उपने उसरे की इस्डियों के लिए।
2. टीव्यंकॉस, पायसनीय मोड्	IS : ४४७४४७७७ डॉमीफॉन पायननीय साद्र की तिर्पिष्टि	100 लिटर	ক ে 15 0 0

[सक्स संत्यही/13: 10]

S.O. 4314.—In p.1 in thee of sub-regulation (3) of regulation 7 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Regulations, 1955, the Indian Standards Institution, hereby, notifies the title marking fee(s) per unit for various products details of which are given in the Schedule hereto annexed, have been determined and the fee(s) shall come into force with effect from 1982-02-01:

SCHEDULL

SI. No.	Product/class of Produc	ct No. and Title of Relevant India Standard	n	Unit Marking Fee per Unit
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
1. Formot	io n, EC	IS: 8026-1976 Specification for formothion EC	100 litres	 (i) Rs. 15.00 per unit for the first 500 units; (ii) Rs. 10.00 per unit for the 501st unit to 1500 units and (iii) Rs. 2.00 per unit for the 1501st unit and above
2. Tomeph	101, I.C	IS: 8498—1977 Specification for teme- phos. EC	100 lities	Rs. 15.00

की दिन का का

का oआ o 4315 — समये-समय पर मणिशि भारतिथ मानिय संरक्ष (प्रकाशन क्रिस्स 1355 के विकास 11 के उपवितियन 4 के अनुवार भारतीय मानिय हारा अधिस्कित कि को जाता है कि लाहिसेस महथा भीएम/एन प00 990 75 जिल्हें यौर त के दिए या के लाहिसेस महथा भीएम/एन प00 990 75 जिल्हें यौर त के दिए या के लाहिसेस महथा भीएम/एन प00 990 75 जिल्हें यौर त के दिए या के लाहिसेस महथा भीएम एन प00 990 75 जिल्हें यौर त के दिया गया है।

अनुसूची

— — क ानं०		—— — — न ाइमस घारा का ना मबंप्ता		 (मर्गंप्र) संरतीय मानत
			प्र[क्षया	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
1 सी एम/एल-०६११६ २ ५ १९७८ ०५ ०२		दिन्स् इंडिया इंडस्ट्रीज जिल् जेतन राह बढोदरा ३५००७५ गुज्र)		
				सी एम क्षी /55 0699675]

New Dellii 1982 17 02

S.O. 4315—In pulsating of sub-regulation (4) of regulation 14 of the Indian Standard Institution (Certification Marks) Regulation 1955 as amonded from time to time the Indian Standard Institution hereby notifies that License No. CM/L-0699675 particulars of which a given below has been cancilled with effect from 1982-07-01 at the request of the Lonsee

SCHEDULF

Licence No and Daic	Name and Addres of the Licensec	Article, Piecos Cave conty it e Licence Cancella	Relevent leaten Stereetee
-	-		
CM/L-0699675 1978 05 02	The New Incha Industries Ltd. letalpur Road Baroda-390005 (Guja at)	Pitch Bounded Wiles Reeds for use in fute Leoms	IS 1552+ 1978 Specification for pitch bound wire reeds for 180 in jute looms (second revision)
	_	_	[CMD/55 0699675

काउआ o 4316— समय-समय पर संगोधिक मारतीय मानर सम्बा (प्रमाणन चिह्न) थिकियम 1355 क विनियम 11 के उपविनियम 4 के प्रमुक्तार मानक संस्था हारा प्रधिस्थित रिया जाता है कि लाइमेंस सक्या मीएम/एल-0710414 जिसके ब्यौरे नीचे बनुसूची में दिर गर हैं लाइमेंनजारी के प्रपने प्रमुखेन पर 1982-07-16 के पह कर दिया गया है।

अन्स्वी

(4)	(5)
	(")
करधामे प्रयुक्त सुताकधे I	S 1938 - ~ 1974 पौसन करघो गत्रयुरु (तः कंबो की विणिष्टि (पज्ञ तापुन सिक्षण)
	करधामे प्रयुक्त सुपाकधे I

[साएमऔ/55 0740444] ए०पो०सम्जी,अपर महनिदेशर

S.O. 4316—In pursuance of sub-regulation (4) of regulation 14 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Regulations 1955 as amended from time to time, the It did in Standards Institution, hereby notifies that Licence No. CM/L 0740444 part cultiple of which are given in the Schedule below has been cancelled with effect from 82-07-16 at the request of the licensee.

SCHEDULE

SI Licen	ce No and	Name and Address of the Licensee	Article/Process Covered b	the Relevant Indian Standards
••	Date		Licencee Cancelled	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
1 CML-0 78-14-0		The New India Industries Limited letalpur Road, PB No 67 Baroda-390005 (Gujarat)	Cotton Cambs for use in J	ute IS 1938-1974 Specification for Cotton Cambs for use in Jute Looms
				(Fast revision)

[CMD/55.0740444]

AP BANERJI, Additional Director General

नागरिक पूर्ति मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 2 दिसम्बर, 1982

का. आ. 4317 . — अग्निम संविदा (विनियमन) अधि-नियम, 1952 (1952 का 74) की धारा 3 की उप-धारा (2) और (4) धारा प्रदत्त क्षित्रयों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय गरकार एतद्वारा बम्बई में विधि मंत्रालय के शासा यिवालय में अपर कानूनी मलाहकार, डा. एम. के. मिश्र को 2 दिसम्बर, 1982 (पूर्याह्न) में 3 महीनों की अग्नीध के लिए अथवा पद के नियमित आधार पर भरें जाने तक, जो भी पहले हो, उनकी अपनी ड्यूटी के साथ-साथ बायवा बाजार आयोग, बम्बई के सदस्य के रूप में नियुक्त करती है।

िमिनिल संस्था ए-12011/17/82-प्रका -21

कें. कं राव, अवर मचिय

MINISTRY OF CIVIL SUPPLIES

New Delhi, the 2nd December, 1982

S.O. 4317.—In exercise of the powers conferred by subsections (2) and (4) of Section 3 of the Forward Contracts (Reguation) Act, 1952 (74 of 1952), the Central Government hereby appoints Dr. M. K. Misra, Additional Legal Adviser in the Branch Secretariat of the Ministry of Law at Bombay as a Member of the Forward Markets Commission, Bombay in addition to his own duties with effect from the 2nd December, 1982 (forenoon) for a period of 3 months or till the post is filled on regular basis, whichever is earlier.

[F. No A-12011/17/82-Pstt-II]

K. K. RAO, Under Secy.

ऊर्जा भंत्रालय

(पेदोसियम विभाग)

नर्ड दिल्मी, 30 नंत्रस्वर, 1982

का 0 आ 0 4318 -- यतः पेट्रोलियम मीर खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में समयोग के मिल्रार का मर्जन) मिलियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के मिल्रान भारत सरकार के पेट्रोलियम, रसामन मीर उर्वरक मलालय (पेट्रोलियम विभाग) की अधिसूचना काल माल स्रं 81 तारी का 19-12-81 द्वारा केन्द्रीय मरकार ने उस मिल्रान में संलग्न मनुसूची में विनिद्धिंद मूमियों के उपयोग के प्रधिकार को पाइप लाइनों को विद्यान के प्रयोगन के प्रधिकार को पाइप लाइनों को विद्यान के प्रयोगन के प्रयोगन के प्रयोगन के प्रयोगन के लिए प्रजित करने का भगमा मागय घोषित कर दिशा वा

भीर यत . सक्षम प्राधिकारी ने उक्त प्रक्षितियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) के प्रधीन सरकार की रिपीर्ट दे वी है :

भीर भागे, यतः केन्द्राय सरकार ने उक्त रिपोर्ट पर बिचार करने के पश्चात् इस मधिमूजना से सल्यन अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट सूमिमों में उपयोग का मधिकार भजिन करने का विभिन्नय किया है;

भ्रज, अत उनत मधितियम, की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) हारा प्रदक्त गरित का प्रयोग करने हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतदहारा योगित करती है कि इस मिधिसूचना में सल्यन अनुसूची में विनिद्धित उकत भृतिमों में उपयोग का मधिकार पाइपलाइन विद्याने के प्रयोजन के लिए एतदृहार। अजित किया जाना है;

भीर मार्ग उस धारा की उपधार। (4) द्वार। प्रवत्न शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार तिर्देश देती है कि उकत शृमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार केन्द्रीय सरकार में विहित होने के बजाय तेल और प्राङ्कितक गैस आयोग में, सभी बाधाओं से मुक्त रूप में, घोषणा के पकाशन की इस नारीका को निहन होगा।

अनुसूची

कूप न० 88 से **कृष** न० ४

राम्य :गुजरात	जिल:-	भक्ष	नानुकाः	भक्तिश्वर	
ग्व	सर्वे न०	हेक्टेयर	एम	र्ग	सेन्टीयर
प्र शंल	71	, 	0	06	37
	70 79		0	09	36

[सं॰ 12016/62/81-श्रोड-भाग-I]

MINISTRY OF ENERGY

(Department of Petroleum)

New Delhi, the 30th November, 1982

S.O. 4318.—Whereas by notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum, Chemicals & Fertilizer, (Department of Petroleum) S.O. 81, dated 19-12-81 under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipeline (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the Schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipeline:

And whereas, the Competent Authority has under subsection (1) of Section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Government;

And further whereas, the Central Government has, after considering the said report, decided to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the Schedule appended to this notification:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the power conferred by sub-section (1) of the Section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said lands specified in the Schedule appended to this notification hereby acquired for laying the pipeline;

And further in exercise of power conferred by sub-section (4) of that section, the Central Government directs that the right of user in the said lands shall instead of vesting in the Central Government vests on this date of the publication of this declaration in the Oil & Natural Gas Commission free from encumbrances.

SCHEDUI E

Pipeline from Well No. 88 to Booth No.3

State: Gujarat	District: Bharuch	Taluka: Ankleshwar			
Village	Survey No.	Hectage	Аге		
ADOL	- 71 ·		 66	arc 37	
	70 & 7 9	0	09	36	

[No. 12016/62/81-Prod. Part-I]

नई दिल्ली, 6 दिसम्बर, 1982

कार आर 4319:--यत. केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि लॉकहित में यह भावश्यक है कि महाराष्ट्र राज्य में अंबर्ड से पूर्ण तक पेंट्रोलियम पदार्थों के परिवहन के लिए पाइप लाइन हिन्दुस्तान पेट्रोलियम कार्पेरिणन द्वारा श्रिष्ठाई जानी चाहिये

भीर यतः यह प्रतीत होता है कि ऐसी लाइनों को बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए एन्द्पाबद प्रनुसूची में विणित भूमि में उपयोग का प्रधिकार भीजन करना भ्रावश्यक है ; या, प्रान्न, पेट्रोलिनम प्रीरखितिज पार्थेप ताइन (जूमि में उपयोग के अधिमार का प्रार्थेन) प्रधितियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धान उन्हें उपवार। (1) द्वार। प्रवत्त प्रक्तियों का प्रयोग थारते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उसमें उपयोग का प्रधिकार प्रतित करने का प्रपता प्राणय एतदहारा घोषित किया है:

बगतें कि उक्त भूमि में हितबद्ध वोई स्पिति, उस भूमि के ती में पाइप लाइन विछाने के लिए ग्राक्षेप सक्षम प्राधिकारी, किन्दुसान पेही-लियम कारपौरेशन लिमिटेड, बस्बई-पूर्ण पाइप लाइन्स प्रोजेक्ट, प्युऐल रिफाइनरीज कीरिडोर रोड, बस्बई की इस ग्रिस्थिना की नारीख से 21 दिनों के भीतर कर सकेसा .

भौर ऐसा प्राक्षेप करने वाला हर गाँधन विनिद्धित यह भी कथन करेगा कि क्या वह यह चाहता है कि उसकी मुनवाई ग्यक्तिगत है। या किसी विधि व्यवसाधी की मार्फन ।

गांच . वास्रगांव, तालुका मायल, जिला पूर्णे, महाराष्ट्र

गाव	खसरा नं०	हिस्स। —	क्षेत्रफल		
		न∘	हेक्टर	गेयर	
	का माग		00	00	
	8 का भाग		0.0	5.5	
	13 का भाग		0.0	2 3	
	14 का भाग		0.0	0.8	
	15 की भीग	`	0.0	20	
	27 का भाग		0.0	40	
	28 का भाग		0.0	3 (
	2.9 का भाग	0.0	0.0	33	
	236 का भाग		0.0	3	
	237 का माग		0.0	20	
	238 का भाग		00	2	
	24.0 का भाग		00	3	
	241 का माग		00	0	
	255 का भाग		0.0	6	
	256 का भाग		00	3	
	268 का भाग		0.0	2	
	269 की भाग		0.0	2	

[क्रमाक O-12046/67/हब-प्रोक्तः)]

New Delhi, the 6th December, 1982

\$.0. 4319—Whereas it appears to Central Government that it is necessary to lay a pipeline for transporting Petroleum Products from Bombay to Pune in the State of Maharashtra through Pipe-line and that said pipe-line is to be laid through the agency of Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited, Bombay;

And whereas it appears to Central Government that for laying pipeline it is necessary to acquire the Right of User in respect of the lands appended to herewith in Schedule,

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers vested in them by virtue of Section 3(i) of Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), Central Government notify their intention to acquire the Right of User in the lands referred to above;

Any person having his interest in the lands referred to above, having any objection for laying the Pipe-line through above-mentioned lands may prefer an objection within 21 days of 1056 GI/82 - 3

the publication of this notification before the competent authority Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited, Bombay-Pune Pipeline Project, Fuels Refinery, Corridor Road, Bombay-74;

All persons having any objection may also state whether they want to be heard in person either himself of through any lawyer appointed by him.

SCHEDULE

Village . Vadgaon, Taluka: Mawal, Dist: Pune, (Maharashtra)

Villago	Survey	No.	Hissa	No	AREA	
	Out No		-		Н	R
VADGAON		Part			00	00
	8	,,		_	00	55
	13	,,		_	00	23
	14	,,			00	08
	15	,,			00	20
	27	,,			00	40
	28	,,			00	36
	29	"		_	00	32
	236				00	36
	237				00	20
	238	,		-	00	28
	240) , ,	ı	-	00	36
	241	,	,	~	00	08
	255	,	,		00	65
	256	,		_	00	32
	268	٠,		_	00	28
	269	,	,	_	00	22

[No 0-12016/67/82-Prod.]

का आ 4320 - यत केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीस होता है कि लोकहित में यह ग्रावश्यक है कि महाराष्ट्र राज्य में बबई से पूजे तक पेट्रीलयम पदार्थों के परिवहन के लिए पाइप लाइन हिन्तुस्तान पेट्रीलयम नापरियान द्वारा बिछाई जानी चाहिये,

श्रीर यस, यह प्रतीत हांना हैं कि ऐसी लाईना की निछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए एतद्पानक धनुसूत्री में वर्णित भूमि में उपयोग का प्रधिकार श्रीजित करना श्रीदायक है,

धत, श्रव पंट्रीत्यम श्रीर खित्य पाइप लाइन (भूमि मे उपयोग के अधिकार का श्रार्थन) अधिनिधम 1962 (1962 की 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रवत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय मरकार ने उसमे उपयोग का अधिकार अजित करने का अपना आधार एनव्हारा भोषिन किया है,

बगातें कि उक्त भूमि में हिएबंद कोई व्यक्ति उग भूमि के मीचे पाइप लाइन विध्वाने के लिए आप सक्षम प्राधिकारी, हिन्दुस्तान पेट्रोलियम कारपरिवान लिमिटेड, बन्दाई-पूर्ण पाइप लाइन्स प्रोजेक्ट प्युऐल रिफाइनरीज कॉरिडॉर रोड, बम्दाई को इस अधिसूबना की तारीख से 21 दिनों के भीतर कर सकेगा,

भीर ऐसा भ्राक्षेप करने वाला हर व्यक्ति विनिदिष्टत यह भी कथन करेगा कि क्या वह चाहता है कि उसकी सुनशाई व्यक्तिगत हो या किसी विधि व्यवसायी की मार्फत ।

अनुभूची

पाइपलाईन मृलगात्र से काठरंग लेक

तः नुका --श्वानापुर, जिला ---रायगढ, महाराष्ट्र

			क्षेत्रफल	•
गोव	सामरा न०	हिस्सा	हेक्ट र	में दर
		हिस्सा हेस्टर न॰ - 00 - 00 - 00 - 00 - 00 - 00 - 00 - 0		
मुलशीव	क्त भाग			
•	9 का भा ग	_	0.0	3 5
	10 का भाग		0.0	09
	11 का भाग		0.0	34
	16का भाग	-	0.0	58
वारोगी	15 का भीग	_	00	4 2
	19 का भाग	-	O O	1 1
	20 का भाग		0.0	? (c
	22 का भाग	_	0.0	35
	2) का भाग	-	0.0	15
	24 का भाग	-	00	1 1
काटरंग	6 का भाग	_	0.0	0.5
	7 क। भाग	_	0.0	1.8
	४ का भा ग		00	3.5
	10 का भाग	_	0.0	22
	11 का भाग	_	0.0	18
	13 का भाग	_	0.0	1.8
	14 का भाग	_	0.0	29
	16 का भाग	_	0.0	01
	21 का भाग	-	0.0	4
	22 का भाग	~	00	1 -
	26 का भाग		0.0	0

[कमांक 0-12016/66/32-प्रीख]

s.o. 4320.—Whereas it appears to Central Government that it is necessary to lay a pipeline for transporting Petroleum Products from Bombay to Pune in the State of Maharashtra through Pipe-line and that said pipe-line is to be laid through the agency of Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited, Bombay:

And whereas, it appears to Central Government that for laying pipe-line it is necessary to acquire the Right of User in respect of the lands appended to herewith in Schedule;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers vested in them by virtue of Section 3(i) of Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), Central Government notify their intention to acquire the Right of User in the lands referred to above;

Any person having his interest in the lands referred to having any objection for laying the Pipe-line through above mentioned lands may prefer an objection within 21 days of the publication of this notification before the competent authority, Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited, Bombay Pune Pipeline Project, Fiels Refinery, Corridor Road, Bombay-74.

All persons having any objection may also state whether they want to be heard in person either himself of through any lawyer appointed by him.

SCHEDULE

Pipeline From Village Mulagon To Katrang

Taluka . Khalaput, District Raigad (Maharastra)

	Survey No	o		ARF	A
Village	Gutť.	No.	Hissa No.	н	R
Mulgaon	9	Part		00	35
	10	1,		00	09
	11	٠,		(X)	34
	16	30		00	58
Varoshi	15	,,		00	42
	19	**	_	00	13
	20	**	-	00	26
	22	٠,		00	3:
	23	**		00	1
	24	"		00	4
Katrang	6	11		00	0.
	7	**	-	00	1
	8	٠,	_	00	3
	10	••		00	2
	11	,,		00	1
	13	**		[′] 00	1
	14	+)	_	00	2
	16	**			(
	21	,,	~	00	4
	22	•••	~	00	1
	26	.,	-	00	(

[No O-12016/66/82-Prod]

का० आ० 4321—यत केन्द्रीय मरकार का यह प्रतीत होता है कि लोकहित में यह आयश्यक है कि महाराष्ट्र राज्य में बम्बई से पूणे तक पेट्रोलियम पदायों के परिवहन के लिए पाइप लाइन हिन्दुंगान पेट्रालियम कार्पोरेशन द्वारा बिछाई जानी चाहिये,

श्रीर यत यह प्रतंप्त हाता है कि ऐसी लाइनो को विखाने के प्रयोजन के लिए एतद्षाबद प्रमृत्यों में वर्णित भूमि में उनयोग का प्रधिकार प्रजित करना आवश्यक है.

गत प्रज, पेट्रोलियम और खितिश पाइप पाइत (भूमि से उपयोग के प्रधिकार का प्रजेत) प्रधितियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदेत णक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उससे उपयोग का प्रशिकार प्रजित करते का प्रांता श्राणय एनद द्वारा घेष्टित किया है

बसारों कि उनने भूमि से हिन्यद्व काई काईना, उस भूमि के नीचे पाइप लाइन बिछाने के प्राक्षेप मक्षम, प्राधिकारी, हिन्दुस्तान पेट्रोलियम कारपारेशन लिमिटेड, बम्बई-पूणे पाइप लाइन्स प्रोजेक्ट पपुलेल रिफाइनरीज कॉरिडॉर गोड, बम्बई को इस प्रशिक्ष्णना की तारीख से 21 विस के भिन्तर कर सकेगा,

ग्रीर ऐसा ग्राक्षेप करने वालाहर व्यक्ति विनिर्दिष्टन यह भी कथन करेना कि क्या वह यह चाहना है कि उसको सुनवाई व्यक्तिगत हो या किसा विधि व्यवसायी की साफैन।

अन स्वी

गाप — कानव	ा मालुका –– धारत	বিশা −	- <u>पू</u> ण, म	म्हारा ष्ट्र
		_	क्षक्रफ र	
गाव	ख्रभरा न०	तिस्पा	8427	ग्रम्
		नग		_
- भानवी	कत् भाग			
111111	। का भाग	_	0.0	პ ნ
	12 का भाग		0.0	5.2
	⊥ क्राभाग	_	0.0	3.1
	14 का भाग	_	0.0	0.5
	23 का भाग	_	υU	50
	24 का भाग	_	0.0	30
	५७ वेरासाग	-	0.0	28
	३८ का माग	_	0.0	20
	4८षा भाग	_	0.0	26
	19 मा भाग	_	0.0	4.5
	5 2 का भा ग	_	0.0	13
_	[कमा	0 1 .0	16/65/1	, :-মাচ]

8.0 4321—Where is it appears to Central Government that it is necessary to lay a pipeline for transporting Petroleum Products from Bombay to Pune in the State of Maharashtia though Pipeline 48 to be laid through the agency of Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited, Bombay

And whereas it appears to Central Covernment that for laying pipe his it is necessary to acquire the Right of User in respect of the lands appended to herewith in schedule

Now therefore in exercise of the powers vested in them by virtue of Section 3(i) of Petroleimi and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in 1 and) AO 1962 (50 of 1962) Central Government notify their intention to acquire the Right of user in the lands referred to above

Any person having his interest in the lands relected to above having any objection for Liying the Pipe-line through above mentioned lands may prefer an objection within 21 days of the publication of tars notineation before the competent authority Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited, Bombay Pune Pipeline Project Fuels Refinery, Corndor Road, Bombay-74

All persons having any objection may also state whether they want to be heard in person either himself or through any lawyer appointed by him

SCHEDULE Village Katavi, Taluka Mawal, Distt Pune, (Maharashtra)

-							_
	Survey	No			4RF	- Д	
Village	Gut N	lo	hissa	Nο			-
					H	_	R
KATAVI		Part	-	_	00 -	_	36
	12	,,		-	00		52
	۲۱	,,			00	_	31
	14	,,			00		05
	28	,,		-	00	_	50
	24	,,		_	00		30
	37	,		_	00		28
	38	,		-	00		20
	48	**			00	_	26
	49	,,			00		43
	52	,			00	_	13
				_	_	_	

[No O-12016/65 82-Prod]

कां० आं० 4322 — - - मर्टियान हाता है कि लोकहित में यह सावश्यक है कि गूजरात राज्य म माहवान होइर से सकलेण्यर सीयर्ग्टा एक तब पेट्रालियम के परिचहन के लिये पाईप लाईन तेल तथा प्राकृतिक गैस आर्थाग द्वारा बिछाई जाना चाहिए।

श्रीर यन यह प्रतीप हाना है वि ऐसा लाईना का बिछान के प्रयासन ने लिए एनद्पाबद्ध अनुसूची में प्रणिन भूमि में उपयाग का श्रीप्रभार अस्ति। बरना श्रावस्थ्य है।

यत ग्रव गद्रोलियम श्रीर खनिज पाक्षपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग ने ग्राह्मिश का ग्रर्जन) ग्रिशिनियम 1962 (1962 ना 50) की श्रारा उकी उपधारा (II) द्वारा प्रदेश शक्तिया का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरवार न उसमें उपयोग का श्रीकार श्रीजन करने का श्रामा शामय एनद्वारा वासिन सिया है।

यणतं कि उक्त भूमिम हितयद्ध काई व्यक्ति उस भूमि के नांचे पाईप लाइन बिछान के लिए आक्षेप सक्षय पाधिकारी, येल तथा प्राकृतिक गैंग जायाग निर्माण और देखभाल प्रभाग, मकरपुरा राह, बढोदरा 9 का इस अधिमुचना ना नार्राख से 21 िनी के भीतर कर सक्षमा।

श्रीर ऐसा भारतेष करन याला हर व्यक्ति श्रीनिद्देष्टत यह भी कथन मरगा कि क्या वह यह जाहता है कि उसका मुनवाई अभिनयत हो या शिसा विश्वि अवस्थाया का मार्फत।

भन<u>ु</u>भूची

भाटवान हाउर स झकन्नक्थर सीटीएफ तन पाइप नाइन विकान के लिया।

राभ्य गुजारात	जिला भरूच	त्रासुधा मक्लेख्वर		
रु (स	≢नाहि न०	है क्ट य र	 एश्रारई	— मटीयर
नल ् ।	133	0	07	80
	[\tau	r o O-12	016/58/	৪ 2- সাম্ভ ০]

S.O. 4322 - Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transport of petroleum from Motwan Header to ANK (TF in Origin a State pipeline should be find by Oil and Natural Gas Commission,

And whereas it appears that for the purpose of laying such pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in the land described in the whedule annexed hereto,

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conteined by sub-section (1) of the Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in the Land) Act 1962 (50 of 1952), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein,

Provided that any person interested in the said land may, within 21 days from the date of this notification, object to the laying of the pipeline uner the land to the Competent Authority Oil and Natural Gas Commission, Construction and Maintenance Division, Makaipula Road, Vadodara (390009)

And every person making such an objection shall also state specifically whether he wishes to be heard in person or by a legal practitioner

SCHEDULF
Pipeline I rom Motwan Header to ANK CTF

State Gujarat District Broach Taluka Ankleshwar

		Tatuka	WHERE	nwar
Village	Block No	Hectar	Are	Centiare
TELWA	133		07	80

[No O-12016/58/82 Prod/

का जा 4323.--- समे: केन्द्रीय सरकार की यह प्रतीत होता है कि लोकहिन में यह आवश्यक है कि गुजरात राज्य में मंद्रिक एफ कि काल से विरम्पास तक दिलियन के प्रश्वहत के 1 पर प्रदिक्षक्त तेल तथा प्रकृतिक गैम आयोग हाण बिछाई जानी नाहिये।

मौर यत यह प्रतीत होता है कि ऐती लाईनों का विकान के प्रयोजन के लिये एतद्वाबद अनुसूची में तर्णित भूमि मे उपयोग का मधिकार प्रजित करना आवश्यक है।

भने. यब पट्टोलियन और किन्य पाइपलाईन (भूमि में उपयोग के भिक्षकार का अर्जन) भिक्षितियम, 1962(1962 का 50) की घारा 3 भी उपयोरा (ii) द्वारा प्रवक्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करने द्वुए केन्द्रीय संस्कार ने उनमें उपयोग मा अधिकार भिन्न करने का भाना श्राम्य एसद्वारा भोषित किया है।

वगर्ति के उना मूनि में (ए) बढ़ होई कार्तन उन न्सि के नीचे पाईप लाइन बिछाने के लिये, प्राक्षेप समाम प्राधिकारी, तेल नवा प्राकृषिक गैस पायोग, निर्माण भीर वेद्याणाल प्रभाग, मकरपुरा रोड, बढ़ोदरा-9 को इस प्रधिसूचना की तारीख से 21 दिनों के भीनर कर सके हा।

स्रौर ऐना प्राक्षेप करने आला हर अभिन विनिर्दिष्टल यह भी कवन करेगा कि करा पर यह बाइना है कि उसकी सुनवाई अधिकतगत हो या किसी पिश्व व्यवसायी की मार्फता

अनूनूची सीव्टी रुएफ व कलोल से विरमणाम सक पाइप लाइक विश्वाने के लिये।

राज्य-गुजराप्त	जिलामहमदाबाद	सालुका- विरमगाम			
गां ज	सर्थे नं ०	है कटेयर	भार	सेंटीयर	
विरमगाम	1363	0	02	25	
	1362	0	0.0	75	
	1364	0	17	20	
	1407	0	00	80	
	1 106/प्	0	34	20	
	1402	U	17	70	
	1401	0	22	95	
	1376/1	0	12	90	
	1400	0	12	60	
	1377 + 416	0	40	50	
	418	0	18	1.5	
	1379	1	64	25	
	1385	0	67	50	
	1386/1	0	35	40	
	1386/2	0	36	0.0	
	1386/14	0	61	5 (
	1386/15	0	39	30	

[सं० O-12016/57/82-प्रोह ∘-i]

S.O. 4323.—Whereas in appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transport of petroleum from C.T.F. Kalol to Viramgam in Gujarat State pipeline should be laid by the Oil and Natural Gas Commission;

And whereas it appears that for the purpose of laying such pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in the land described in the schedule annexed hereto;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals

pinelines (Acquisition of Right of User in the Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government benefit led to its intention to acquire the right of user therein

Provided that any person interested in the said land may, within 21 days from the date of this notification object to the laying of the pipeline under the land to the Competent Authority, Oil and Natural Gas Commission, Construction and Maintenance Division, Makarpura Road, Vadodara (390 009).

And every person making such an objection shall also state specifically whether he wishes to be heard in person or by a legal practitioner.

SCHEDULE
Pipeline From CTF Kalol to Virarogam

State : Gujarat District : Ahmedabad Taluka . Viramgam

Village	Survey No.	Hectare	Are	Centi- are
VIRAMGAM	1363	0	03	25
	1362	0	09	75
	1364	0	17	20
	1.407	0	00	80
	1406/A	0	34	20
	1402	0	17	70
	1401	0	22	95
	1376/1	0	12	90
	1400	0	12	60
	1377 + 416	0	40	50
	418	0	18	15
	1379	1	64	25
	1385	0	67	50
	1386/1	0	35	40
	1386/2	0	36	00
	1386/14	0	61	50
	1386/15	0	39	30

[No 12016/57/82-Prod -I]

का ब्या 4324.--यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार का यह प्रतीत होता है कि लोकहित में यह प्रायप्यक है कि गुजरान राज्य में सी ब्टी अपक कलोल से विरमगाम तक पेट्री लयन के परिवहत के जिने पाइवताइन तेल तथा प्राहितक गैस भायोग द्वारा विकाई जानी चाहिया।

भीर यतः यह प्रतीत होता है कि ऐसी लाईना को विकान के प्रयोजन के सिथे एसद्याद अनुमूर्ण में थिंगत भृति में उपयोग का अधिकार भजित करना भावस्थक है।

धत. अब पैट्रांतियम और खितिज पाइएलाईन (भूमि में उपयोग के प्रधिकार का प्रजैन) श्रधितियम 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (ii) द्वारी प्रदक्त शिंत्यों का प्रयोग करते हुए केर्द्राय सरकार ने उसमें उपयाग का ऑधवार प्रजित करने का अपना श्राण्य एत्व्द्वारा वोषित किया है।

बणते कि उसन भूमि में श्रियक कोई का क्या, उन भूमि के नीचे पाइप लाइन विद्याने के लिये आक्षेप नक्षप प्राधिकारी, तेन नथा प्रक्रितिक गैस प्रायोग, निर्माण भीर देखभाल प्रभाग, मकरपुरा रोड, बड़ोदरा-9 को इस प्रधिमूचना की तारीख से 31 दिना के भीनर कर मकेगा।

भीर ऐसा भाक्षेप करने वाला हर व्यक्ति पिनिरिष्टल यह भी कथन करेगा कि क्या वह यह चाहता है कि उसकी नुनवार्ड व्यक्तिगत हो या किसी विधि व्यवसायी की मार्फत।

अनुसूर्धः								
सी०टी०ण्कः स्लानं सिन्मगास एक पाइप लाइप विख्लो कि गिए।								
१७५ गुजराप	िला अहमशास	नाम्बा	र्म र लगाँ र	R				
 गाव	स च ा	हेस्टर	ग्र्यार सो	ही 🕫				
 इसमपुर	26 29 1 +	n	1)	0.5				
•	गा ठं द्रेक	0	ΩĮ	50				
	1042	0	0.7	> ()				
	1043	n	0.0	50				
	914	0	1.2	4.0				
	ባልዩ	0	0.0	50				
	क र टे हें र	0	0.1	80				
	939	0	٠4	ŧ٨				
	913	(1	27	7 2				
	595	θ	25	0 >				
	⊤ां∂ ट्रेम	0	0.2	53				
	()	0	0.7	4) 5				
	813	0	15	50				
	942	0	0.2	25				
	8 (1	0	1.1	2 1				
	545	0	14	วี จ				
	847	0	10	9 7				
	448	O	(1.5	7 ;				
	852	θ	0.5	0.0				
	853	ú	13	20				
	804/2	0	US	9				
	∖5 ℓ	O	16	8				
	835	Ü	0.0	4				

SO 4324—Whereas t appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interval that for the transport of petroloum from CTF Kalol to Viramgam in Gujarat State pipeline should bollaid by the Oil and Natural Gas Commission,

And whereas it appears that for the purpose of laying such pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in the land described in the schedule annexed hereto,

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conterred by sub-rection (1) of the Section 3 of the Petrolei n and Minrials pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in the Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein,

Provided that any person interested in the said land may within 21 days from the date of this notification, object to laying of the pipeline under the land to the Competent Authority Oil and Natural Gas Commission. Construction and Maintenance Division, Makarpura Road, Vadodara (390 009)

And every person making such an objection shall also state specifically whether he withes to be heard in person or by a legal practitioner

SCHEDULI

5 Pipeline From CTF Kalol To Viranigam
State Gujarat District Ahemdabad Taluka Viranigam

•				
Village	Survey No	Hectare	Are	Centi- are
HANSALPUR	$\frac{26}{28+29}$	0	19	05
	Curt Track	0	01	50
	1042	0	07	30

	~~			~
1	2		3	
-	1043	0	6 0	50
	9-14	()	רו	10
	943	0	(X)	5)
	€att Track	0	01	кO
	939	0	24	৭১
	913	0	27	75
	895	0	28	05
	Cart Track	0	02	55
	F68	0	07	95
	\$43	0	15	50
	842	0	02	23
	844	0	11	25
	845	v	14	55
	847	()	10)5
	848	()	06	75
	852	0	0,	00
	853	0	13	20
	854-2	0	05	93
	851	0	16	60
	855	0	0)	45
	~- ~			

[No. O 12016 57 82-P-od]

का लगा ० 43 '5 - प्या तहा गर्भा का जिल जिल हा है जि जारात्य से प्रत्य प्रत्य के प्रत्य का स्थान कर से स्थान कर में विश्व का में विश्व कर में के स्थान कर में के लिल कर में कि स्थान कर में के सिंदी के स

भीर यन तिप्रशासान है कि ऐसी लाईना का बिछाने प्रयाशन का लिय ए द्राधित प्रतुम्का मं विशित सिंध मं उपयार या प्रतिकार प्रजित त्रसा प्रानम्बर है।

श्रत सब रैट्रोलियम और खिरिन पाइन लाईन (भिमि से उपयोग के जाव राजका अर्जन) अधिमान 1902 (1902का 50) का छारा अकी उपधारा (॥) द्वारा प्रवक्त महिन्या २० अथा। हरत दूर् केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उससे उपयोग का विद्यार करिन यान का खाड़ी आग्रत एनद्द्वारा छ।एन किया है।

बशर्स कि उसा भूम म हिन्ब स्वार्ध का का मूमि क नीचे पाइम तार्धन विद्यान के लिए फार्सिम प्राप्त प्राप्तिकारी, नेल नया प्राष्ट्रिक सैस आवाग, निर्माण और देखसात प्रसाम, सम्प्रपुरा रोह, रहोदान-9 का ४म प्रिक्षिता का गामक में 21 दिनों के भीतन कर समेगा।

ग्रीर ऐसा मार्क्षण करन काता हर व्यक्ति किनिधिष्टत यह भी कथन करमा कि क्या यह यह जोहता है कि उपकी पुनर्याई व्यक्तिगत हो या विस्ती विधि व्यवसायी की मार्फत।

थनुमूची सीव्टीव्ह्फव का का में कि प्रमान नक पार्टप लाइन विकास के निया।

राज्य-गुजनन	जिला अहमदाबाद	तालुका	विरमगा	म
गार्थं	म ब ें नं ०	हैक्टेयर	मार०	सॅ टीयर
रगपुर	8	0	20	ר 2
	10	0	0.4	65
	4	0	28	50
	<u>ਖਾਣੇ ਫ੍ਰੈ</u> ਥ	0	0.1	0.5
	5	0	03	00
	28/1	0	27	90
	29	0	30	75
	27	0	0.5	85
	कार्ड ट्रैक	0	0.1	20

			-	
1	1	Y	1	1
	33	0	1)	80
	3.2	0	17	טר
	J 5	O	0.1	ا د
	ਖਾਣ ਫ਼ੈਥਾ	U	10	6, 2
	77	0	2.1	30
	कार्ट द़ैव	0	0.2	25
	7 4	Ű	39	$\alpha \sigma$
	73	0	0.7	6.5
	7.2	O	20	10
	71	U	0.6	75
	70	0	11	10
	69	0	3 6	0.0
	6 1	0	65	2.5

[स॰ ग्रा-1_01(/55/82-प्राड॰] एल॰एम॰ गोयल, निदेशक

SO 4325—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transport of petroleum from CFF Kalol to Viramgam in Gujarat State pipeline should be laid by the Oil and Natural Gas Commission.

And whereas it appears that for the purpose of laying such pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in the land described in the schedule annexed hereto,

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of the Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in the Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein,

Provided that any person interested in the said land may, within 21 days from the date of this notification, object to the Living of the pipeline under the land to the Competent Authority, Oil and Natural Gas Commission Constitution and Maintenance Division, Makatpur Road Vadodara (390 009)

And every person making such an objection shall also state specifically whether he wishes to be hear in person or by a legal practitioner

SCHEDULE Pipline From CTF Kalol to Virangam

State Gu	ijarat District	Ahmedabad	Taluka	Virami	ganı
Village	Block	No Hee	tare	Are	Centi-
					are
1 -		_	_ 3	4	_ 5
RANGPU	R 8		0	20	25
	10		0	04	65
	9)	28	50
	Cart T	rack	0	01	05
	5		0	03	00
	28/1		0	27	90
	29		0	30	75
	27		0	05	85
	Cart I	tack	o	01	20
	33		0	19	80
	32		0	17	55
	35		0	01	50
	Cart Ti	rack	0	01	65
	7 5		0	24	30
	Cart Ti	rack	0	02	25
	74		0	19	00
	73		0	07	65
					

	_	 	 	-	
1		7	3	4	5
_		_	 		
		72	0	20	10
		71	0	06	75
		70	0	14	40
		69	0	36	00
		64	0	65	25
-		 	 12016		

[No O-12016/55/82-Prod]
L M GOYAL Diretor

(कोयला विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 27 नवस्कर, 1982

का. ३१. 4326. — कंन्द्रीय सरकार नं, कोयला धारक क्षत्र (अर्जन और विकास) अधिनियम, 1957 (1957 का 20) की धारा 4 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन, भारत सरकार के ऊर्जा भत्रालय (कोयला विभाग) की अधिस्चना म का आ 971 तारील 22 फरवरी, 1982 द्वारा उस अधिस्चना सं सलग्न अनुसूची म जिनिविष्ट परिक्षत्र म 1000 00 एकड (लगभग) था 404 68 हैलंटर (लगभग) की भूमि म कोयले का पूर्वेक्षण करने के अरने अध्यय की मूचना दी थी,

और केन्द्रीय सरकार का समाधान हो गया है कि उयत भूमि म कोयला अभिप्राप्य है,

अत , केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की भारा 7 की उपभारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए इससे सलग्न अनुमूची मं उल्लिखित 1000 00 एक (लगभग) या 404 58 हैक्टर (लगभग) माप की भूमि का अर्जन करने क अपने आश्रय की स्चना दती है।

टिप्पण - 1 — इस अधिसूचना के अधीन आने वाले रेखाक का निरीक्षण, उपागुक्त, राची (बिहार) के कार्यालय में या कोयला नियम्नक 1, काउनिल हाउस म्हीट, कलकता-1 के कार्यालय में अथवा सन्द्रल कोलफीन्ड्स लिमिटेड (राजम्ब अन्भाग), दरभगा हाज्म, राची (बिहार) के कार्यालय में किया जा सकता है।

टिप्पण - 2 — कोयला धारक क्षेत्र (अर्जन व्यार विकास) अधिनियम, 1957 (1957 का 20) की धारा 8 के उपबन्धों की और ध्यान आकष्ट किया जाता है जिसमें निम्नलिखित उप-बन्धित हैं —

''8(1) कोई व्यक्ति जो किसी भूमि म जिसकी बाबत आरा 7 के अधीन अधिसूचना निकाली गई है, हितद है, अधिसूचना के निकाले जाने से तीम दिन के भीतर सम्पूर्ण भृमि या उसके किसी भाग या ऐसी भूमि म या उस पर किन्ही अधिकारों का अर्जन किए जाने के बार में आपित कर सकेगा।

सफ्टीकरण—इस धारा क अर्थान्तर्गत यह अपित्त नहीं मानी जाएगी कि कोई व्यक्ति किसी भृमि में कोयला उत्पादन के लिए स्वय क्षनन सिकयाएं करना चाहता है और ऐसी सिकयाएं केन्द्रीय सरकार या किसी अन्य व्यक्ति को नहीं करना चाहिए।

(2) उपधारा (1) के अधीन प्रत्येक आपत्ति सक्षम प्राधिकारी को लिखित रूप में की जाएगी और सक्षम प्राधिकारी आपत्तिकर्ता को स्वय सुने जाने का या विधि व्यवसायी द्वारा मूनवाई का अवसर दंगा और ऐसी सभी आपत्तियों को सुनने के परवात् और ऐसी अतिरिक्त राम, रिव कोई हो, करने के पश्चाना जो वह आबश्यक समभता है वह या तो धारा 7 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन अधिमृचित भृमि के या ऐसी भृमि म या एक पर क अधिकारों के संबंध में एक रिपोर्ट या ऐसी भृमि के विभिन्स ट्वाइो या ऐसी भृमि मा या उस पर के अधिकारों के संबंध मा या उस पर के अधिकारों के संबंध मा आपित्तयों पर अपनी सिफारिशों बीच उसके द्वारा की गई कार्यवाही के अभिलेख सहित दिभिन्न रिपोर्ट केन्द्रीय सरकार को उसके विभिन्न वस के लिए दगा ।

(3) इस गरा के प्रयोजनां के लिए नह व्यक्ति किसी भूमि माहितक द्वासमा जाएगा जो प्रतिकर माहित का दावा करने देता हत्त्वार हो। दिद भूमि सा ऐसी भूमि मा या उस पर अधिकार इस अधिनियम को अधीन अर्जित कर लिए जाते। ''

टिष्ण - 3 — केन्द्रीय गरकार ने, कोयला नियंत्रक, 1, काउपित हाउम म्हाँट, इलकत्ता को उक्त अधिनियम के अधीन सक्षम प्राधिकारी नियक्त किया है।

अनु सुधी

क्री विस्तार ब्लाक (उलरी कर्णपुरा कोयला क्षेत्र)

> ष्ट्राह्म स० राजस्व/4*2*/82 नारीख 27-5-1982

(जिसमे प्रजित की जाने अगी भूमि दिणित की गई है)

मर्भा प्रधिकार

च-क

_ ऋम सं०	ग्राम	थानः	थाना स०	जिला	भॅत्र	— टिप्पणिया
1.	 च्री	वरम्	16	रार्स(1000 00	 भाग
					ए व इ	

कुल क्षेत्र :-- 1000 00 एकड् (लगभग) या 404 68 हैक्टर (लगभग)

ग्राम चूरों में प्रजित किए जाने वाले प्लाट मख्याक सीमा वर्णन .

क-ख रेखा ग्राम चूरी में प्लाट गठयाक 217,211 श्रीर 167 में होकर जाती है (जो भानकी कोयला खान के मध्य भागत - मस्मिलित सीमावनाती है) श्रीर बिद् ''ख' पर - मिलता है।

ख-ग-घ ्रेयाण ग्राम वृश्ति में प्याट संख्याक 167, 138, 137, 138 144, 145, 146, 153, 155, 160, 161, 160, 167, 115, 116 118 में आक्र जाती है (जो भूगी कावला खान के साथ भागत मस्मियित सीमा बनागी है) ग्रीर बिंदु "ग" पर मिलती है।

क-क्का सप्रति नहीं का भागत मध्य रखा के साथ-साथ जाती है (जो ग्राम चुरी श्रीर रे० तथा चुरी श्रीर अपरा ग्रामो की भागत सम्मिलित - सीमा भी है) श्रीर बिद्दुंड पर मिलती है।

्रखा ग्राम चूरा ने प्लाट संख्याक ७० ८५, ८७ ७०, ५३ ८७, 16, ३ ग्रीर । सेहांकर कार्त, है ग्रीर बिंदु 'त्र पर मिलर्ता है।

रखा देवनद नर्द, की भागत मध्य रेखा के माथ-माथ जाती है (जो हजारीवाग और राची की जिला मीमा का भाग भी है) स्रीर. धारभिक बिंदू 'क'' पर गियर्ता है।

[मं॰ 19/54/82-सी० ए**न**०]

(Department of Coal) New Delhi, the 27th November, 1982

S.O. 4326.—Whereas by the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Energy (Department of Coal) No. S.O. 971 dated the 22nd February, 1982, issued under sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957 (20 of 1957), the Central Government gave notice of its intention to prospect for coal in 1000.00 acres (approximately) or 404.68 hectares (approximately) of the lands in the locality specified in the Schedule appended to that notification;

And whereas the Central Government is satisfied that coal is obtainable in the said lands;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 7 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby gives notice of its intention to acquire the lands measuring 1000.00 neres (approximately) or 404.68 hectures (approximately) described in the Schedule appended hereto.

Note 1.—The plan of the area covered by this notification may be inspected in the Office of the Deputy Commissioner, Ranchi, (Bihar) or in the Office of the Coal Controller, 1,

Council House Street, Calcutta-1 or in the Office of the Central Coalhelds Lunited (Revenue Section), Darbhanga House, Ranchi (Bihar).

Note 2.—Attention is hereby invited to the provisions of section 8 of the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act. 1957 (20 of 1957), which provides as follows:—

"8(1) Any person interested in any land in respect of which a notification under section 7 has been issued, may, within thirty days of the issue of the notification, object to the acquisition of the whole or any part of the land or of any rights in or over such land.

Explanation: It shall not be an objection within the meaning of this section for any person to say that he himself desires to undestake mining operations in the land for the production of coal and that such operations should not be undertaken by the Central Government or by any other person.

(2) Every objection under sub-section (1) shall be made to the competent authority in writing and the com-

petent authority shall give the objector an opportunity of being heard either in person or by a legal practitioner and shall, after hearing all such objections and after making such further inquiry, if any, as he thinks processive, either make a report in respect of the land which has been rotified under sub-section (1) of section 7 or of rights in or over such land, or make different reports in respect of different parcels of such land or of rights in or over such land, to the Central Government, containing his recommendations on the objections, together

with the record of the proceedings held by him, for the decision of that Government.

(3) For the purposes of this section, a person shall be deemed to be interested in land who would be entitled to claim an interest in compensation if the land or any rights in or over such land were acquired under this Act."

Note 3—The Coal Controller, I, Council House, Street. Calcutta, has been appointed by the Central Government as the Competent Authority under the act.

Schedule

Churi Extension Block
(North Karanpura Coalfield)

Drawing Number, Rev/42/82

Dated-27-5-1982

(Showing lands to be acquired)

All Rights

Serial Number.	Village	Thana	Thana Number	District	Area	Remarks
1. Churi	Burmu		16	Ranchi	1000.00 acres	Part
			Total area P-or		0 acres (approximately) 8 hectares (approximately)	·· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Plot numbers to be acquired in village churi.

1 (Part), 2, 3(Part), 4,16(Part), 29(Part), 30 to 52, (Part), 53. (Part), 70(Part), 85(Part), 99(Part), 100 to 114. 115(Part), 117, 18 (Part), 137(Part), 138(Part)) 139 to 143, 144(Part), 145(Part), 146(Part), 153(Part), 155(Part), 156 to 159, 160(Part), 162 to 166 167(Part), 211(Part), 212(Part), 1304, 1315, 1316 and 1317.

Boundary description: --

- A-B line passes through plot number 212, 211 and 167 in village Churi (which form part common boundary with Manki Colliery and meets at point 'B'.
- B-C-D lines pass through plot numbers 167, 138, 137, 138, 144, 145, 146, 153, 155, 160, 161, 160, 167, 115, 116, 113 in village Churi (which form part common boundary with Churi Colliery) and meets at point 'D'.
- D-E line passes along the part Central line of Saphi Nadi (which is also part common boun lary with villages. Chart and Ray and Chari and Bachra) and meets at point 'E'
- L-F line passes through plot numbers 99, 85, 29, 70, 53, 29, 16, 3 and 1 in village Churt (which form, part common boundary with Ray Colliery) and meets at point 'F'.
- F-A line passes along the part Central line of River Deonod (which is also the part of district boundary of Hazatibagh and Ranchi and meets at starting point 'A'.

INo. 19/54/82-C]

श्क्षिपत्र

नई दिल्ली, 1 दिसम्बर, 1982

का. आ. 4327 — भारत के राजपत्र, भाग 2, खण्ड 3, उपलंड (2) तारीख 19 दिसम्बर, 1981 के पृष्ठ 3873 पर प्रकाशित भारत सरकार के ऊर्जा मंत्रालय (कोयला दिभाग) की अधिस्जना सं. का. आ. 3396, तारीख 10 नवम्बर, 1981 में, स्तम्भ 2 में, —

पंदित 16 और 17 में, ''29 से 43 तक, 44 (भाग) 45, 46 और 49 (भाग)'' के स्थान पर ''29 में 43 तक, 44 (भाग). 45, 46, 49 (भाग) और 306'' पिंदुए।

ासं. 19/15/81-सा एल. I

स्वर्ण सिंह, अवर सन्दिय

CORRIGENDUM

New Delhi, the 1st Docember-1982

S.O.4327—. In the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Energy (Department of Coal), No. S.O. 3396 dated the 10th November 1981, published in the Gazette of India, Part II section 3 sub-section (ii), dated the 19th December, 1981, at page 3874 in column II,

- (i) in lines 15 aud 16, "for 29,to 43, 44 (part), 45, 46 & 49(part) Read" 29 to 43, 44 (part), 45,46 49 (part) and 306".
- (ii) in line 33, for "acquired u/s (1) of the Coal Act".

read "acquired u/s 9(1) of the Coal A

[No. 19/15/81-CI]

Swiran Sing'i Under Se 14

MINISTRY OF LABOUR & REHABILITATION

(Department of Labour)

New Delhi, the 6th December, 1982

S.O. 4328.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal No. 2, Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Messrs Bharat Coking Coal Limited, at Karmik Bhavan, Post Office Saraidhela, District Dhanbad, and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 2nd December, 1982.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL 'IRIBUNAL (NO. 2), DHANBAD

PRESENT:

Shri J. P. Singh, Presiding Officer.

Reference No. 22 of 1981

In the matter of an industrial dispute under S. 10(1)(d) of the I.D. Act, 1947

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the monagement of M/s. Bharat Coking Coal Ltd., at Karmik Bhawan, P.O. Saraidhelia, Dist. Dhanbad and their workmen.

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of the employers -Shri R. S. Murthy, Advo-

On behalf of the workmen—Shri S. Bose, Secretary, Rashtriya Colliery Mazdoor Sangh, Dhanbad.

STATE : Bibar.

INDUSTRY : Coal

Dhanbad, 23rd November, 1982

AWARD

This is a reference under S 10 of the I.D. Act, 1947. The Central Government by its order No. I-20012(263)/80-D. III(A) dated 15-4 1981 has referred this dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication on the following terms:—

SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the management of Commercial Directorate of M/s. Bharat Coking Coal Ltd., Dhanbad in terminating the service of Shri Debnath Prasad Daftry with effect from 18th January, 1976 is justified? If not, to what relief is the said workman entitled?"

- 2. The concerned workman Shri Debnath Prasad was employed as a daftry in the Commercial Directorate of M/s. Bharat Coking Coal Limited with effect from December, 1974. His case is that he continued as such till 17-1-1976. He was paid by vouchers at the rate of Rs. 7 50 per day paid monthly on the number of days worked. His further case is that besides him there were two other daftries in the same office who joined this Commercial Directorate after amalgamation of Coal Board with BCCL. The services of the concerned workman was terminated by the BCCL on the plea that a permanent daftry was transferred from some other office of BCCL.
- 3. The management has taken the plea that the concerned workman was appointed as a casual daftry at the rate of Rs. 7.50 per day in December, 1974, but his services were no longer required and therefore in Yuly, 1975 his services were terminated the reason for the termination was that 2 permanent daftries were posted in the Commercial Directorate because the Coal Bond where they used to work was amalgamated with BCCL. The further case of the management is that the concerned workman used to work in the office of the Commercial Directorate which is not a mine 1056 GI/82—4

and therefore the Central Government is not the appropriate Government for making this reference.

- 4. The plea that the Central Government is not an appropriate Government has been taken very often in this court. The considered view of this court has been that such of the offices of the BCCL as are directed towards the production of coal come within the Central Government notification declaring coal industry to be a 'controlled industry' with reference to the provisions of Industries (Development of Industries) Act, 1951. The role of the Commercial Directorate has not been exactly defined so as to exclude it from the above notification. It is therefore not possible to hold that Central Government has no jurisdiction as appropriate Government to make this reference to this Tribunal. The next point taken is that the concerned workman was paid through vou-chers for a period of seven months from January, 1975 to July, 1975. The entry in support of the same are Exts. M1 to M7. The payment was made through vouchers and the documents in this connection are Exts. M8 to M13. The case of the management is that in all the concerned workman worked for 146 days, It will appear that the concerned worked till July, 1975 and not till January. 1976. The industrial dispute raised by the union shows that his case was that his services were terminated in July, 1975. So the plea of the workman in this court that he worked till January, 1976 cannot be correct.
- 5. The next plea taken by the management is that the claim is stale because this reference was made on 15-4-81 when finally his prayer for giving employment was turned down on 18 1-76. The netition of Rastriya Colliery Mazdoor Sangh addressed to the Assistant Labour Commissioner (C), Dhonbad is dated 17-8-78. Prior to that the union had approached the management to consider the case of the concerned workman. The positive case of the workman is that be approached the authorities for giving him employment. His evidence is that he was promised employment in the Press which was newly started and he had to wait for a sympathetic response from the management of BCCL. This claim therefore cannot be said to be stale.
- 6. With regard to the contention of the management that the concerned workman was a casual employee, the plea taken by the workman is that his services could not be said to be casual because he was working on temporary basis against permanent position. It is an admitted position that two posts of dastries were created in the Commercial Directorate and he worked on temporary basis against a permanent vacancy. Shri S. Bose appearing on behalf of the concerned workman has tried to make a distinction between a casual worker and a temporary employee. What he means to say is that casual labour force has to be kept on the roll for meeting exigencies of work either in the undergorund mine or on the surface. According to him there is no quesmine or on the surface. According to him there is no question of keeping a casual daftry in office. The documents filed on behalf of the concerned workman are Exts. W1 to W.4. Document Ext. W.4 show that the work load had increased considerably. So Shri Debnath Prasad was engaged. The sanction order for payment to him is dated 10-4-1975. Another order dated 1-5-75 would show that the posts of daffries were trappingd to be sanctioned to come two posts of daftries were required to be sanctioned to cope up with the workload. The case of the concerned workman is that two posts of daftries were sanctioned, but later on filled up from transfer and his case was ignored. Now the position is that if the employment of the concerned workman is treated to be casual then he should have continuous attendence of 240 days for making him entitled to be a permanent employee. But according to the Standing Order of the colliery a temporary worker has to be made permanent by virtue of his service for a period of six months. The contention of Shri Bose is that the concerned workman cannot be treated as casual in the sense as it is understood in the collieries. According to him in the office there are tempolery workmen and permanent workmen. His further contention is that if a list of casual workers is maintained by the Directorate of Commerce the same should have been produced to show the status of the concerned workman. I think that there is a good deal of sense in what Shri Bose ways. It is unthinkable that an office would keep a casual workman on a post of daftry. He could at best be treated as temporary hand for the period he worked.
- 7. Shri Bose has contended that the concerned workman should have been employed in the Commercial Directorate

and if not, he should have been provided with a job of daftry in any of the office of the BCCL. In ordinary course the concerned workman should have been made permanent on completion of six months, and the same was not done

8. In view of the above I hold that the management of Commercial Directorate of Messra Bharat Coking Coal Ltd., Dhanbad in terminating the services of Shri Debnath Prasad daftry with effect from 18th January, 1976 is not justified. Consequently, he should be put into employment within one month of passing of this award. He will not be entitled to any back wages.

This is my award.

J. P. SINGH, Presiding Officer. [L-20012/263/80-D.1H(A)]

S.O. 4329.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act. 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal No. 2, Dhanbad in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of East Bhaggatdih Colliery of Messrs Bharat Coking Coal Limited, Post Office Jharia, District Dhanbad, and their workmen which was received by the Central Government on the 2nd December, 1982.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (No. 2), DHANBAD

PRESENT:

Shri J. P. Singh, Presiding Officer.

Reference No. 33 of 1981

In the matter of an industrial dispute under S. 10(1)(d) of the I.D. Act, 1947

APPEARANCES:

Employers in relation to the management of East Bhaggatdih Colliery of Messrs Bharat Coking Coal Ltd., P.O. Jharia, District Dhanbad.

AND

Their workmen

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of the employers-Shri B. Joshi, Advocate.

On behalf of the workmen—Shri S. P. Singh, General Secretary Khan Mazdoor Congress, Dhanbad.

STATE : Bihar. INDUSTRY · Coal.

Dhanbad, the 24th November, 1982

AWARD

Th's Is a reference under S. 10 of the I.D. Act. 1947. The Central Government by its order No. L-20012(16)/81-D III(A) dated 2nd June, 1981 has referred this dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication on the following terms:—

SCHEDULE

- "Whether the demand of the workmen of East Bhaggatdih Colliery of Messrs Bharat Coking Coal Ltd., Post Office Iharia, District Dhanbad that the date of appointment of Shri L. N. Rajwar, Attendence clerk should be regarded as the 1st September. 1944 and not the 2nd February, 1972, is justified If so, to what relief is the said workman entitled?"
- 2. The case of the concerned workman, Shri L. N. Rajwar is that he entered into the service of M/s. Central Dobari Colliery on 1st January, 1944 as register keeper under the Indian Mines Act, 1923 and Indian Mines Regulation, 1926. Since then he has been in regular and continuous service and become a member of Coal Mines Provident Fund with No. C/11267 from 12th May, 1947 i.e. eversince the introduction of Coal Mines Provident Fund Scheme in the coal industry.

The colliery was taken over by the Central Government on 17th October, 1971 and the service of the concerned workman was retained by the present management of M/s. Bharat Coking Coal Limited. According to him his old CMPF number is continuing. His case is that after the take over Form B register was prepared in which his date of appointment was shown to be 2nd February, 1972 instead of 1st September, 1944. This according to the concerned workman was arbitrary because his service has been continuous right from 1944. He had represented verbally against his wrong entry of the date of appointment and when his grievance was not redressed he raised an industrial dispute in order to correct his date of appointment. On failure of conciliation this reference has been made.

- 3. The case of the management, on the other hand, is that the management of Central Dobari Colliery was taken over by the Central Government on 31st January, 1973 and not from 17th January, 1971. It was admitted that the concerned workman was retnied in the service on the same terms and conditions of service as existed on the date of take over. According to the management his date of employment was 2nd February, 1972 on the colliery record. With regard to the Form B register the case of the management is that BCCL prepared Form B register on the basis of old Form B register and other records maintained by the erstwhile employer. Furthermore, it was stated that each and every worker was allowed to verify the correctness of the entry made in the new Form B register and the identity card register and to put his signature or LTI on the tame. The concerned wo.1-man put his signature after going through the entry as made in the Form B register and identity card verifier in tolical of acceptance of the correctness of the entries made therein. He was issued identity card bearing all the particulars wherein the date of his appointment was shown to be 2nd February, 1972. The management's further contention is that there may have been break of service of the concerned workman and the erstwhile employer may have again taken him into the iob afresh. But so far as the BCCL management is concerned his service could not be said to be continuous for the purpose of other service benefits.
- 4. Both the parties adduced evidence in support of their respective cases. On behalf of the workmen four documents have been filed and admitted into evidence. Ext. W. 1 is an appointment letter in the name of Laxmi Narayan Rajwar as register keeper. The appointment was under the Indian Mines Act and Indian Mines Regulation. It has been signed by the manager. The date of appointment shows 1st September, 1944. Ext. W. 2 is an account of Coal Mines Provident Fund for 1952 in the name of Laxmi Narayan Rajwar. Ext. W. 2/1 is an account of 1951. Ext. W. 3 is a representation of Shri L. N. Raiwar attendence clerk dated 31st July, 1980. In this letter the concerned workman alleged that he was appointed on 1st September, 1944 in Central Dobari Colliery and he became a member of Coal Mines Provident Fund with the number C/11267 which is still continuing. According to him the year of his service was wrengly mentioned as 2nd February, 1972 after the nationalisation of the coal mine in 1971. His prayer was that he had already completed 36 years of service and according to the policy decision of M/s. BCCL was entitled for the comployment of one of his dependent in the establishment. In fact on this ground he wanted his son to be employed. Ext. W. 4 is dated 27th September, 1977 addressed to the General Manager, Area No. VIII. In this petition Shri Laxmi Narayan Rajwar on the aforesaid ground wanted his senlority to be calculated from 1st September, 1944.
- 5. The management has also filed a number of documents Fxt. M. 1 is P.F. contribution as per 'V' statement in respect of Shal L. N. Raiwar. The non-ribution 13 shown from the year 1967 to 1977. This statement shows that in 1971 and 1982 there was no deduction of P.F. at all. In all the other years deductions were made. Ext. M 2 is an extract from the identity card register concerning Shri L. N. Rajwar. He is shown to be attentdance clerk and his date of employment is 2nd February. 1972. His CMPF No. 18 stated to be C/11267. Ext. M. 3 is a transfer order of L. N. Rajwar and others from South Jharia colliery to East Bhaggatdih coffiery. The last may certificate Ext. M. 4 shows his P.F. No. to be C/11267 and Form B No. 333. His date of appointment is shown to be 2nd February, 1972.
- MW-1 Shri R. P. Singh is Sr. Personnel Officer in East Bhaggatdih colliery. He has been handling this case on behalf

of the management, and he has proved the documents Exts. M1 to M4. His evidence is that from the records maintained by the private owners of the colliery his service commenced in the quarter ending March, 1967. In his cross-examination he has said that he was not able to say why P.F. contribution should not be realised from the concerned workman from 2nd February, 1971 to March, 1972.

7. The concerned Shri Laxmi Narayan Rajwar (WW.1) has proved all the documents produced by him. In his cross-examination he has said that in the Form B register and his identity card the date of his appointment is shown to be 2nd February, 1972. He has also admitted that in course of his duty he used to write Form B register also. He had no papers with him to show that any deduction was made towards provident fund in the year 1971 and 1972. He has further admitted that in 1971 he had filed a case before the Regional Labour Commissioner (C) against the private owner. The R.L.C.(C) Dhanbad had told him orally that the management would take him into the job. He has said that the RLC(C) had given instruction to the owner to take him back in the job at the time of Kali Puja of 1971. The RLC(C) had told him that he would talk to the officer of the management on phone. Four months thereafter he was given the job. His further evidence that at that time Form B register was written over which he put his signature. The winness WW.1 has clarified further that the private owner had terminated his job in 1970 and the BCCL gave him new employment from 2nd February. 1972. After 2nd February, 1972 there had been regular deduction of Provident fund from his pay. Furthermore he has admitted that he never complained before the BCCL after 2nd February, 1972 that the date of his service mentioned as 2nd February, 1972 was wrong. He has also admitted that he does not have any paper to show his continuous service at Dobari Colliery except the document Ext. W. 1.

8. It will appear from the evidence of WW 1 that he had accepted the case of the management that from 2nd February, 1972 his appointment was made afresh. It further appears that the private owner had terminated his service and he was taken back. According to the concerned workman the take over of the colliery by the Central Government took place on 17th October, 1971. The contention of the management is that the Central Dobari Colliery was taken over w.e.f. 31st January, 1973 and not from 17th October, 1971. On behalf of the workman no document has been filed to show that the colliery was taken in an 17th October, 1971. It appears therefore that the private owner of the colliery had terminated the service of the concerned workman sometime in the year 1970 resulting a break in his service. This explains the reason why from 2nd February, 1972 fresh date of appointment was shown in Form B register. On 2nd February, 1972 the private owner were still there because the nationalisation took place in 1973. The management of BCCL were bound to treat the concerned workman as an employee with the date of his appointment as 2nd February, 1972. This is reflected in all the papers filed by the management. The evidence therfore leaves no doubt that there was break in his service and he was appointed afresh. His service therefore cannot be said to be continuous for the purpose of gratuity and other benefits.

9. In view of my above discussions, I hold that the demand of the workmen of East Bhaggatdin colliery of Messrs Bharat Coking Coal Ltd., Post office Jharia, District Dhanbad that the date of appointment of Shri L. N. Rajwar, Attendence Clerk should be recorded as the 1st September, 1944 and not 2nd February, 1972 is not justified. Consequently, the conceined workman is not entitled to any relief.

This is my award.

J. P. SINGH, Presiding Officer [No. L-20012(16)/81-D. III(A)]

New Delhi, the 8th December, 1982

S.O. 4330.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tributal No. 2, Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the magement of Barora Colliery of Messrs Bharat Coking Coal Limited, Post Office Nawagarh, District Dhanbad, and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 7th December, 1982.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (NO. 2), DHANBAD

Reference No. 4 of 1982

PRESENT:

Shri J. P. Singh, Presiding Officer.

In the matter of an industrial dispute under S. 10(1)(d) of the I.D. Act, 1947

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the management of Barora Colliery of M/s. Bharat Coking Coul Limited, Post office Nowagarh, District Dhanbad and their workmen.

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of the employers-Shri B. Joshi, Advocate.

On behalf of the workmen—Shri D. Mukherjee. Secretary, Bihar Colliery Kamgarh Union, Dhanbad.

STATE : Bihar.

INDUSTRY : Coal.

Dhanbad, the 29th November, 1982

AWARD

This is an industrial dispute under S. 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. The Central Government by its order No. L-20012(308)/81-D.HI(A) dated 21st January, 1982 has referred this dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication on the following terms:

SCHEDULE

"Whether the demand of SShri Montu Dom, Ratan Goswami, Krishna Roy, Gangadhar Goswami, Sudama Roy, Hulash Goswami, Sankar Mahato, Panchanan Mondal, Panchu Mondal and Rashu Dhoba for re-employment by the management of Barora colliery of M/s. Bharat Cokin, Coal Limited, Post Office Nowagarh, District Dhanbad is justified? If so, to what relief are the workmen concerned entitled?"

2. The case of the concerned workmen Shri Montu Dome and others is that they are all workmen of Mondal Kendwadih colliery who had put in continuous service in the wath contery who had put in continuous service in the colliery for a number of years prior to suspension of mining work of the said colliery sometime in November, 1971. Mondal Kendwadih colliery stopped functioning due to non-clearance of electricity bills by the then employer because the electricity connection had been cut off. The mining operation remained suspended from November, 1971. Due to suspending of prining operation the workmen were stopped. to suspension of mining operation the workmen were stopped from work. The coliery management somehow cleated the electricity dues in July, 1972 when the electricity connection were restored and the management started taking steps to prepare the mine for mining operation. The case of the workmen is that these concerned workmen along with others approached the management in July, 1972 to allow them to resume their duties, but the management assured the workmen that all the workmen of the colliery would be allowed to join duties as and when mining operation started picking up. The operation however, could not be started immediately as the colliery was flooded due to heavy rain in November/December, 1972. The erstwhile employer in November/December, 1972. The erstwhile employer started reemploying few workmen for essential work i.e. for working the mine, etc. The said Mondal Kendwadih colliery was taken over by the Central Government w.e.f. 30-1-73. The concerned workmen along with other workmen approached the management again for allowing them to resume their duties as they were old and permanent workmen. At that time the concerned workmen were assured by the management for re-employment gradualy as soon as the mining operation resumed. The colliery was nationalised on 1-5-72 and handed over to M/s. Bharat Coking Coa Limited. The concerned workmen again along with others approached management through their union viz. Bihar Colliery Kamparh Union to allow these concerned workmen to resume their duties, and again the colliery management assured the union that all the old workemn of the colilery would be taken back after verifying old records of the colliery. The case of the concerned workmen is that when the mining operation in 1971 had been stonged these concerned workmen we, neither retrenched nor discharged and as such they continue

to be in the employment of the said colliery and were entitled to be re-employed in the colliery by the new manage-The new management however, employed a large number of new hands in the colliery by ignoring the claim of the concerned workmen. The case of the concerned workmen is that since they were in the roll of the company prior to Nationalisation Act, the concerned workmen would be deemed to be in the roll of the colliery i.e. on the appropriate day. The union raised an injustrial dispute in the name of 93 workmen in which the names of the concerned workmen could not be included due to inadvertance. The demand of the 93 workmen of biondal Kendwadih colliery was referred to Central Government Industrial Tribunal (No.1) Dhanbad which was registered as Reference No.22/77. The award was passed in that reference in favour of the workmen along with their demand for re-instatement with full wages. The concerned workmen approached the management during the pendency of the reterence No.22/77 and were assured by the management that after the award went in favour of the workmen and if their names appear in the Form B register filed in connection with the above case, they would be reinstated with full back wages. But after the pronouncement of the award they were refused reemployment although all the workmen of Reference No. 22/77 were re-employed. The name of the concerned workmen appeared in the Form B register which was taken into evidence on admission of the management in Reference No. 22/77. These concerned workmen were therefore compelled to raise an industrial dispute through their union viz. Bihar Colliery Kamgarh Union which has resulted in this reference. Their prayer is that they should be re-employed w.c.f. November/December, 1972 when the colliery restarted mining operation. They have also claimed full back wages and other emoluments from M/s. Bharat Coking Coal Limited from 1st May, 1973.

3. The case of the management is that these concerned workmen were never employees of Mondal Kendwadih colliery prior to take over and nationalisation. Their further case is that after nationalisation on 1-5-72 Mondal Kend-wadih colliery was merged with Barora colliery. All the workmen who were on the roll of the colliery were given employment and some workmen re-employed after opening of the mine on the basis of settlement and award. So there is surplus workmen in Barora colliery. The demand of the workmen for re-employment is said to be vague, mis-leading and suffers from delay and latches. It has been further said that the crstwhile management employed casual and temporary workers as and when required and used to terminate their services when their services were not required. It was therefore difficult for the management to say if the concerned workman at all worked during the time of the private owner. The concerned workmen however are said to be not permanent workmen of Mondal Kendwadih colliery and as such they could not have any claim whatsoever for employment. The demand of the workmen is said to be illegal, unreasonable and justified. The management has tried to stress that these concerned workmen have been set up an interested persons to get into employment by adopting illegal and unfair means. On the above grounds the prayer of management is to reject the demand.

4. In this reference the only point for consideration is as to whether these concerned workmen were employees of Mondal Kendwadih colliery at the time when work had been restored in the colliery. This matter is not in dispute that at the time of take over by the Central Government the colliery was not doing any mining operation. The colliery actually started functioning after nationalisation when BCCL took over as owner of the colliery. The simple case of the concerned workmen is that 93 workmen had been re-employed by the management of BCCL by virtue of an award passed by the Central Government Industrial Tribunal No. 1, Dhanbad in Reference case No. 22/77. The award was passed on the basis of Form B register which showed the names of 93 workmen. Before Central Government Industrial Tribunal (No. 1) Dhanbad Form B register was produced by the management showing the names of those 93 workmen and the same became the basis of the award. These workmen claimed that their names appear in this very Form B register. It was called for from the custody of Assistant Labour Commissioner (C) Dhanbad where it was lving after the disposal of Reference No. 22/77 of Central Government Industrial Tribunal (No. 1) Dhanbad. This document has been proved and marked Ext. W. 1 A certified copy of the award has been filed on behalf of the workmen.

5. A certified copy of the award of the Central Government Industrial Irround (No. 1) Dhanbad is on the record of this case. Binar Colliery Kamgarh Union had represented the case of 93 workmen on the same facts as appear in this tase. The basis of their claim was this Form B register, Ext. W. 1. There was some contest about the genuineness of this document before Tribunal No. 1. Dhanbad in that case which was negatived by the Tribunal in the award. It was also held that due to the closure of the pit on account or disconnection of electricity the workers were stopped from work. There was no lock-out, lay ou or strike and so the stoppage of their work was not under the provisions of I.D. Act, 1947. A point was also raised that the claim was belated and because it was stale no relief could be granted to the workmen. This was also negatived with the finding that it was the responsibility of BCCL to provide them with work in the colliery as they were on the roll of the colliery at the time of take over and nationalisation of the colliery. None of these points have been taken in this case by the management either in the written statement or in cyidence. The learned Advocate for the management Shri B. Joshi wisely refrained from using matters which had been earlier decided by the Tribunal No. 1 in a similar case. He has admitted that as consequent upon the award of the Tribunal No. 1, Dhanbad the workmen concerned in Reference No. 22/77 were given employment. Before me, however, Shri Joshi has tried to show that this document. Ext. W. 1 is not genuine. The case putforth by the management is that since the take over took place the custodian Shri B. P. Pai managed to take hold the documents available in the colliery. There was no Form B register available. From the award of Tribunal No. 1, Dhanbad it appears that some bonus registers and some attendance registers were available which contained the names of 10 out of 93 workers of that case. On consideration of the matters placed before the Tribunal it was clearly mentioned in the award that the bonus register and the attendence registers have their evidentiary use for limited purpose only, and on their basis the question as to whether these 93 workmen were workmen of the colliery or not could not be decided. The Form B register being statuof the colliery and so, Ext. W. 1 which is before this Tribunal was accepted as a correct document for coming to a conclusion. What led the Tribunal No. 1, Dhanbad to accept tas genuine was the fact that the management admitted before the Tribunal that the Form B register (Ex. W. 1) had been filed before the Conciliation Officer (C) from its own custody. In this case the management has tired to make custody. In this case the management has tired to make out a case that this Form B register, Ext. W. 1 was presented before the management after the take over by the union and before the management after the take over by the union and not by the ex-owner. In this connection management placed one document Ext. M5 and another document Ext. M6 a true copy of a letter dated 13-2-73 addressed to Mr. Dutta, colliery manager. The writer of this letter is Shri S. K. Upadhyaya, Receiver. We do not get details, but it appears that at the time of take over the colliery was in possession of Shri S. K. Upadhyaya, Receiver, appointed by the Civil Court. Shri Dutta appears to have asked for documents with of Shri S. K. Upadhyaya, Receiver, appointed by the Chur Court. Shri Dutta appears to have asked for documents with regard to Mondal Kendwadih colliery. Shri S. K. Upadhyaya, Receiver informed him that the court is to be opened on 16-2-73 after it was closed on 8-2-73. He asked Mr. Dutta to see him in the afternoon of 16-2-73 in his office, and to take from him all the relevant papers. Through Ext. M5 dated 14-2-73 Shri Dutta informed the custodian, Group II, Area No. that the relevant papers would be available on 16-2-73. We do not know what happened, but we can get some idea from the letter, Ext. M7 which is dated 4-5-73 written by Shri B. P. Pai. custodian to Shri S. K. Upadhyaya, Advocate Dhanbad Court. The subject matter is—"Labour strength of Mondal Kendwadih colliery under your Receivership" This is the direct request by the custo-dian to the Receiver to send the names of all workers working in Mondal Kendwadih colliery prior to the take ever along with other documents showing the names and wages management in this case has produced a list of staff and workers. Ext. M1 and also another list of staff. Fxt. M3 prepared by BCCL after netionalisation. Ext. M2, is page. No. 7 and 8 of the above Man power list and custodian's report. Ext. M4 is a statement of Shri Mannuran Gosai. This document have been filed to show that the custodian and the BCCL prepared Man power list on the basis of such of the documents as were available in the absence of the Form B register not produced by the management of the private colliery. In none of these documents the workmen of Ref. 22/77 and the workmen of this case are mentioned and for this reason it is said that Ext. W. 1 contains their names cannot be accepted. Now let us see if this argument can stand.

6. It is no doubt true that the custodian and the BCCL relied on Ext. M1 and Ext. M2 and Ext. M3 for assessing the number of workers of Mondal Kendwadih colliery. Shri Manpuran Goswami is a witness in this case and is WW. 1. In fact he is the only witness in this case He was attendence clerk in Mondal Kenuwadih colliery since 1957. He has deposed about the history of this case resulting in Reference No. 2277. His evidence is that the dispute was referred by the Central Government to Central Government Industrial Tribunal (No. 2) Dhanbad for adjudication which was mambered as Ref. No. 83/75. The reference was transferred to Central Government Industrial Tribunal No. 1, Dhanbad and re-numbered as Rei. No 22/77. He has proved Fxt. W1 showing that his name has been entered in Sl. No. 12. He has further said that the Form B register was entirely written in the pen of Shri Kailash Rewani an office clerk. The contention raised by the management is that this witness did not put his signature against the entry No. 12. Moreover, Ext. M4 which shows that he gave a list of workers of the colliery and wanted his employment. The idea is to show that the list given in his statement Ext. M4 and the list of worders are marticularly for the list of worders. M4 and the list of workers as mentioned in Ext. W. 1 do not tally, and therefore Ext. W. 1 must be rejected as not This is a far fetched argument, because we do not know under what circumstances the statement Ext. M4 was taken by the management of the colliery. Moreover, the attention of Shri Manpuran Goswami, WW. 1 was not drawn to the statement, Ext. M4. It will appear that the decuments, Fx. M1 to M7 were all filed on 24-11-82 and much before that the evidence of WW. 1 had been concluded. In fact with regard to these documents the contention on behalf of the workmen is that they could not be admitted into evidence, MW. 1 Shi S. P. Singh was examined and cross-examined on 7-10-82 and he was recalled on 24-11-82 to prove these documents. Shri D. Mhukherjee, Advocate on behalf of the workmen has vehemently urged in course of fabricated to defeat the case of the workmen According to him if this documents were genuine they should have been filed earlier in this case and should also have been filed earlier in this case and should also have been filed before the Central Government Industrial Tribunal (No. 1), Dhanbad in Reference case No. 22/77. There is a good deal of sense in this argument. Moreover, if Fxt. W.1 is not a genuine document there should have been some other Form B register as maintained by the private owner. We have already seen that prior to take over the colliery was under the management of the court through a Receiver and the custodian demanded documents of the colliery which was in custody of the sent his argument that these documents have been prepared and the custodian demanded documents of the colliery which was in custody of the court. In this case we do not know what papers had been received by the custodian from the Receiver. But this appears to be an admitted position that at the time of conciliation, Ext. W.1 had been filed by the management of BCCL.

- 7. Now let us see if there is any truth in the evidence of MW. 1 Shri S. P. Singh. In his examination-in-chief MW 1. Shri S. P. Singh has stated that this register, Ext. W. 1 remained in the custody of the management and at the time of conciliation the Conciliation Officer demanded from the management that register which was filed before him. He said that accordingly the management filed this register. Shri S. P. Singh himself was handling the concilation proceeding on behalf of the management. Now in view of this admission in examination-in-chief he cannot be heard to say that this form B register was produced by the union before the management. Sufficient it to say that the management had this register in their custody but did not rely on this document for the purpose of preparation the Man Power list and taking the workmen into employment. This had led to the earlier reference No. 22/77 and the present reference.
- 8. With regard to the plea of the workmen that they had approached the management for employment, WW-1 has proved Fxt. W. 3 which is a representation. The receiving clerk Shrl Aykot made the endorsement for receipt of the representation. Ext W 3 Shri Ioshi has not taken the plea of belated claim before me. But the very case of the workmen suggest that they were assured that if the result in Ref. case No. 22/77 ended in favour of the workmen, they

would be similarly employed because their case also was based upon same facts. It is clear that the management of BCCL should have put them in employment in the same manner as the workmen of Ref. case No. 22/77 of Tribunal No. 1, Dhanbad were put into employment. Since this was not done the conduct of the management cannot be said to be justified.

8. Thus having considered all aspects of the case I hold that the demand of S/Shri Mantu Dom, Ratan Goswami, Krishna Roy, Gangadhar Goswami, Sudama Roy, Hulash Goswami, Sankar Mahato, Panchanan Mondal. Panchu Mondal and Rashu Dhoba for re-employment by the management of Barora colliery of Messrs Bharat Coking Coal Ltd., P.O. Nowagarh, District Dhanbad is justified Consequently, the workmen are entitled to be re-employed in the colliery with effect from 1st May, 1973. They are also entitled to back wages and other emoluments with effect from 1-5-1973. This is my award.

J. P. SINGH, Presiding Officer, Central Government Industrial Tribunal

> (No. 2), Dhanbad. [No. L-20012(308) /81-D.III(A)] A. V. S. SARMA, Dosk Officer

New Delhi, the 8th December, 1982

SO. 4331.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal No. 2, Bombay in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the State Bank of India, Nagpur and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 1st December, 1982.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 2, BOMBAY

PRESENT:

Shri M. A. Deshpande, Presiding Officer.

Reference No. CGIT-2/31 of 1982

PARTIES:

Employer in relation to the Management of State Bank of India,

AND

Their Workman.

APPEARANCES:

For the Employer—Shri G. G. Modak, Advocate. For the Workman—Shri B. N. Deshmukh, Advocate.

INDUSTRY : Banking.

STATE: Maharashtra.

Bombay, the 6th November, 1982

AWARD

By their order No. L-12012(246)/81-D.II(A) dated 15th June, 1982 the Central Government have referred the following dispute for adjudication under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947:—

- "Whether the action of the management of State Bank of India, Kingsway, Nagpur in terminating the services of Shri A. R. Gaikwad, ex-Waterman-cumsweeper or Bori Arab Branch Taluka Dwarwah. District Yeotmal with effect from the 27th November 1979 is justified? If not to what relief the workman is entitled?"
- 2. It is a dispute between the Bank namely the State Bank of India, Borl Arab Branch, Taluka Dwarwah, District Yeotmal and part time employee namely Shri A. R. Gaikwad

Waterman-cum-Sweeper serving in the said branch whose services were ultimately terminated, by letter of termination dated 27th November, 1979. The contention of the work-man as is seen from the claim statement Ex. 2/W is that the said termination is without any cause and without any rhyme and reason and that the charge levelled against him rayine and reason and that the charge revened against him namely the theft committed of Rs. 1000 from the Branch was concocted and false one. It was alleged that there was a deficit of Rs. 1000 in the Bank notice on 31st July, 1979 and the contention of the Bank was that Shri Galkwad was responsible for the same. It is alleged that gross negligence on the part of the Manager and the Cashier is tried to be saidly don the porl man who it is further alleged. saddled on the workman who it is further alleged was pressurrised by the Bank authorities to admit the commission of theft of Rs. 1000 and out of fear and respect he admitted the said fact. It is alleged that to secure admission he was man-handled by the permanent Messenger of the Bank and that he was compelled to give in writing admitting the removal of the money. It is alleged that on 21st December, 1979 all of a sudden the workman was called to the Bank and was paid Rs. 700 and at the same time was told that he was completely innecent and that the amount was detected in the strong room of the Bank in the other side of the cupboard. It is alleged that there was never any enquiry against the workman and that when he had not committed the theft and was not guilty of the removal of Bank money. the action of the State Bank of India in terminating his service can never be said to have been justified and as such the workman deserved to be reinstated with all requisite reliefs.

- 3. By their written statement Ex. 3/M the Bank has pleaded that the reason for the termination of Shri Gaikwad's services is that they having been found the shortage of cash and the workman on being questioned having voluntarily admitted to have removed the amount and by addressing a letter dated 31st July, 1979 requested the Branch Manager to deduct a sum of Rs. 100 per month from his salary and allowance, the Bank had no other alternative but terminate the services. It is alleged that on 31st July, 1979 after the close of the transaction, the Cashier-cum-Clerk Shri Ghodeshwar entrusted the cash bolance of Rs. 6498.65 to the Branch Manager who counted the cash personally and verified the correctness and kent it locked in the Drawer of his table. It is alleged that at about 3.45 p.m. with the help of Shri Gaikwad, the Branch Manager conveyed the cash and cash register to the Strong room and deposited the money in the sofe. The next day happened to be a holiduy and therefore non-working day. On 2nd August, 1979 it is alleged that the Cushier did not feel it necessary to count the cash at the time of commencement of work but when at the close of the day he verified the cash, he found out shortage of Rs. 1000 whereupon the matter was immediately reported to the Branch Manager and the Branch Manager made enquiries with all mechers of staff but nothing turned out from the said enquiry.
- 4. It is alleged that on 16th August, 1979 a local shop-keeper communicated to the Branch Manager that the workman had lost Rs. 700 in gambling and on receipt of which information when enquiry was made by the Branch Manager who became suspicious, the workman namely Shri Garkwad confessed having stolen Rs. 1000 on the date in question that is 31st July, 1979 and gave in writing to the said effect agreeing to recovery every month a sum of Rs. 100 from his salary. It is alleged that in this manner for which details have been furnished Bank recovered a total sum of Rs. 1000 from the workman. The Bank denies to have used any pressure or coercion for obtaining the writing from the workman and further says that the workman was not 6 missed for service but his services were terminated as stated in the order of termination. It is further elleged that the Vigilance Officer wanted to conduct enquiry but the workman having declined to participate in such an enquiry the same could not be held. In this matter the contention of the Bank in the first place is that the termination was or account of misconduct namely the theft of Rs. 1000 and since the same was admitted a formal enquiry became redundant. It is also contended that subsequent to the reference on humanitarian ground the workman was offered and paid the retrenchment compensation as required by law and therefore nothing remained to be done in the part of the Bank.

5. On the strength of the pleadings the following issues

	ISSUES	FINDINGS
1.	Whether the Bank proves that Shri A. R. Gaikwad was guilty of the misconduct as alleged.	Yes
2.	If yes is not the order of dismissal valid and proper ?	Yes
3.	If the finding on issue No. 1 goes against the Bank to what relief the workman would be entitled?	Does not arise.
4.	What award?	As per award.

REASONS

- 6. Now it is true that the order of termination as such does not speak of any discharge or dismissal on the ground of miscorduct but the Bank has now taken a stand that though the order is innocuously worded rendering it to be a termination simplicitor on the ground of loss of confidence, it was in fact on account of the misconduct alleged to have been committed by the workman concerned namely the theft of Rs. 1000 from the strong room when the cash was conveyed there by the Branch Manager, and that the action is valid. There was an attempt to suggest that the enquiry was tried to be conducted but because there was no participation or non-cooperation on the part of the workman the attempt to conduct the enquiry failed but there is no evidence to this effect.
- 7. Normaliy it is the workman who complains that the order of termination though innocuously worded is frought with punitive consequences and consequently is required to establish the same. In the instance case however the tables are slightly turned. It is the Bank who issued the order of termination now has turned round and tried to contend that it was not a simple te mination though the order is worded accordingly but as a result of misconduct. When the workmen is pleading accordingly, the chance is required to be given to him to establish the said fact. Therefore merely because such a plea is not coming forward from the workman but from the Bank itself, nothing prevents or prohibits this Tribunal from finding out that is the real nature of the order. In State Transport Controller Vs. Industrial Tribunal. Orissa, 1966(II), LLJ, 376 it has been held that even if the termination of services is a case of discharge pure and simple, it would not stand in the way of general Courts to go behind the form of the order and to find out its real nature. Therefore when the Bank is pleading the misconduct who passed the final order, the said fact will have to be investigated into and since no enquiry was held, it would be for the Bank to establish the plea of misconduct and the resultant consequences. The Lordships of the Supreme Court in Firestone Tyre and Rubber Co. c. India (Pvt.) Ltd. Vs. their workmen reported in 1973(1), LLJ, page 278 have held that if no enquiry has been held by the employer or if the enquiry is found to be defective, the Tribunal in order to satisfy itself about the legality and validity of the order has to give opportunity to the employer and employee to adduce evidence before it. It was further added that it is open to the employer to adduce evidence for the first timejustifying his action and it is open to the employee to adduce evidence contra. The fact that there was no enquiry held before the order in question, cannot in any way hamper the case of the employer and if they can adduce cogent evidence to prove the misconduct, evidence for the first time before the Tribunal, that evidence will have to be considered and finding noted thereon.
- 8 The crucial question which poses for determination is whether there was a loss of Rs. 1000 on 31st July, 1979 as alleged and further whether the loss was occasioned on account of alleged theft stated to have been committed by the employee in question. If these findings can be in favour of the Bank then there will be justification for the severence of the relationship brought about by the relevant order though in that case as is seen from the definition of retrenchment under Section 2(OO) of the Industrial Disputes Act, it

would not be a case of retrenchment but a case of punishment, thereby relieving the Bank from following the provisions of Section 25F etc. Against this if the proof of misconduct is not sufficient, then it would be a retrenchment, and if it amounts to retrenchment and compliance of Section 25F is expected without which the order would not be sustainable. In this case it seems after the reference some amount was remitted to the workman but as seen from the wording of Section 25F, the payment of retrenchment compensation, notice pay etc. is to be simultaneous with the action in question and having not so done, the subsequent payment tried to be made afterwards would never come to the rescue of the employer.

9. Everything therefore depends upon the proof or otherwise of the guilt of theft of Rs. 1000. In this connection Shri D. S. Gokhale the then Branch Manager, Bori Arab Branch of State Bank of India has stated that on 31st July, 1979 as usual at about 2.45 after the transactions were closed, the Cashier Shri Ghodeshwar counted the cash and entrusted it to him. According to the witness the practice was to hand over the cash and the Cash Balance book to the Branch Manager. Shri Gokhale says that he verified the cash and found it to be correct but instead of removing the same to the Strong room, since he was busy he kept the cash book and the currency notes in the drawer of the desk which was locked by him. It is further stated that about 3.15 P.M. he called the Waterman-cum-sweeper who was then cleaning the utensils & handed over the cash balance book containing the currency notes and asked him to accompany him to the strong room which is at a distance of 8 ft. from the place where Shri Gokhale was working. It is alleged that the cash register with its contents was deposited in the safe which was locked and then both of them left the strong room.

10. The case of the employer is that on 2-8-1979 which was the working day after 31-7-1979, since Shil Ghodeshwar errived 1/2 hour late, when the Cash book and cash were hinded over to him, in-stead of counting the cash immediately since some constituents were already waiting at counter he did it at the close of the day when the cash was found short by Rs. 1000 which matter was immediately reported to the Branch Manager who made enquiry with the members of stuff but wishout any effect. It is further alleged that subsequently it was learnt that the workman concerned had lost heavily in gambling and therefore the Branch Manager s'arted making enquiries with Shri Gaikwad who ultimately admitted to have committed the theft and executed the writing as a result of which recovery was started and a sum of Rs. 1000 was recovered from him. It is the case of the workman, that the very contention of the Branch Manager that he accompanied him to the Strong room at 3.45 P.M. is false because it is stated that his duty hours were in the morning and that he had no occasion whatso-ever to return to the Branch of the Bank. Against this it is the case of the Branch Manager as well as the Bank that the workman was not only employed as a waterman-cumsweeper but also was engaged for preparing tea for the Tea Club for which purpose he was paid Rs. 50 from the Welfare Fund and this work was being done after 2.30 P.M. that is during the lunch recess. At one stage in the cross-examination there was a suggestion that the work of cleaning the uten-sils or preparation of tea was never undertaken by Shri Gaikwed but when the Bank produced documents in the shape of Ex 18/M which are cheques and receipts in proof of the payment of initially Rs. 25 and then Rs. 50 from the welfare fund, the workman when examined before me changed his stand stating that the work of preparation of tea was undertaken at 11.30 AM. and never during the lunch recess after 2.30 P.M. It is in evidence that the working hours of the Bank were from 10.30 P.M. It is therefore not possible to believe the plea that serving of tea take place at 11.30 A.M. that is in the midst of the working of the Bank. I can undertake serving ten before the work begins but it can never be during the working hours which by serving tea Gokhale says that the workman was preparing ten after 2.30 P.M. and for that purpose he was re-attending the Bank 2.30 P.M. and for that purpose he was re-attending the Brish and he was paid Rs. 50 for doing the part time work, having regard to the circumstances as stated and having regard to the properties of the properties of Rs. 50 which the workman initially tried to deny. I am convinced that Shi Gaikwad was visiting the Bank on working days in the afternoon for preparing rea. The plea therefore that at 3.45 P.M. the workman had no occasion to be present for the said purpose

and to carry the Cash register book with the cash or otherwise can never be acceptable.

11. It is true that the Branch Manager should have been more careful and he should not have taken the help of Waterman-cum-Sweeper for the said purpose but should have relied upon other members of the staff at least a peon posted in the Bank. But this does not mean that Shi Gokhale's action in requisitioning the service of the Waterman-cum-Sweeper for the said purpose there is anything disbetieveable in the said assertion. I have gone through the evidence of Shri Gokhale and I find that he was not a person who would concoct any evidence on the contrary from the demenour which I notice, the witness was found to be simple minded officer in my view it is beyond his capacity to concoct anything falsely and implicate a member of sub-staff serving under him.

12. It 's not that there is the oral evidence of Shri Gokhale flone but there is clear proof in the evidence of Ex. MW-2 which fully vindicates the stand of the Branch Manager. No doubt there are discrepancies in the evidence of the Branch Manager and the Cashier and it is just possible that Fx. 10/M which was executed by the workman on the same day, due to lapse of time or something Shii Ghodeswar is not supporting that statement but the effect remains the same as admittedly Ex. 10/M bears his signature and even if its contents are not in his hand the fact that the signature appears below the writing shows that it must have been acceptable to him, and only inference possible is that on the date the writing was executed the fact of commission of theft stood admitted. The writing Ex. 10/M does not bear the date but the fact it bears the suncture of the workman when read with the fact that there is absolutely no reason to obtain false evidence renders the absence of date insignificant. I can never believe that any workman would admit to have removed Rs 1000/- from the balance of the Bank unless the fact was true or unless there are reason to believe that the admission was procured by coercion which for the reasons stated is absent in the present case. In the crossexamination of Shri Ghodeswar though it was not necessary it was brought on record that in the past Shri Gaikwad was involved in similar type of mischief and had made good the loss. Having regard to the evidence of the Branch Manager and having regard to the writing Ex. 10/M and having regard to the circumstances as stated by the two Bank witneses, I am convinced that taking opportunity of the fact that help of the workman water man-cum-sweeper was taken and noticing the cash balance of the day, the workman succeeded in hoodwinked the Branch Manager and removed the sum of Rs. 1000/-.

13. The fact that the workman was guilty of this conduct is corroborated by the deposit of the money on various data total lying to Rs. 1000 Assuming that Fx. 10/W was obtained by coercion we would have noticed the reaction on the part of the employee. He would have complained to the superior officers alleging against the Branch Manager. Nothing like this has occurred on the contrary the workman went on paying the total sum of Rs. 1000/-. If at all this conduct is to the construed it is constructable in favour of the Book and against the workman. From the writing in Ex. 10/M the only conclusion possible is that there was re-payment because there was remove of the cash from the Cash book

14. Shy Nagroure has been examined to render corrobation to the evidence of the Branch Manager. He says that when he came to know that Shri Gaikwad incurred heavy losses in gambling, he conveyed the same information to the Br uch Manager who sterted making enquiries and ultimately led to the alleged confession of the workman and the resultant re-deposit of money. It is true that the evidence of Shri Nagroure is not direct evidence but then what was learnt by him was conveyed to the Branch Manager, a statement supported by the Branch Manager bimself. Really speaking neither Shri Goldhele nor Shri Ghodeshwar nor Shri Nagpure had any reason to strife falsely against the workman. May be that Shri Goldword still only some money to the Shon Kæper but it would not mean that he would be an hearthes as to depose falsely against the workman which tatement to his knowledge may result in loss of service. What is strift by this witness therefore when appreciated in the light of other documentary proof and circumstances shall have to be accepted which completely negatives the story of manhandling put up by the workman as a story of

defence. Similarly, hesays that the Branch Manager returned Rs. 700/- back to him but had there been any such repayment, which is denied by the Branch Manager himself, some soit of voucher or receipt was expected since to the knowledge of the workman the deposit of money by him in the Bank was likely to lead to adverse inference.

15. The result is that the fact Shri Gukwad removed Rs. 1000/- without the knowledge of Shri Gokhale from the Cash Balance from the possession if the Bank is clearly established and it cannot be anything else but theft of Bank money amounting to misconduct. It was a sum of Rs. 1000/- which is not an insignificant sum and secondly even in the past Shri Gaikwad indulged in similar practice and if therefore the Bank decided to part with the company of such a workman no fault can be found for such action. On the contrary in my view it was the only action plausible in the instant case. The conclusion therefore is that the action of the State Bank of India in terminating the service of Shri Gaikwad is fully justified. The reference therefore fails.

Award accordingly. No order as to costs.

M. A. DESHPANDE, Presiding Officer

Dt. 19-11-82

No. L-12012(240)/81-D.II(A)]
N. K. VERMA, Desk Officer

New Delhi, the 6th December, 1982

S.O. 4332.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government bereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal No. 1, Bombay, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Hindustan I alpeth Colliery of Messrs Western Coalfields Limited, Chandrapur and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 27th November, 1982.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL ITRIBUNAL NO. 1 AT BOMBAY

PRESENT:-

Justice M. D. Kambli Esqr., Presiding Officer

Reference No. CGIT-2 of 1978

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to Hindustan Lalpeth Colliery of Western Coalfields Limited.

AND

Their workman.

APPEARANCES:

For the employer—Mr. L. S. Singh, Advocate, Mr. S. N. Shukla, Senior Personnel Officer,

For Wardha Valley Collieries Workers' Union-Mr. S. P. Singh, Genl. Secretary.

INDUSTRY: Collieries STATE: Maharnahtra

Bombay, the 30th October, 1982

AWARD

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour, by order No. L-18012(4)/77-D-IV(B), dated 7th January, 1978, in exercise of the powers conforred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, have referred to this Tribunal for adjudication an industrial dispute between the employers in relation to Hindustan Lalpeth Collisity of Western Coalfields Limited and their workman in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule mentioned below:—

SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the management of Hindustan Lalpeth Colliery of Western Coalfields Limited in dismissing Shri Nagila Pocham Rajir, Pipe Mazdoor with effect from 11-11-76 is justified? If not, to what relief is the concerned workman entitled?"

- 2. The workman, N. P. Rajir was appointed in the Hindustan Lalpeth Collicity on 10-10-1974. At the relevant time he was working as a Pipe Mazdoor. The Colliery has underground working and there is a road/passage/incline for going underground for the workman employed in the mine, it is the case of the employer that there are specific instructions that all the workmen have necesarily to go underground through the particular passage/road. There is another haulage road over-bridge rail for cartying loaded and unloaded stubs. The coal mined underground is brought to the surface through mine-cars over the rails by the haulage road and the empties are taken back through the same road. It is the case of the employer that mine-cars ravel at high speed and there are very often derailments. It is also their case that protective roof of this haulage road is not as safe as other roads. Other toads are frequently inspected and safety is set at a very high level. The haulage road roof does not receive so much attention because this is only used for carrying mine-cars. Under Rule 38(3)(b) no person shall except for some justifiable purpose, go into any part of the mine other than that part in which he works or travels to or from his working place by any road-way other than the proper travelling road-way. Accidents caused in the management is liable for proscution, fine andimprisonment under the provisions of Coal-Mines Act and all provisions regarding safety measures are required to be complied with very strictly.
- 3. It is the case of the employer that on 10-9-1976 the workman was found travelling through the haulage road at 3L/main dip junction, at about 12.15 P.M. The case of the employer is that he was going to workshop to bring the rubber insertion sheet for pipe joining as desired by the internation of going by the travelling road he went by the haulage road and thus violaged the rules and regulations and departmental instructions. The employer therefore, issued hum a charge-sheet on 10-9-1976 charging him with the contravention of relevant regulations and instructions, According to the employer, the workman submitted a reply on 11-9-1976 and admitted his guilt. No inquiry was, therefore necessary. However, to give one more charge to the workman, the departmental inquiry was held. Mr. H. R. Bajaj, Assistant Manager of the Colliery conducted the inquiry in the presence of the workman. At his inquiry again the workman unconditionally admitted his guilt as mentioned in the charge-sheet. The Inquiry Officer thereafter submitted a report holding the workman guilty of the misconduct alleged against him. On the basis of the findings of the Inquiry Officer the employer terminated the services of the workman.
- 4. In the statement of claim filed by the General Secretary of the Wardha Valley Collieries Workers' Union thereinafter referred to as the "Union") it was averted that workman in his reply to the show-cause notice stated that he had gone via baulage road for justitable reason i.e. for taking drinking water. It was pleaded that the domestic inquiry was illegal and against the principles of natural justice. The inquiry, it was alleged, was made by Mr. Bajaj, who is an Asstt. Manager. His future progress in the service depended on the report of the Manager. In such circumstances, Mr. Bajaj could not be considered as an impartial person. The Manager, it was pleaded, was present when the inquiry proceeding was commenced. It was the case of the employer that the workman d.d not know Hindi and therefore the service of one interpreter, Mr. Akkal Bhimaya were utilised. The case of the Union as pleaded in the statement of claim is that Mr. Bhimaya was not present during the inquiry proceedings. He is an illiterate person who cannot read and write Hindi or Engilsh. He was, therefore, unable to act as an interpreter. According to the Union, he was called by the Inquiry Officer, after the completion of the inquiry proceedings, and was asked to put his thumb impressions on some written papers. It was alleged that the Manager and the Inquiry Officer prepared some papers and forced the workman to put his thumb impression under the threat of dire consequences. A show was organised in the name of domestic inquiry. The delinquent workman was called at 4.30 P.M. to the office of the Manager. He did not know the reason as to why he was called. He could not ask anything to the Inquiry Officer or the Manager because his language i.e. Telungu could not be understood by those officers. The Manager forced him to put his thumb impressions on some papers. It was thus denied that the workman admitted the guilt.
- 5. With regard to the merits of the matter it was pleaded that the workman had gone by the haulage road for justifiable

purpose i.e. for taking drinking water after completion of his neal. It was denied that he had gone by that road to bring the rubber insertion sheet for pipe joining as desired by the fitters. No water facilities were provided by the employer of the mine for the workmen working in 3L, 6L, and at 7L/9 dip pumps of mine No. 1. A water tub was supplied to the coal fillers only on the late hours from the surface. The proper arrangement for drinking water as required under Section 19 of the Mines Act, 1952, were not made. The facility of supplying water bottles to the workman was provided for from 3rd December, 1976, just after three months of this incident. It was further pleaded that assuming that the delinquent workman was going to the workshop to bring the rubber insertion for the pipe joining, it was also a justifiable purpose. It was asserted that the workman can use any shortest way for fulfilling his duty. It was lastly pleaded that the action was taken against the workman during the purpose i.e. for taking drinking water after completion of that the action was taken against the workman during the emergency. It was alleged that the Manager in order to show that he was very efficient in his work, started a number of false inquiries against the employees. It was, therefore, prayed that the workman should be reinstated with back wages and continuity of service.

- 6. The employer by their written statement and the rejoinder to the statement of claim pleaded that full water facilities are provided by the management of the mines for the workmen working in 3L, 6L, and 7L dip pumps of mine No. 1. It was asserted that there was no justifiable reason for the workman to go by the haulage road. With regard to the domestic inquiry it was pleaded that it was legal and proper and that there was no violation of the principles of natural justice. It was denied that the interpreter, Mr. Bhimaya was not present throughout the inquiry proceedings. It was asserted that be was competent to act as interpreter and he acted as interpreter. It was prayed that if this Tribunal held that the inquiry was not proper the employer be allowed to lead evidence.
- 7. On the date of hearing i.e. on 19-10-1982, the parties after some discussion before me arrived at a settlement. They have put in a pursis which is on record as exhibit EU-1.

 Under that pursis the Union conceded that there was technical contravention of the Regulation prehibiting the use of the cal contravenuon of the Regulation promoting the use of the haulage road. The employer agreed to reinstate the workman with continuity of service and back wages or compensation amounting to Rs. 2,000. It was agreed that the workman would not make any claim to any more amount on any account for the period from the date of dismissal to 19-10-1982. It was further agreed that the workman would in the first instance be reinstated in service at the place from where he was dismissed. It was also agreed that the pay and allowances of the workman on reinstatement would be fixed on the basis that he was in continuous service. The amount of Rs. 2,000 by way of back wages or compensation was agreed to be paid within a couple of months. In view of this settlement, the parties did not lead any evidence and agreed that the reference be disposed of. I think that the settlement arrived at between the parties, in the circumstances of the case, is just and proper.
- 8. I, therefore, make an award in terms of the pursis of the settlement annexed to this award. No order as to costs,

M. D. KAMBLI, Presiding Officer

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 1 AT BOMBAY

Reference No. CGIT-2 of 1978

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to Hinduston Lalpeth Colliery of Western Coalfields Limited.

AND

Their Workman

MAY IT PLEASE THE HON'DLE TRIBUNAL

Settlement between the Emrloyer and the Workman;

The Union conceeds that there was technical contravention of the Regulation prohibiting the use of the alleged road. However, the Union maintains that the runishment meted out the workman was very severe. The employer agrees to reinstate the workman with continuity of service and back 1056 G1/82--5

wages or compensation amounting to Rs. 2000. The employer states that the workman should not make any claim to any more amount on any account for the period from the date of dismissal to this date. The Union agrees to this. The Union naists that the workman should in the first instance be resisted to the contraction of the contraction insists that the workman should in the first instance be re-instated in service at the place from where he was dismissed. The Union insists that the pay and allowances of the work-man on reinstatement should be fixed on the basis that he was in continuous service. The management agrees. The employer agrees to pay the above amount to the workman within a couple of months. The employer states that is should be permissible for them to transfer the workman at any place within the area of Wardha Valley. The Union states that it should have right to protest against this and raise that it should have right to protest against this and raise a dispute if the punishment meted out by way of such transfer is not in keeping with the Rules and Regulations.

Nagpur, dated the 19th day of October, 1982.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(S. P. SINGH),

Collieries Workers' Union.

(S. N. SHUKLA), General Secretary, Wardha Valley Sr. Personnel Officer Sub-Area No. III.

[No. L-18012(4)/77.D.IV(B)]

New Delhi, the 8th December, 1982

S.O. 4333.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Jabalpur, in the Industrial dispute between the employees in relation to the management of Nandan Colliery of Messrs Western Coaffields Limited, Kanhan Area, District Chhindwara, and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 7th December 1982 of the Contral Covernment on the 7th December 1982 of the Central Covernment on the 7th December 1982 of the Central Covernment on the 7th December 1982 of the Central Covernment on the 7th December 1982 of the Central Covernment on the 7th December 1982 of the Central Covernment on the 7th December 1982 of the Central Covernment on the 7th December 1982 of the Central Covernment of t ber, 1932.

BEFORF HON'BLE JUSTICE SHIRLS. R. VYAS (RETD), PRESIDING OFFICER CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM-LABOUR COURT, JABALPUR (M.P).

Case No. CGIT/LC (R) (15) of 1982

PARTIES:

Finyloyers in relation to the management of Nandan Colliery of Missrs Western Coalfields Limited, Kanhan Area, District Chhindwara (M. P.) and their workmen, Shri Tilak Dhari represented through the Bhertiya Koyla Khadan Mazdoor Sungh (BMS), P. O. Chandametta, Distt. Chhindwara (M. P.).

APPEARANCES:

For Union-Shri S S. Shakarwar, Advocate.

For Management.—Shri P S. Nair, Advocate.

INDUSTRY: Coal DISTRICT · Chhindwara (M. P.).

Dated, the 24th November, 1982

AWARD

This is a reference made by the Government of in the Ministry of Labour, vide Notification No. L-2012(13)/ 51-D. IV(B) dated 29-1-1982, to this Tribunal for adjudication of the following dispute :-

- "Whether the demand of the Bhartiya Koyla khadan Mazdoor Sangh (BMS), Chandametta for regularisation of Shri Tilak Dhari as a Works Supervisor in Clerical Grade II with effect from 4-4-1974 is justified? If so, to what relief the workman is entitled?"
- 2. The claim of the workman is that in April 1974 he was employed as a Works Supervisor in Newton Chickli Colliery when he was transferred to the Damua Colhery. In both the collisities he was supervising the civil construction work

as directed by the Civil Engineer from time to time. Though other workers viz. S/Shri Awadhesh Singh and Harish Chandra and others, who were employed in Category IV as loading mates and who were doing similar work were regularised in 1978 in Category II, but he was not so regularised by the management. The workman is, therefore, entitled to not only regularisation as Category II workman but all the benefits of wages etc. from April 1974.

- 3. The management has opposed the claim of the workman firstly on the ground that the dispute now referred to the Tribunal for adjudication was not raised either by the workman or his Union with the management; that presently the workman is employed in the Nandan Project on transfer from Damua Colliery from 13-2-1977; that Nandan Colliery is not at all concerned either for promotion or regularisation of the workman on the post demanded by him; that in the Newton Chickli Colliery the workman was employed as a loading mate in Cat. IV; that from there he was transferred to Damua Colliery and then to Nandan Colliery in the same capacity; that he never worked as a Work Supervisor; that he did not possess the necessary qualification either for working or for being appointed as a Works Supervisor; that the Nandan Colliery where the workman is presently employed a separate administrative unit and is not concerned with the claims of the workman against the other two collieries where he was employed and that on no justifiable basis the claim made by the workman can be accepted.
- 4. Rejoinder was filed only by the management in which it is stated that the case of the other workmen referred to in the statement are entirely different and that they were promoted after they had cleared by the Departmental Promotion Committee.
- 5. No reloinder was filed by the workman. On these pladings of the parties the following issues were framed:—

ISSUES

- 1. Whether the claim made by the workman for regularisation from 4-4-1974 is maintainable against the management of the Nandan Colliery If not, what is its effect on the present reference?
- 2. Whether the workman, Shri Tilak Dharl, is entitled to be regularised as a Works Supervisor in Clerical Gr. II with effect from 4-4-1974. If so, on which establishment?
- 3. To what relicf are the parties entitled to ?
- 6. My findings on these issues are as under :-

Issue No. 1.—The claim made by the workman against Nandan Colliery where he is presently employed for regularisation from 4-4-1974 is not maintainable either on facts or in law.

Issue No. 2.—As per findings on Issue No. 1 above.

Issue No. 3.—The workmen is not entitled to any relief.

7. Oral evidence has been given by both the parties. Documentary evidence has been given only by the workman.

Reasons for the aforesaid findings :--

- 8. Issue Nos. 1 & 2.—As both the issues are with regard to same subject matter they are being considered together.
- 9. In his statement the workman, Tilak Dhari (W.W.1) has stated that vide Ex. W/1 he was appointed as a Works Supervisor in the Damua Colhery. Ex. W/2, Ex. W/3 and Ex. W/4 are the other olders passed by the Civil Engineer with regard to his work as Works Supervisor. He also says that he is educated only upto the VIII Standard.
- 10. In his cross-examination he admits that he joined the Nandan Colliery only on 18-2-1977 and that there his first appointment was that of a Mate. He has never prepared the estimate of work or to draw a Map or to take in measurements. He also admits that S/Shri Awadhesh Singh and Harish Chandra were promoted after the D.P.C. cleared their names and that neither he was called nor considered for promotion by the D.P.C.

- 11. It would thus be clear that so far as his oral evidence is concerned, he joined the present management in Pebruary 1977 as a Mate only and not a Works Supervisor. He does not have the experience either for preparing estimates of works or to draw a map or to take the measurements. He has not been considered and cleared for promotion by the D.P.C. 'The question, therefore, is as to whether on the basis of the documentary evidence he is justified in claiming promotion in the present management not only from the date when he joined in February 1977 but also from a previous date when he was appointed and worked in two other collieries.
- 12. Ex. W/1 is an office order by the Civil Engineer to the Damua Group Collieries in which the workman has been described as a Supervisor Mate in his Civil Engineering Section. The office order gives direction to the workman and some others also regarding the works allotted to them. This order dated 19-7-74 cannot be construed as an order appointing him as a Works Supervisor because it is addressed to Supervisor Mates and not to Works Supervisors.
- 13. Ex. W/2 is another office order by the Civil Engineer Damua Group in which the order though the name of Tilak Dhari appears at no place in ink showing him as Works Supervisor but who has written this name and his designation as Works Supervisor is not clear. The order is endorsed to all the overseers and Work Supervisors by name working in the Civil Engineering Department. Such a casual address does not amount to an order appointing the workman as Works Supervisor.
- 14. Ex. W/3 is a copy of the Guide Lines for the Work Supervisors. At the bottom the names of Tilakdhari, Awadesh Singh and Harth Chandra appear and they are shown as Road Supervisors. This document also does not amount to an appointment of the workman as a Works Supervisor.
- 15. Ex. W/4 is an of ice order addressed to the Supervisory Staff and the workman is one of the persons to whom this office order is addressed. It only shows that the workman is a member of the Supervisory Staff but it does not specify his designation. Accordingly, in my opinion, the documentary evidence relied by the workman does not show that in the present management he has either worked as Works Supervisor or at any time appointed by the management on the post of Works Supervisor.
- 16. The workman has claimed regularisation by way of promotion from 1974. He admittedly joined the present management in February 1977. His claim, if any, should have been against the previous management also where he worked from 1974. Accordingly so far as the present management is corcerned his claim for promotion is not at all maintainable.
- 17. The workman also examined W.W. 2 Harish Chandra and W.W. 3 Virendra Kumar. W.W. 1 Harish Chandra has only stated that in the Daniva Colliery as also in the Nandam Colliery the workman worked according to the instructions of the Civil Engineer. He does not say on what particular post the workman worked and what duties were being performed by him. In his cross-examination he admits that his case was cleared by the D.P.C. before he was promoted. He was improved upon his statement in the cross-examination by stating that the workman Tilak Dhari is presently working as Works Supervisor in the Nandam Colliery. If that had been so, the workman should have summoned the official records of the Nandam Colliery which show as to what particular duties are being performed by him. Any casual statement made by this witness in the cross-examination does not improve the case of the workman. The next witness W.W. 3. Virendra Kumar, supports the workman by saving that he is working as Worls Supervisor. But in his cross-examination he admits that Tilak Dhari used to maintain record of the building material received and supplied for the construction work. His statement also does not prove the claim made by the workman.

18. As against this evidence I find that the evidence given by the officers of the management is more worthy of reliance.

19. M.W.1, Manobar Vithal Nikalje, states that from September 1975 to December 1979 the workman worked as a Mate in Category IV; that the duties of Works Supervisors are (1) to lay out the drawing (2) to take and assist measurements according to drawing (3) to supervise the building material used according to works specification (4) to sign the indent for material and prepare the estimates. He also says that the duties of a Mate are to supervise the works of the labourers only and that since he was not educated there was no occasion to take the work as Works Supervisor from him. There is not ing in his cross-examination even to give an indication that the workman is either fit and qualified for working as a Works Supervisor or that he actually worked in that capacity under him.

20. M.W. 2, Shrl P. N. Srivaştava, is another witness who has stated that the workman works under him in the Nandan Colliery as a Mate only and that he has never taken work of a Works Supervisor from him because that is a job of skilled workman and not of an unskilled workman as Tilak Dharl is.

21. It would thus be clear from the aforesaid management's evidence that the workman, Tilak Dhari, is neither qualified to perform the duties of a Works Supervisor nor at any time worked in that capacity. As stated by the management's witnesses the duties of a Work Supervisor involve application of skill and education which the workman does not possess. The management's witnesses were not confronted with the documents relied upon by the workman to show that either he was appointed or worked as a Works Supervisor. Consequently neither on facts nor in law the workman can claim promotion by way of regularisation on the post of Category II (Clerical Grade) from April 1974. In my opinion, Issue No. 1 and Issue No. 2 deserve to be and are hereby answered against the workman.

22, Issue No. 3.—In view of the findings recorded above on Issue Nos. 1 & 2 the workman is not entitled to any relief.

AWARD

For the reasons given above, it is held that the demand of the Bhartiya Koyla Khadan Mazdoor Sangh (BMS), Chandametta for regularisation of Shri Tilak Dhari as a Works Supervisor in Clerical Grade II with effect from 4-4-74 is not justified and that the workman is not entitled to any relief. In the circumstances of this case, both the parties are directed to bear their own costs as incurred.

[No. L-22012(13)/81.D.IV(B)] S. R. VYAS, Presiding Officer

S.O. 4334.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relations to the management of Central Coalfields Limited, Ranchi, and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 7th

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL:
CALCUTIA.

Reference No. 18 of 1981

PARTIES:

December, 1982.

Employers in relation to the management of Central Coalfields Limited, Ranchi.

AND

Their Workmen.

PRESENT:

Present :

Mr. Justice M. P. Singh .-- Presiding Officer.

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of Employers.-Mr. M. N. Kar, Advocate.

On behalf of Workmen.—Mr. D. N. Chakraborty, President of the Union, Shri M. D. Saraff & himself.

STATE: West Bengal

INDUSTRY: Coal

AWARD

By Order No. L-19012(59)/79-D. IV(B) dated 3rd April 1981 the Government of India, Ministry of Labour, referred the following dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication:

"Whether the action of the management of the Coal India Limited, Calcutta, and the Central Coalfields Limited, Ranchi in refusing to allow Shri M. D. Saraff Clerk, Accounts Office, CCL Calcutta, the privilege of carrying over the 30 days of accumulated leave in the subsequent year to be enjoyed at any time thereafter and encashing it at the option of the Company enjoyed by him under his erstwhile employers namely Messrs Coal Products 'Private') Limited, and in deducting Rs. 150.30 P being 6 days wages from his pay for the month of October, 1975 on the ground that he was not entitled to the said privilege claimed by him, is legal, proper and justified in the circumstances? If not, to what relief is the concerned workman entitled?"

2. The facts in brief are this. The concerned workman M. D. Saraff is a clerk in the Accounts office of the CCL, Calcutta. He was a clerk in the accounts office of the CCL, Calcutta. He was a clerk in the accounts office of the CCL, Calcutta. He was a clerk in the accounts office of the CCL, Products (Private) Limited, Victoria Colliery, G. L. Groups. He joined his service there in the year 1971. After the take over of the colliery in 1973 under the Coal Mines Nationalisation Act, 1973 he became an employee of Coal India Limited. He took 36 days privilege leave in the year 1975 due to his serious illness. The management gave him the benefit of only 30 days leave and deducted the salary of 6 days amounting Rs. 150.30 P on the plea that he was entitled to only 30 days leave. The case of Sri Saraff is that under the existing terms and conditions of tervice of the erstwhile company which are protected under Section 14(1) of the Coal Mines Nationalisation Act, 1973 he was entitled to carry forward his accumulated menioyed leave and that he had taken 36 days privilege leave out of the accumulated leave of 90 days. He stated in his written statement that he had only one day leave in 1973 and 20 days in 1974 and the 39 unenjoyed leave was carried forward in subsequent years and therefore after adjustment of the 6 days leave he was still entitled to further 33 days leave. The management denies that he was no entitled. They contend that there was no rule for the carrying forward any accumulated leave and hence leave taken beyond 30 days in 1975 was treated as leave without pay.

3. The concerned workman has filed a number of papers but he has not filed any rule in support of his contention. Only one of the papers filed by him is relevant for the purpose of his case and that is a letter dated March 12, 1977 sent by the Director of the erstwhile commany to the Administrative Officer, Staff. Coal India Limited which is to the effect that Calcutta staff were entitled to 30 days privilere leave in a year and that this leave was carried to subsequent years and could be enloyed by the employee thereafter and that this leave was also encashable at the entition of the commany. The concerned workman who has arcued his letter in support of his contention. On the other hand, the management argued that this letter of 1977 obtained after four years of the toke over cannot be a substitute for the rules. In my opinion the management is

right. It was, I think, necessary for the concerned workman or for the union to produce the relevant rules under which he claimed right to carry forward the unenjoyed privilege leave. The terms and conditions of service not having been produced it must be held that the concerned workman has not been able to substantiate his case. Sri Saraff admitted before this Tribunal that there was no such rule. He however argued that though there was no rule for carrying over unenjoyed privilege leave, but there was such practice and according to the prevailing practice the workman used to get cash money in lieu of accumulated leave. Suffice to say that no such practice has been proved in the present case. The Director's letter dated 12 March 1977 cannot establish any practice.

4. For the reasons given above, my award is that the action of the management of Coal India Limited, Calcutta and the Central Coalfields Limited, Ranchi in refusing to allow Sri M. D. Saraff, Clerk, Accounts office, CCL, Calcutta the privilege of carrying over the 30 days accumulated leave in the subsequent year and encash it at the option of the company and in deducting Rs. 150.30 P being 6 days wages from his pay for the month of October 1975 on the ground that he was not entitled to the said privilege is legal, proper and justified. It follows that the concerned workman is not entitled to any relief.

Dated, Calcutta,

The 19th November, 1982.

M. P. SINGH, Presiding Officer.

[No. L-19012(59)/79-D.IV(B)]

S.O. 4335.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, No. 3, Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Chitra Colliery of Messrs Eastern Coalfields Ltd., Post Office Santhal Pargana, Bihar, and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 7th December, 1982.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVT. INDUSTRIAL TRI-BUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT NO. 3 DHANBAD.

Reference No. 51/80

PRESENT:

Shri J. N. Singh, Presiding Officer.

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the management of Chitra Colliery, P. O. Chitra, Dist. Santhal Pargana, Bihar, of M/s. Eastern Coalfields Ltd.

AND

Their Workman

APPEARANCES:

For the Employers.—Shri D. P. Chowdhury, Advocate.

For the Workman.—Shri D. Narsingh, Advocate.

INDUSTRY: Coal STATE: Bihar

dated the 1st December, 1982

AWARD

The Govt. of India in the Ministry of Labour in exercise of the powers conferred on them Under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 14 of 1947 has referred the dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication under Order No. L-19012(44)/80-D. IV(B) dated the 22nd August, 1980.

SCHEDULE

- "Whether the action of the management of Chitra Colliery, P. O. Chitra, Dist. Santhal Pargana, Bihar of M/s, Eastern Coalfields Ltd., in dismissing Shri Shasanka Sakhar Bhokta, Clerk from their service with effect from 19th May, 1979 was justified? If not, to what relief is the concerned workman entitled and from what date?"
- 2. It appears that the concerned workman Sri Shananka Sekhar Bhokta was dismissed by the management with effect from 19-5-1979 after holding a domestic enquiry against him. The allegation against him was that he assaulated Sri P. Jha, Personnel Manager of the area on 22-12-1978. The case of the workman is that he has been dismissed illegally and that the enquiry against him was not fair and proper.
- 3. It, however, appears that during the pendency of the present reference the concerned workman was reinstated by the management but without any back wages. The learned Advocate for the management submitted before me and also filed a petition stating that as the concerned workman has been reinstated after negotiation with the local union without back wages, the award be passed in terms thereof.
- 4. On behalf of the workman, however, it was contended that the very reinstatement would indicate that the charge against the concerned workman was not true and so the concerned workman is entitled to back wages also.
- 5. In the circumstances as the learned Advocate for the workman pressed his case and wanted that the matter be decided on merits, the parties were directed to come ready for hearing on the preliminary issue as to whother the enquiry was fair and proper or not and thereafter the matter was to be decided on merits.
- 6. On 19-10-82 the learned Advecate for the workman submitted that he did not challenge the fairness of the enquiry and there is no necessity to examine the Enquiry Officer and that the case be decided on merlts only. The parties, however, agreed that no evidence is necessary and the only point now involved is as to whether the concerned workman is entitled to back wages or not.
- 7. Accordingly the parties were heard only on the point as to whether the concerned workman was entitled to back wages or not as he had already got reinstatement.
- 8. Ext. M-1 is a letter dated 29-1-82 issued by the Dy. Personnel Manager to the concerned workman informing him that on approval of the competent authority he was reinstated on his post on the terms that no back wages will be paid for the idle period and that the period of absence will be treated as leave without pay. Thus two conditions were attached to the proposed reinstatement of the concerned workman. It was submitted on behalf of the management that as the concerned workman was a staff of leader type and certain unions of the locality approached the management for reinstatement and hence after considering all the circumstances and to maintain industrial peace even though the charge against the concerned workman was very serious still he was given reinstatement with continuity of service and the only thing which was not allowed to the concerned workman was his back wages. This letter was served on the concerned workman by poon book and on the basis of the said letter the concerned workman joined his duty by his petition Ext. M-2. In the petition he nowhere stated he was joining his duty with any reservation or that he will claim back wages also. Rather he joined the duty

unconditionally which clearly meant that he had agreed to terms which the management had imposed on the reinstatement of the concerned workman.

- 9. It will now highly improper if the concerned workman after having joined the duty unconditionally claim back wages also. It cannot be denied that the charge against him was very serious and the enquiry held against him was also fair and proper, but inspite of it the management took a lenient view in the matter and in order to maintain industrial peace they gave reinstatement to the concerned workman apparently on the approach made by the local unions as also by the concerned workman.
- 10. In such circumstances when the concerned workman has already been reinstated with continuity of service he is not entitled to claim any back wages at this stage.

The award is given accordingly.

J. N. SINGH, Presiding Officer. [No. 19012(44)/80-D.IV(B)]

New Delhi, the 13th December, 1982

S.O. 4336.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Hyderabad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Singareni Collieries Co. Ltd., Bellampalli Division-II, Adilabad Distt. and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 30-11-82.

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (CENTRAL) AT HYDERABAD

Industrial Dispute No. 31 of 1981

BETWEEN

The Workman of Singareni Collieries Company Limited, Bellampalli Division-II. Adlibad District.

AND

The Management of Singareni Collieries Company Limited, Bellampalli Division-II, Adilabad District.

APPEARANCES:

None represented on behalf of workmen as well as Management.

AWARD

The following industrial dispute existing between the employers in relation to the Management of Singareni Collieries Company Limited, Bellampalli Division-II and their Workman was referred for adjudication to this Industrial Tribunal under Sections 7A and 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 by the Central Government as per Order No. L-21012(8)/81-D.IV(B), dated 26-11-81/1-12-1981.

"Whether the management of S.C. Co Ltd., is justified in not promoting Shri Abdul Khasim as Tub-Repairing Fitter? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?"

2.After the above industrial dispute was registered and taken on life in I.D. No. 31 of 1981. Notices were issued to the parties. The President, Tandur Coal Mines Labour Union, namely, S. Nagaiah Reddy, representing the Workmen sent the claims statement dated 27-1-1982 through post to this Tribunal. Thereafter the Management took several adjournments for filing their counter. On 27-8-1982 the Deputy Chief Personnel Manager of the company, namely. P. Krishnaji, representing the Management, filed a compromise petition signed by both the parties. He also admitted the execution and terms of the compromise read over to him. However on that day there was no representation for the Workmen. The said comoromise petition was taken on file in Miscellaneous Ptition No. 108 of 1982. The said petition was adjourned from time to time for the presence of Workmen's representative. Though seven adjournments were granted in the petition for the presence of the Workmen, neither the aggrieved workman, namely Abdul Khasim nor the Pre-

sident of the Labour Union namely, S. Nagaiab Reddy turned up.

3. In view of the above circumstances, I have to take the compromise as admitted by the Management's Officer as true and genuine. Otherwise there must have been some representation on behalf of the Workmen. Therefore, today I recorded the compromise filed in M.P. No. 108 of 1982 On a perusal of the above compromise, I feel that it is just and fair to the aggrieved workman and also to the Managemut. Hence I hereby pass an award in terms of the compromise A copy of the compromise shall be appended to this award.

Dictated to the Stenographer, transcribed by him and corrected by me and given under my hand and the seal of this Tribunal, this the 10th day of November, 1982.

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (CENTRAL) HYDERABAD

Industrial Dispute No. 31/81

Workmen of Singareni Collieries Co. Ltd., Belampalli Division-II, Adilabad Dist. A.P.

Verms

The Management of Singareni Collieries Co. Ltd., Belampalli Division-II, Adilabad Dist. A.P.

COMPROMISE PETITION

It is hereby submitted by the Management of Singareni Collieries Company Limited, Betlampalli, and their workman represented by the President, Tandur Coal Mines Labour Union, Belampalli, that:—

- Regarding the I.D., in respect of Shri Mohd. Khasim, Tub Repairing Mazdoor, Somagudem No. 1 Incline, demanding promotion as Tub Repairing Maistry, Category-IV, the Management and the Representative of the Union held joint discussions on 13-8-1982 to have an amicable settlement of this issue.
- Accordingly, a Settlement was arrived at under Rule 58(4) of the I.D. Act, 1947, between the Management and their workman represented by the President, T.C.M.L. Union, Belampalli, on 13th August, 1982, a copy of which is enclosed herewith for your information and ready reference.
- That in view of the settlement quoted above, it is prayed by the Management and the President, T.C.M.L. Union, Belamapalli, (representing the workman), that the Honourable Tribunal may pass Award accordingly.

For Management:

For Workmen:

Sd/-

Sd/-

(S. S. R. Sastry), General Manager, S.C. Co. Ltd., (S. Nagaiah Reddy)
President,
T.C.M.L. Union,

Belampalli-504251, Adilabad Dist. A.P. Belampalli-504251, Belampalli,

Dated: 21-8-1982.

Memorandum of Settlement arrived at under Rule 58(4) of the I.D. Act, 1957, on 13-8-1982, between the Management of the Singareni Collering Co. Ltd., and their workmen represented by the Tandur Coal Mines Labour Union, Belampalli, regarding alleged non-confirmation promotion of Shri

Mohmad Khasim, Tub-Repairing Mazdoor as Tub-Repairer, Somagudem No. 1 incline, which has now been registered as I.D. No. 31 of 1981 before the Industrial Tribunal (Central Hyderabad.

PARTIES PRESENT

Representing the Management:

- Shri S.S.R. Sastry, General Manager, Singareni Collieries Co. Ltd., Belampaili-504251, Adılabad Dist. A.P.
- P. Krishnaji, Dy. Chief Personnel Manager, Singareni Collieries Co. Ltd., Belampalli-504 251, Adilabad Dist A.P.

Representing the Workmen

 Shri S. Nagaiah Reddy, President, T.C.M.L. Union, Belampalli.

SHORT RECITAL OF THE CASE

The Tandur Coal Mines Labour Union, Belampalli, raised an Industrial Dispute stating that the Management of Singareni Colheries Co Ltd., Belampalli, has not confirmed/appointed Shri Mohd. Khasim as Tub Repairing Maistry in Category-IV, although he has been working as Tub Repairing Maistry in Category-IV for the last 1-1/2 years. The Union Management as quite illegal and unjust. The Union therefore, demanded the promotion of Shri Mohmad Khasim to the post of Tub Repairer with retrospective effect.

The Asst. Labour Commissioner (Central). Hyderabad, had final conciliation proceedings in the above dispute, on 24-7-1981.

During the conciliation proceedings, the Management state-ed that Shri Mohmad Khasim, Tub Repairing Mazdoor, Smg. 1 Incline, was intermittedently officiating as Tub Repairing maistry from February, 1980, in the leave, sick and absenteeism vacancy of a permanent Tub Repairer and that he has putiless number of officiating musters compared with that of Shri Abdul Quddus, another Tub Repairing Mazdoor working at the same mine and who was promoted as Tub Repairing/Tub Making Maistry, Category-IV.

The Management, therefore denied the allegations of the Union and contended that their action in not promoting Shri Mohmad Khasim is nither illegal nor unjustified.

Since both the parties held divergent views, no settlement could be arrived at during the conciliation proceedings.

This dispute was referred to by the Government of India, for adjudication by the Industrial Tribunal (Central) Hyderabad and it was registered as I.D. No. 31 of 1981. The Union has filed it's claim statement before the Industrial Tribunal (Central), Hyderabad.

However, discussions were again held by the Management with the President, Tandur Coal Mine, Labour Union, on 13-8-1982, with a view to settle this issue amicably in the interest of better industrial relations. After prolonged discussions, both the parties have agreed to settle the dispute with the following terms of settlement:—

TERMS OF SETTLEMENT

- 1. The Management has agreed to direct Shri Mohmad Khasim, Tub Repairing Mazdoor, Somagudem No. 1 Incline, for a trade test, to find out his suitability for promotion to the post of a Tub Repairing Maistry/Tub Making Maistry Category-IV.
- 2. It was also agreed by the Management that the trade test will be held within a month from the date of settlement of the Dispute.
- 3. It was agreed by the Management that subject to his (Sbri Mohd. Khasim Qualifying in the trade test, he will be promoted as Tub Repairing/Making Maistry on Category-IV wages with effect from 1st April, 1982.

- 4. The Union agreed that Shri Mohmad Khasim on promotion can be transferred to any order Mine/Workshop of the Belampalli Area according to the requirements of the Management.
- 5. Both the parties agreed to file the settlement before the Industrial Tribunal (Central) Hyderabad, as a compromise petition, in respect of the I.D. No. 31 of 1981 and to request the Hon'ble Chairman, Industrial Tribunal (Central), to pass an Award and to treat this Dispute as closed.

SIGNATURES

Representing the Management. Representing the Workmen

Sd/-

Sd/-

(S. S. R. Sastry), (S. Nagaiah Reddy), General Manager, S.C. Co. Ltd., President, T.C.M.L. Union, Belampalli-504 251 Belampalli.

2. Sd/-

Sd/-

(P. Krishnaji), Dy. C.P.M., SC Co. Ltd., Belampalli.

Personnel Manager, S.C. Co. Ltd., Belampalli.

Witnesses :---

Sd/-

(K. T. Ravi Varma)

Personnel Manager, S.C. Co., Ltd., Belampalli-504 251

C.C.

S. V. RAMAN REDDY, Presiding Officer [No. L-21012(8)/81-D.IV(B)]

S.O. 4337.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby published the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal No. 3, Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of CMD's Office, Mesers Eastern Coalfields Limited, Sanctoria, Post Office, Dishergath (Burdwan) and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 9-12-82.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT NO. 3, DHANBAD Reference No. 44/81

PRESENT:

Shri J. N. Singh,-Presiding Officer.

PARTIES:

Emloyers in relation to the management of C.M.D's Office of M/s. Eastern Coalfields Ltd., Sanctoria, P.O. Dishergarh, Dist. Burdwan.

AND

Their Workmen

APPEARANCES:

For the Employers,—Sri R. S. Murthy, Advocate. For the Workman,—Sri S. K. Acharyya.

INDUSTRY : Coal.

STATE: West Bengal.

Dated, the 2nd December, 1982.

AWARD

The Government of India in the Ministry of Labour in exercise of the powers conferred on them U/S 10(1)(d) of

the Industrial Disputes Act, 14 of 1947 has reierred the dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication under Order No. L-19012(14)/81-D.IV(B) dated the 17th September, 1981.

SCHEDULE

"Whether the management of CMD's Office of M/s. ECL Sanctoria, P. O. Dishergarh, Dist. Burdwan was justified in not regularising Shri Sew Gowala, Peon in Technical Gr. 'II' w.e.f. 1-2-76 with full pay protection along with difference of wages. If not, to what relief the wokman is entitled to?"

- 2. On 30-11-1982 both the parties have filed a joint petition of compromise duly signed on their behalf and they pray that an award be passed in terms of the settlement.
- 3. I have gone through the settlement which is beneficial for the workman.
- 4. In the circumstances the award is passed in terms of the settlement which shall form part of the award.

J. N. SINGH, Presiding Officer

Enc: Sottlement

BEFORE THE HONBLE PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 3 AT DHANBAD.

Reference No 44 of 1981

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the management of Chairman Cum-Managing Director's Office of Fastern Coalfields Limited

AND

Their Workmen

Joint Petition of Compromise.

Both the parties above-named most respectfully beg to submit as follows:

- (1) That the above matter is pending the Honble Tribunal and the matter has not been heard.
- (2) That both the parties have, in the meantime, negotiated the present matter and have come to a settlement on the following terms:
 - (a) Without prejudice to the contentions of the parties made in their respective written statements, it is agreed that the basic pay of the concerned workman will be raised to Rg. 512 per month in Grade-H with effect from 1-3-1982.
 - (b) All arrear payments arising out of this reference in respect of the period upto 28-2-82 thall be deemed to be fully and finally satisfied on payment of the consolidated sum of E. 4000 (Rupees Four Thousand only).
 - (c) The aforesaid sum stated in the foregoing subparagraph will be paid to the workman concerted in six consecutive monthly instalments starting from the month following the month when this cettlement becomes effective.
 - (d) This settlement will become effective on the date the Honble' Tribunal accepts the settlement and passes an order to give an Award in terms of the settlement.
 - (e) The Union and the workman berein concerned agree that by this sertlement the instant matter is fully and finally resolved and there shall be no other claim whatsoever in connection to the instant matter.

3. Both the parties pray that the Honble' Tribunal may be graciously pleased to pass an Award in terms of the sufflement.

And for this act of kindness both the parties as in duty bound shall every pray.

Sd/-

For and on behalf of the Employers.

Dated this the 26th day of November, 1982. Sd/-

- For and on behalf of the workman, Sd/-
- 2. Signature of the workman concerned.

J. N. SINGH, Presiding Officer
[No. L-19012(14)/81-D.IV(B)]
S. S. MEHTA, Desk Officer

अस कीर प्रविश संत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 7 दिसम्बर, 1982

का. था. 4238. — केन्द्रीय सरकार, अौद्योपिक विवाद किंगिनयम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 33ग की उपधारा (2) धारा प्रवत्त शिवलयों का पर्याग करते हुए, जोर भारत सरकार के तत्कालीन कम मंत्रालय की अधिमुखना सं. का. आ. 1973 तारीख 26 मई, 1977 को अधिकात करतो हुए, उत्तर प्रदेश, हरियाणा, पंजाब, हिमाचल प्रदेश, जम्म्-कश्मीर, राजस्थान राज्यों और चण्डीगढ़ संघ राज्य क्षंत्र की मरकारों द्वारा रथापित श्रम न्यायालयों को उन श्रम न्यायालयों के रूप में विनिद्धिय करती है जो उस रकम का अवधारण करेगे जिस पर उस धारा में निर्विष्ट किमी असुविधा की संगणना जिस प्रदेश, हरियाणा, पंजाब, हिमाचल प्रदेश, जम्मू और वहमीर, राजस्थान के राज्यों और चण्डीगढ़ संघ राज्य क्षेत्र के किमी उद्योग में जिसके बारे में केन्द्रीय मरकार समूचित सरकार है, नियोजित कर्मकारों के संबंध में धन के रूप में की आएगी।

[फा. सं. एस-11020/10/81-डी-1(ए)] एन. के. नारायणन, अवर स्चिव

New Delhi, the 7th December, 1982

S.O. 4338.—In exercise of the powers conferred by subsection (2) of section 33C of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), and in supersession of the notification No. S.O. 1973 dated the 26th May, 1977 of the Government of India in the then Ministry of I abour, the Central Government hereby specifies the Labour Courts set up by the Governments of Uttar Pradesh, Haryana, Punjab, Himachal Pradesh, Jammu and Kashmir, Rajasthan and the Union Territory of Chandigarh as the Labour Courts which shall determine the amount at which any benefit referred to in that sub-section would be computed in terms of money in relation to workmen employed in any industry in the States of Uttar Pradesh, Haryana, Punjab, Himachal Pradesh, Jammu and Kashmir, Rajasthan and the Union Territory of Chandigath in respect of which the Central Government is the appropriate Government.

[No S-11020/10/81-DIA] L. K. NARAYANAN, Under Secy.

सायेण

सई दिल्हीं, 17 मितम्बर, 1983

का॰ आ॰ 4339.—केन्द्रीय सरपार की राय है कि इससे उपायस प्रमुखी में जिल्लिंदिय जिसस के बारे में टिल्कीरिय पशन स्थाम, टूटिकीरिय के प्रवन्तनंत्र से सम्बद्ध एक घोषीतिक विवाद नियोजनों घील उनके कर्मकारों के बीच विद्यमान है ;

भीर केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त विवाद को न्यागानिर्णयन के लिए निर्वेशित करना बोछनीय समझती है ;

श्रतः केन्द्रीय सरकार श्रीष्ट्रींगिक विवाद प्रथितिगन, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 7-क भीर धारा 10 की उप-धारा (1) के खब (ब) द्वारा प्रथत गावितयों का प्रयोग करते हुए, एक भीश्रीगिक श्रीधकरण गठित करती हैं जिसके पीठार्स न श्रीधकरण श्री टी. भ्रम्ल राज होंगे, जिनका मुख्यालय मदास में होगा और उक्त विवाद को उक्त भाषिकरण को स्थायिकर्षय के लिए निर्देशित करती है।

अन्सयो

क्या ट्रिकोरिन पत्तन न्याम, ट्रिकोरिन के प्रबन्धतंत्र की श्री ए० धंगासमी लीकिंग फायरमैन को उप-श्रीधकारी के पद के लिए प्रोन्ति में क्रिकिन्त भरने की कार्रवाई क्यामीचित है ? यदि नहीं, तो संबंधित कर्मकार किम भज्तीय का हकदार है ?

सिं॰ एस-44012/1/82-की. 4 (ए)]

ORDER

New Delhi, the 17th September, 1982

S.O. 4339.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of Tuticorin Port Trust, Tuticorin and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas, the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 7A, and clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10, of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby constitutes an Industrial Tribunal of which Shri T. Arulraj shall be the Pres'ding Officer, with headquarters at Madras, and refers the said dispute for adjudication to the said Tribunal.

SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the management of Tuticorin Port Trust, Tuticorin in superseding Shri A. Thangasamy, Leading Fireman in promotion for the post of Sub-Officer is justified? If not, to what relief is the concerned workman entitled?",

[No. I-44012/1/82-D.IV(A)] T. B. SITARAMAN, Desk Officer

आवेश

नई विरुषी 13 दिसम्बर, 1982

का० आ० 4340.--- कालकता डाक लेवर बोर्ड के प्रबंधतंत्र से सम्बद्ध नियांजकों ग्रीर उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, जिनका प्रतिनिधिस्त्र (1) कलकत्ना बोर्ट एक्ड डाक टक्स युनियन (2) कलकत्ना सक वर्कम यूनियन (3) डाक श्रीसक एसोसिएशन, कलकत्ता (4) नेयानल यूनियन प्राफ बाटर-फक्ट वर्कम (5) वेस्ट वंगाल डाक मजदूर यूनियन करते है, एक शीकोधिक विवाद विद्यागन है;

ग्रीर उक्त नियाजको ग्रीर कर्मका ने ग्रांबोनिक विवाद ग्रिधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 10-क की उप-प्रारा (1) के उपवन्तों के श्रमुमध्य में एक निवित सरार द्वारा उक्त दिवाद की माध्यस्थम के निए निर्देशित करने का करार कर लिया है और उक्त माध्यस्थम करार की एक प्रति केन्द्रीय सरकार को भेजी गई है।

कतः, क्रम उक्त प्रक्षित्यम की धारा 10-४ की उपधारा (3) के क्षमुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त माध्यस्थम करार को, प्रकाशित करती है। (करार)

(भौद्योगिक विवाद गिर्धानियम, 1947 की धारा 10-क के अर्धान) पक्षकारों के नाम:

नियोजकों / प्रतिनिधित्व

करने वाले.

भी ए० के० पटनायक, प्रशासनिक निकास, कानकता प्राफ लेवर बोर्ड

कर्मकारों /कर्मकार का प्रतिनिधित्व करने वाले

- (1) कलकत्ता पोर्ट एंड डाक वर्कर्स यूनियभ ।
- (2) कलकरता डाक यर्कर्स युनियन
- (3) डाक श्रमिक एसोसिएशन, कलकरूना
- (4) नेशानल पृत्तियम भ्राफ वाटर-फंट धर्कर्म
- (5) वेस्ट बंगाल डाक मजदूर मृतियन।

पक्षकारों के बीच निम्नलिखित ग्रौश्चोगिक दियाद को श्री एस० संा० मजुमबार, संयुक्त प्रबंधक (पोर्ट सिक्या), मारतीय खाद्य निगम, 4 मैंग्गो लेन, कलकन्ता-1 के माध्यस्थम के लिए निवेशित करने का करार किया गया है।

- 1. तिनिदिष्ट निवाद ग्रस्य विजयः
- (क) क्या मजदूरी पुनरीक्षा राजिति की मिकारित के संदर्भ में,
 1-1-1974 का (पूर्व-प्रकच्य धार सी) जनरन परमंग मजदूर,
 उनके टिन्छस भौर कारपेंटर, डाक लिपिकीय और पर्यवेशी
 श्रीसकों के बंगों में पजीक्कल श्रीसकों "क", "ख" धौर
 "श्र" बंगों के जिपिंग और पेंटिन श्रीसकों की वर्गमान दैनिक
 मूल मजदूरी को 30 से गुणा किया जाए जैसा डब्ज्य धार०
 सी व वेतनमाण में फिटमेंट के लिए धिकित उनकी
 राष्ट्रीय मासिक मूल मजदूरी के उदेश्य के लिए श्रीमकों ने
 पुमाव दिया है श्रीर क्या, फिटमेंट के पश्चान, उनकी संगीध त
 दैनिक मूल मजदूरी के निर्धारण के लिए मासिन सून मजदूरी
 को 26 से निर्माजिन किया जाए।
- (छ) क्या उपर्युक्त विवाद पर दिया गया अनुतोय, यदि कोई हो, ं गूननदी प्रभाव से ग्रयीन् 1-1-1974 से या मविज्यलशी प्रभाव से होगीं।
- 2 विकास के पक्षकारों का विकरण, जिनमें मंतंबिलित स्थापम या उपक्रम का नाम श्रीर पना भो निम्मिलिय है।
 - (क) प्रशासनिक निकाय, कलकता इत्त नेवर बोई, 20, धब्दुल हमीद स्ट्रीट, कलकत्ता- 700069
 - (ख) कलकरता पोर्ट एंड डाक दर्शर्स युनियन, 27-वे, सरस्यूलर गार्टन रीच रोड, कलकरना --7000:33 ---पजीकृत भीर मान्यना बाप्त युनियन।
 - (ग) करुयरता इ.क वर्कर्स यून्यिन, 27-बी नक्क्यूनर गार्डन रीज रोड्, भलकरना -700023 --पंजीएन श्रीर साम्मामाण यूनियन
 - (घ) डाक श्रमिक एसोसिएकल, कनास्ता, 4, रागकसन स्ट्रेट, कलकरण-700023

--पत्रीकृत और मान्यमध्यादः एनियन ।

(छ) नेगनता यृत्धिम आफ बाटरकड वर्धर्म, 15, कोन एक शेड्, कलकरता, 700045

---पर्जः इतः और भान्य ॥प्राप्तः यूनिध**ः** ।

(ज) बैस्ट यंगाल एक सजदूर सूनियत, ३३ है-सप्त स्ट्राट, कलक्षरता-700023

--- एंजीकृत भीर मान्यताप्राप्त यूनियन।

कलकल्ला डाक लेखर बोर्ड, 20, प्रब्युल हमीद स्ट्रीट, कलकल्ला-700069 प्रशिष्धान

3. कर्मकार, यदि वह स्वयं इस विवाद में शन्तर्ग्रस्त हैं, का नाम स यदि कोई यृतियम प्रजनगत कर्मकारो या कर्नकार का प्रतिविधित्व करती है, जो उसका नाम:

(मा) कलकला पोर्ट एड डाक वर्कर्स युनियम)

(ख) अलकारता डाक वर्फर्स यूनियन

(ग) डाक श्रमिक एसोप्रिएशन, कलपत्ता

अन्।औत युनिपने

(ष) मेशमल यूनियन ग्राफ नाटरफट वर्कर्स

(क) बैस्ट बगांग जाक मजदर यूनियन

 प्रभावित उपलम में सियाजित धर्मभारो को कृल मन्या 1-7-1982 की 8811 भर्मभार

 विराद द्वारा प्रभावित या सम्माण्यतः 267) कर्षकार प्रभावित होते वाले वर्मकारो की
 प्राम्कणित संख्या

हम प्रह कराणे भी भागते हैं कि प्रशास की विविधान हम पर श्रासदक्षण हागा। यह श्रापता प्रवाट वील माम की कालापिश था इसी और समय के भीतर तो हमारे बीच पाल्क्यींटक विख्या करार द्वारा सहाया जाए, देगा।

पक्षकारी के हस्ताक्षर

हु०/-15-10-82 (ए० के० पटनाथक) नियाजको / प्रशासनिक निकाय, कन्मरुषा डाक लेबर बोई का प्रतिपिधिस्य क्रिके बाले। हर्-15-10-82 (सफील्व्हीन श्रह्मय) ज्वाह्य जनरल सेकेटरी कलकता पाट एड डाक पर्कर्ने पूनियन, 27-वी-सप्तरयूक्ट शाईन रीच राष्ट्र, कलकता -700023

हर्- 15-10-82 (बब्ब्यू ए० झाजाब) ज्वाइट सेकेटरी कलकरना बाक बर्धसं यूनिवल की फ्रॉर से

इ०/—15-10-82 (रावित सज्भदार) प्रेजीडेंट, डाक श्रमिक एमासिएसन, कमकस्ता

हर/- 15-10 82 (जानकी मुखर्जी) जनरण रोक्षेट्रशे नेजानल यूनियम आंफ वाटरफट नगाने हर/-15-10-82 (मुर्जार मिखा) ज्याह्ट गैनेटरी वेस्ट कारण ठाफ मजदूर युगित्र र

मार्थिया, कलाइत्सा 15-10-1982 सा**ध**िः

- (1) ह० ए० के० चटनीं, भौगाणिक मंत्रेष्ठ अधिकारी, 15-10-82
- (2) हर /- एवर केर याप, प्रमायनिक विकासी, 15-10-32

शामके पात संख्ता एम-जी / 4 (एत) / नार्ड-हिंगे / 3263, नारीख 12 जून, 1982 के संदर्भ में और 26 5-1932 के सहला भवता 16 के अनुस्थल में भी, भी एत० मी० भजूनदार अनुमन प्रदेशक (पंटे संक्षा) कलकरना, पाध्यम्या दिए हो दे बारे में मध्यस्थ के छा में काण करते के लिए अपनी सहपत्ति देना हा।

ह०/- एन० सी० नजूमदार सम्बद्ध अवस्र (पीटैं निकास) [य ए 1-3:013(1)९ :-डी-4 (१)] टी० बा० सीलाज्यस, बैंश्स अदिहासी

ORDER

New De'hi, the 13th December, 1982

S.O. 4340.—Wherevs an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of Calcutta Dock Labour Board and their workmen represented by (1) Calcutta Port & Dock Workers' Union, (2) Calcutta Dock Workers' Union, (3) Dock Shramik Association, Calcutta, (4) N. tion I Union of Waterfront Workers, (5) West Bengal Dock Mazdoor Union;

And whereas the said employers and their workmen have by a written agreement under sub-section (1) of Section 10A, of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), agreed to refer the said dispute to arbitration and have forwarded to the Central Government a copy of the said arbitration agreement;

Now therefore, in pursuance of sub-section (3) of section 10A of the said Act, the Central Government hereby publishes the said agreement.

AGREEMENT

(Under Section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947)
BETWEEN

Name of the Parties:

Representing employers/Administrative Body.—Shri A. K. Patnaik,—Administrative Body, Calcutta Dock Labour Board.

Representing workmen/workman:

- (1) Calcutta Port & Dock Workers' Union.
- (2) Calcutta Dock Workers' Union.
- (3) Dock Shramik Association, Calcutta,
- (4) National Union of Waterfront Workers.
- (5) West Bengal Dock Mazdoor Union.

It is hereby agreed between the parties to refer the following dispute to the arbitration of Shri S. C. Mazumdar, Joint Manager (Port Operation). Food Corporation of India, 4, Mango I ane, Calcutte-1.

(i) Specific matters in dispute:

Terms of reference for the Arbitrator:

(a) Whether in the context of the recommendation of the Wage Revision Committee the existing daily basis wages as on 1-1-74 (Pre-WRC) of the registered workers in the categories of General Purpose Mazdoors their Tindals and Carpentors, Dock

Clerical & Supervisory. Workers, 'A', 'B', and 'BB' categories of Shipping & Painting workers should be multiplied 'by 30 as proposed by the workers for the pupose of their national monthly basic wage required for fitment into W.R.C. scales of pay and whether, after fitment, the monthly basic pay shall be divided by 26 for determination of their revised daily basic wages.

- (b) Whether any relief, if awarded, on the above issue would be from retrospective date i.e. from 1-1-74 or prospectively.
- (ii) Details of the parties to the dispute including the name and address of the establishment or undertaking involved:
 - (a) Administrative Body, Calcutta Dock Labour Board, 20, Abdul Hamid Street, Calcutta-700 069.
 - (b) Calcutta Port & Dock Workers' Union, 27-B, Circular Garden Reach Road, Calcutta-700 023. — a registered and recognised union.
 - (e) Calcutta Dock Workers' Union, 27-B, Circular Garden Reach Road, Calcutta—700 023.
 —a registered and recognised union.
 - (d) Dock Shramik Association, Calcutta, 4, Ramkamal Street, Calcutta—700 023.
 a registered and recognised union.
 - (e) National Union of Waterfront Workers, 15, Coal Dock Road, Calcutta—700 045.
 a registered and recognised union.
 - (f) West Bengal Dock Mazdeor Union, 33, Hemchandra Street, Calcutta—700 023.
 a registered and recognised union.

Calcutta Dock Laour Board, 20, Abdul Hamid Street, Calcutta—700 069.—Establishment.

(iii) Name of the workman in case he himself is

involved in the dispute or the name of the Union, if any, representing the workmen or workman in question:

(a) Calcutta Port & Dock Workers' Union
(b) Calcutta Dock Workers' Union
(c) Dock Shramik Association, Calcutta
(d) National Union of Waterfront Workers
(c) West Bengal Dock Mazdoor Union

(d) West Bengal Dock Mazdoor Union

(iv) Total number of workmen employed in 8811 as on the undertaking affected: 1-7-82

(v) Estimated number of workmen affected or likely to be affected by the dispute: 2679

We further agree that the decision of the Arbitrator be binding on us. He shall make his award within a period of three months or within such period as is extended by mutual agreement between us in writing.

Signature of the parties

Sd/- 15-10-82
(A.K. Patnaik)

Representing employers/
Administrative Body,
Calcutta Port & Dock Workers'
Calcutta Dock Labour Board.
Union.

27-B, Circular Garden Reach Road, Calcutta-700023. Sd/ 15-10-82
(W.A. Azad)
Joint Secretary,
For & on behalf of Calcutta
Dock Workers' Union,
Sd/- 15-10-82
(Robin Mazumlar)
President,

Dock Shramik Association, Calcutta.

Sd/- 15-10-82

(Janaki Mukherjee)
General Secretary,
National Union of Waterfront
Workers'
Sd/- 15-10-82
(Sudhir Mitra)
Joint Secretary

West Bengal Dock Mazdoor Union.

Dated, Calcutta, the 15-10-1982.

Witnesses:

- Sd/- A.K. Chatterjee, Industrial Relations Officer, 15-10-82
- (2) Sd/- N.K. Ghosh, Administrative Officer, 15-10-82

With reference to your letter No. S-G/4(n)/Pt. III/3268 dated 12th June, 1982 and also in terms of Resolution No. 16 of 26-5-82, I, Shri S.C. Majumdar, Joint Manager (Port Operation), Calcutta do hereby give my consent to act as an Arbitrator on the arbitration issue.

Sd/-

(S.C. Majumdar)

Joint Manager (Port Operation)

[No. L-32013(1)/82-D. IV(A)]

New Delhi, the 17th December, 1982

S.O. 4341.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, No. 2, Bombay in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Bombay Port Trust, Bombay and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 29th November, 1982.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 2, BOMBAY

PRESENT:

Shri M. A. Deshpande, Presiding Officer.

Reference No. CGIT-2/11 of 1982

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the Management of Bombay Port Trust, Bombay.

AND

Their Workmen.

APPEARANCES:

For the Employers-Shri M. N. Bhatkal; Advocate

For the Employers—Shri M. N. Bhatkal, Advocate. INDUSTRY: Ports and Docks. STATE: Maharashtra.

Bombay, the 4th November, 1982

AWARD

By their order No. L-31012/13/81-D.IV(A) dated nil the Central Government have referred the following dispute for adjudication under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947:—

- "Whether the action of the management of Bombay Port Trust in terminating the services of Shri Rabha Bhaoo Temgire, on the 1st July, 1975 reckoning his date of birth as 1st July, 1917 is justified? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?"
- 2. From the wording of the reference itself it is evident that the dispuse has arisen because of the alleged attempt to terminate the service of Shri Baba Bahoo Temgire on 1-7-1975 treating the date of birth as 1-7-1917 when calculated accordingly he having reached the age of superannuation as per rules.
- 3. The contention of the Union who has espoused the cause of the workman as seen from the statement of claim is that initially he secured employment as a labourer and than as Morpa under a contractor but in the year 1948 the contract system having been abolished his services were transferred to the Bombay Port Trust, from which date he was continuously in the service of the said body. It seems that his date of birth as recorded in the records of the Bombay Port Trust was July, 1917. Subsequently in the year 1952 though a circular was issued by the Port Trust authorities calling upon the employees to correct the date of birth if there be any mistake within a period of three months, admittedly no action was taken by the workman and his date of birth continued to be as 1st July, 1917. The Union then contends that on or about 2nd December, 1957 on production of the extract of birth register there was an attempt made to get his date of birth corrected as 3-10-1924 but this request on the part of the workman was turned down by the Port Trust authorities. The Union then says that on enquiries the workman came to know that his birth date was 11-9-1925, which was the date of birth entered in the school leaving certificate, the workman having attended the school at Mancher for some days. Having learnt accordingly and on having secured a copy of the School Leaving Certificate, again an attempt was made for getting the correct date of birth entered in the Port Trust records but on this occasion also the said attempt failed as a result of which the workman was retired on 1-7-1975. The Union contends that when in the case of other employees the Port Trust authorities have accepted such proof the only exception was made in the case of the workman concerned which it is alleged, is discriminatory and therefore in the light of the Correct date of birth being 11-9-1926 the attempt of the Port Trust to make him retire on 1-7-1975 must fall and suitable relief therefore should be awarded.
- 4. By their written statement the Port Trust authorities have refuted all these contentions. They even contended that the employees is not a workman within the meaning of Section 2(s) of the Industrial Disputes Act and it is further pleaded that the employee having failed Misc. Petition No. 720 of 1975 on 30-6-1975 before the Hon'ble High Court of Bombay seeking substantial similar reliefs and he having failed in the said attempt and also appeals, the present reference is barred by the principles of resjudicata and therefore not sustainable. There are also grounds or contentions pleaded in the written statement but since the plead of resjudicata is being heard as preliminary plea, no reference need be made to these contentions.
 - 5. The issue which arises for consideration at the present stage is issue No. 1-A namely; Finding
 - 1A. Whether the present reference is barred by the principles analogous to the principles of res-judicata because of the decision in Misc. Petition No. 720 of 1975?

REASONS

- 6. Since it is an issue which rests on record as well as law point, the parties chose not to lead any evidence and addiessed their arguments in detail. Now the records speaks that when the services of the workman concerned namely Shri Temgire was transferred to the Port Trust initially the date of his birth was noted as 1-7-1913 which was subsequently changed to 1-7-1917 as soon from annexure 'C' of the statement of claim at the instance of the Union. It is therefore not that the Port Trust authorities had anything against the workman concerned. Subsequently an attempt was made on behalf of the workman to plead that the correct date of birth was 3-10-1924 for which purpose he relied upon the extract of the birth register but being not convinced of the veracity of the record the said request failed and was turned down. Here again no bias can be attributed nor any inference can be drawn from the fact that relying on the extract of the birth register in the case of other workmen the records was duly corrected. After all it was for the Bombay Port Trust authorities to decide whether a particular representation was correct or not and if they were not satisfied about the veracity of the particular record, no fault can be round with those authorities.
- 7. The events show that even according to the workman concerned the date of birth 3-10-1924 could not be a correct date because subsequently an attempt was made to plead that the correct date of birth was 11-9-1926, for this purposes the extract of school leaving certificate was produced but might be because of various different representations made on behalf of the same workman, the Port Trust authorities were not prepared to place reliance on this proof and therefore they turned down the request on this occasion also.
- 8. Had the matter rested here and had the workman or the Union who espoused the case of the workman approached the conciliation officer or the Government and sought a reference under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, there would not have any complication as the workman or the Union is now facing and the matter would have been simply a question of fact namely what was the correct date of bith namely 1-7-1917 or 3-10-1924 or 11-9-1926 and the consequences would have followed on the strength of the finding one way or other in the light of reference. The Union who had various remedies before it atleast two remedies either to approach the High Court invoking article 226 of the Constitution or go for a reference under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, opted for the first remedy and though was unsuccessful in the High Court pursued till the highest Tribunal of the Country where also it failed in its attempt. Now therefore the question which poses for determination is whether once having opted for legal remedy and having agitated the matter before the Hon'ble High Court, whether the same party despite the adverse finding noted after hearing the parties can turn round and can seek any reference. The contention of the Port Trust authorities is that they cannot because the said attempt is barred by principles analogous to res-judicata.
- 9. That Civil Procedure Code or to that extent of provisions of Indian Evidence Act are not applicable to the proceedings under the Industrial Disputes Act stands admitted. At the same time it does not mean that the principles analogous to res-judicata cannot be invoked in the proceedings onder Section 10(1)(d) of the Act particularly when it becomes a public policy. After all the reference is entertained in order to see that there remains no dispute so as to result in breach at peace in a particular industry. If therefore the party has opted for a relief and pursued the matter till its and, the same party if allowed to re-agitate the same matter and covering the same ground again for the purpose of adjudication, is likely to be frustrated.
- 10. What was contended on behalf of the workman is to overcome the difficulty created by himself that the decision given by the Bombay High Court was not on merits in the sense no proof was allowed to be adduced to substantiate the contention that 11-9-1926 was the correct date of birth. It is urged on behalf of the Union that since the School leaving Certificate was not proved and therefore was not considered, the matter cannot be held to have been decided on merit. The Union knew that they were relying upon the certificate and therefore must be deemed to be conscious of their duty to adduce sufficient proof in the shape of affidavit of Head

idades of the school, which the Union faited to do. Therefore merely because the certainance was not proved, it cannot be said that the Union bad no opportunity to prove and in abserving cases the right court might have granted them permission and as such the plea that the accision of the from the right court was not on ment is not sustainable, on the contain naving recused to grant reflect, it means that me plea about incorrect date of birth appearing on the records of the Hombay Port Trust stood rejected and the same now cannot be aboved to be re-opened.

- 11. Now the question whether the insteer was decided on meths of not is not inhear with the opportunity to lead the evidence of not, in this confection in Gulabeliand Canochal Language, 55, side of Gulatal, 71K, 1563, S.C. 1153, the containing of the Supreme Court after reacting to the eather case reported in Tax 1501 SC page 1457 have made summary or the principles involved in such mades and the summary is as follows:—
 - (1) If a pecition under Art. 220 is considered on the matrix as a contested matter and is dismissed, the decision would continue to boil the parties units it is otherwise modified or teversed by appeal or other appropriate proceedings permission under the Constitution.
 - (2) it would not be open to a party to ignore the said judgement and move this Court under Art. 32 by an original pettion made on the same facts and for obtaining the same or similar orders or write.
 - 3. In the petition under Art. 220 m a High Court is dismissed not on the the menus but because of the lackes of the party applying for the writ or because it is held that the party had an alternative remedy available to it, the assumes of the writ petition would not consistute a bar to a subsequent petition under Art. 32.
 - (4) such a dismissal may, however, constitute a bar to a subsequent approach under Art. 32 where and if the facts thus found by the fligh Court be themselves relevant even under Art. 32.

In paragraphs 5, 6 and 7 the consequences of the petition aroung oven discussed in limine without a speaking order have been discussed which are not relevant for the purpose of the present case, what has been held is that it the petition under Ati. 226 in the High Court is dismissed not on ments, but because of the lackes of the party applying for the writ or because it is held that the party had an alternative remedy available to it, the dismissal of the writ petition would not constitute a but to a subsequent petition. Against this it was further held that if the perpon under Art. 226 is considered on merits as confessed matter and is dismissed the decision would continue to bind the parties tuiless it is otherwise modified or reversed by appeal or other appropriate proceedings pertainsable under the Constitution. It is true that there the abs quest a pheation was under Art. 32 of the Constitution but the gov ming principles would be the same and it would not make any difference whether subsequent proceeding is a suit or whether it is as in the present case, reference under Section 10(1)(d) of the Act, if the right of the party is barred.

At page 1105 the Lordships have quoted the observations in the earlier cases above referred to namely AIR 1961 SC 1457 :---

- "Now, the tule of rest judication as indicated in S.11 of the Code of Civil Procedure has no doubt some technical especies, for insign e the rule of constructive rest judicate may be said to be technical, but the basis on which the said rule rests is founded on consideration of public policy. It is in the interest of the public at large that a fluidity should attach to the binding decisions pronounced by Courts of competent judisdiction and it is also in the public interest that individuals should not be vexed twice over with the same kind of litigation."
- 12. It is clearly laid down as a seen from the observations that it is in the interest of the public at large that a finality

should attach to be binding decisions pronounced b. Courts of competent judication and it is also in the public interest that individuals should not be vexed twice over with the same kind of litigation.

- 13. A copy of the sudgement passed in the writ petition as we'll as Mise, petition is beine us and from the copy of With petition it is evident that the same refer which is now being sought was the reflef pleaded in the earlier proceedings. In periodically, the reflef sought was 'that this Hen ble court will be pleased to declare that the correct date of birth of the Petitioner is 11th September, 1926 as shown in Ex. A hereio. In the instants proceedings also Unless it was shown as the correct date of birth, no relief is possible because as the records stand his due of bith in the records of the Bombay Port Trust is 1-7-1917 on the strength of which he was made to retire on 1-7-1975. It is not therefore that the relief sought in the earlier proceedings was something different than what has been claimed here.
- 14. It was arged that the Umon or the workman had no opportunity to lead the evidence to substantiate the allegation regarding the correct date of birth and that since it was a proceeding under Art. 220, the Hon'ble High Court would not have gone into the question of facts and therefore any order which was passed in the first place cannot be said to be on merit and secondly even it is so it cannot create a bar of res-judicat... Similar arguments were advanced in the case namely Gulabchand Chhotalal Parikh Vs. State of Gujarar, AlR, 1963, SC 1153 and at page 1167 it has been held that the Courts do not usually enter into disputed questions of fact but there is no bar to their doing so, if they feel disposed to enter into such facis and arrive at a conclusion with respect to them. Therefore merely because no proof was could be adduced in the wit proceedings, it does not mean that it was not a decision on the merits nor it means that it cannot create a bar as pleaded by the Union. In Manahem Vs. Union of India, AlR, 1960 Born 196 our High Court held that the decision on merits in a writ petition would operate as res-judicata in a subsequent suit. There was contra decision of the Punjab High Court in Sm. Binila Chopra Vs. Punjab State but their Lordships declared it to be a wrong decision and as such need not be referred to.
- of laches nor it was withdrawn nor it was held that the party had an alternative remedy. It may be mentioned here in the Special Civil Application (he workman had con'ended that because no other temedy was available he was required to file the petition under Art. 226. There was therefore no question of any other remedy or the dismissal on the said ground. The matter was argued fully and on hearing the patitions. Merely because no proof was administered, the workman or the Union would not be allowed to say that the said decision was not on merits out on the contrary from the judgement of the Hon'ble High Court of Bombay no o her conclusion is possible than that it was a decision on merits. By approaching the Hon'ble High Court when there were two remedies available before the Union or the workman namely either to file special Civil application under Art. 226 or to initiate proceedings under Section 10(1)(d) or the Act, the petitioner had had opted for a particular remedy, knowing full well that there were certain limitation and at the same time knowing well in deserving cases even the evidence of proof can be adduced, the petitioner chose a forum which was a legal forum, now he will not be allowed to turn round and say that the order is not binding on him.
- 16. On page 1160 of A.I.R. 1965 the Lordships of Supreme Court considered the case and when the decision would have been something different it is said that:—
 - "It is partinently pointed out that if the writ application presented by the appellant had been allowed by the High Court on a finding of fact that the hability of the appellant as a surety stood discharged and a writ of prohibition had been issued against the State as prayed for by the appellant in the writ proceedings, the State could not have sued for a declaration that these orders of the High Coult were had and that a decree be passed in its favour declaring that the appellant's liability as the surety still continued and that the State was free to take any action open to it under law for the recovery of the account due from him."

Similarly in the instant case had the decision gone against the Bombay Post Trust, there would have no other gone to them but to correct the record in the light or the direction of order and allow the works on to comme in cribe till he attained the age of superannuation based on corrected entry of date of birth. The Bombay Port Trust in subsequent proceedings would not have been allowed to resile from the duties cast on them, and when a particular finding is to be sentimised, what would have been plus points for and against will have be judged and the rule would not have been different had the decision been one way or other and not in a particular manner. There would be uniformity of the operation of rules and the Bombay Port Trust would have been bound by the order of the decision wis our ments. The workman also is bound by the sime in the will not be allowed to give a goby to the either decision and to seek some other rehers. I therefore hold that the reference is birred by the principles analogous to resignificant, the matter having decided on ments and as such the same would not survive. As such the reference fulls and there is no need to go into the ments and no need for recording on the other issues involved.

Award accordingly.

No Order as to costs

Sd /-

M A. DESHPANDE, Presiding Officer Central Government Industrial Tribunal No. 2, BOMBAY [No. I-31012(13)/81-D IV(Λ)] T B SIΓΛRΛΜΑΝ, Desk Officer.

नई दिनं ११ न स्ब™, 19९१

का० आ० 4342.--मैसमें एसिया फाउण्डेशन्स एष्ट कनस्ट्रक्शास लिसिटेड, 254-ईा०, टा० एर्ना बेस त राज, बम्बई-4000°5 (महाराष्ट्र∦/1803) जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात उक्त स्थापन एटा गया है) ने वर्मचारी सविष्य निधि भीर बकीणं उपवंध अधिनियम, 1952(1952 का 19) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 17 की उपधारा (2क) के अर्धन छूट दिए जाने के लिए आवेदन किया है,

श्रीर केन्द्रीय सण्यार का समाधान हो गया है कि उक्त स्थापनत के कर्मधारी, किसी पृथक्ष प्रभिद्धाय या प्रास्थिय का सद्दाय किए शिता हो, भारतीय अथन वीसा निगम को मामृहित बीमा स्कीम के प्रधीन जीयन यीमा के रूप में फायदे उठा रहे हैं भीर ऐसे कर्मचारियों के लिए ये फायदे उन फायवां से प्रधिक प्रमुक्त हैं जी वर्मचारी निक्षेप सहब्रह्म बीमा स्कीस, 1976 (जिसे इसमें इसके प्रशीस् उक्त स्थाम कहा गया है) के प्रधीन उस्हें प्रमुजेय है,

श्रत, केन्द्रीय संस्कार, उक्त श्रिधिनियम की श्राचा 17 की उपधारा (2क) द्वारा प्रवत्त श्रितियों का प्रयोग करने हुए श्रीर इससे उपायद्व शतुर्मी में विनिदिष्ट शर्ता के श्रिधीन रहन हुए, उक्त स्थापन को सीन वर्ग की श्रिधी के लिए उक्त स्थीम के सभा उपवन्धों के प्रयोग से छुट देती है।

अनुसू घो

- 1 जयन स्थापन के सम्बन्ध से निकोधक प्रादेशिक सविष्य निधि भायुक्त महाराष्ट्र को ऐसी विवरणिया क्षेत्रेया भीर ऐसे रोला रखेगा तथा निरीक्षण के लिए ऐसा मुनिताए प्रचान करेगा शो केन्द्रीय सरवार समय-समय पर निधिष्ट करें।
- 2 नियोजक, ऐसे निर्मक्षण प्रमानी था प्रत्येक मास की समानी के 15 दिन के भोतर सदाय करेगा जा केन्द्राय सरवार, उका प्रधानियम की धारा 17 की उपधारा (3का) के खण्ड (क) के धर्धन समय-समय पर निर्दिष्ट करें।
- उ सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के प्रशासन में, जिसके ध्रस्तरीत लेख हो का रखा जाता विवरणियों का प्रस्तुत निया जाता, बीमा प्रीमियम का सदाय लेखाओं का धन्तरण, निरीक्षण प्रभारों का सदाय प्रादि भ है, होते व लं सर्चा व्ययों का बहुत नियोजक द्वार। किया जायगा।

- ा स्थाजा के द्रीय सरकार द्वारा तथा आपूरावित सामृहिक बामा क्ले प्रकोतिश्वमा ए । राष्ट्रात, और जब बाग उत्तरे संशाधारी वो जाए, तथा उत्तर समाजन का पन्ति तथा कभवनिष्या के सहुमध्या के भाषा में उपका मुख्य बाता का अनुताद, स्थापन के सूचना-पट्टपर प्रयोगन करणा।
- 5 याद काई ऐता कर्मचारा, जो कर्मचारा विषय निधि का या इदा स्वितिश्व के अधान छूट प्राप्त किया स्थापन का निध्य निधि का पक्षत ह सदस्य है, उसके स्थापन स निधाजित किया जाता है ता, निधोजक सामृद्धि आसा २० न के सदस्य के रूप स उसका नाम तुरन्त दर्ग करणा श्रीर उसका बाबा आवश्यक प्रामियम भारत या जावन बामा निगम का सबस परेगा।
- 6 यदि उक्त स्काम के ब्रधान वर्मचाियों यो उपलब्ध कायदे बढ़ार जार्म हैं तो, नियानक साम्रोहक ब.मा स्काम के ब्रधीन कर्मचािरया को उपाद्य फानदों में समुचित रूप से बृद्धि को जाने का ध्यवस्था करेगा जिसके कि क्षांचािरयों के लिए साम्रोहक ब.सा स्काम के ब्रधीन उपलब्ध कायदे उन को दो से ब्रक्षिक बनुकृत हो, जा उक्त स्कीम के श्रधीन बनुक्षय है।
- 7 सामूहित बीमा स्कीम में किसा बात में हार हु? भी यदि भिनी कम प्रारंग का मृत्यू पर इस मा स के प्रधान मदेय रकम उस रक्तम से कम है, जो कर्मचारी की उस क्या में सदेय हाता, अब वह उकत स्कीम के अवान होता ता, नियोजक वर्मचारी के विधित यारिस/नामनिर्देशित को प्रतिकर के रूप में दोनी रक्तमा के धनर के बराबर रक्षम का सदाय नर्गा।
- 5 स(मूहिक बीधा स्काम के उपब्रक्तों में कोई म संगोधन, प्रावेशिक विच्य निध्य प्राप्तुकत महाराष्ट्र के पूर्व प्रमुमीधन के बिना नहीं किया जाएना बीर अहा किसी स्थाधन से अर्मवारिययों के हिन पर प्रतिकृष्त प्रमाय पड़ने का समावना हो, यहा प्रतिशिक क्रिक्य निधि प्रायुक्त, क्रिपना अनुमीदन देने से पूर्व कर्मवारियों को ध्रमा दृष्टिकोण स्पष्ट करने का सूर्विक्युक्त क्रिक्ट देशा।
- ५ यदि जिसे कारणक्रम, स्थापन के कर्मवारी, भारताय जीवन मंत्रणा निगम का उस सामृहिक बीमा रक म के, जिस स्थापन पहले मपना भूका है अर्थान नहीं रह जाते हैं, या इस रूक्षिम के अर्थान वर्षाना रिणा का प्राप्त होने वात्र फायद किमा रीति से क्या हो जाते हैं, सा यह छुट रह का जा सक्ती है।
- 10 यदि किन कारमधन, नियोजक उन निया तार्राख्न के भीतर, जा भारतीय नीधन बासा समाम निया यप, पीमियम का सदाय यपने से असमा रहता है, छार पालिसी भी व्यपात हो आने दिया जाता है तो, छूट रह का आ सकती है।
- 11 निरोजक द्वारा प्रीमियम के सदाद भ किए गए किसी व्यक्तिकम का देणा में उन मृत मदान्यों के नायनिद्वीधिनियों या विधिक वारिसी की जो यदि यह छूट ने दी गई झोनी तो उक्त स्काम के प्रत्नेष होने, बामा फायदों के सदाय भी उत्तरदायित नियोजक पर होगा।
- 12 उकत स्थापन के समाज में नियोजन, इस रही में के बार्धान प्राने वाले किसी सदस्य का मृत्यु होने पर उसके हान्वार नाम निर्देशिक्षिकों/ विधिक वारिमों का वोमाञ्चन रकम का सप्ताय तत्परता से और प्रत्येक दशा में भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम से बीमाजून रकम प्राप्त होने के साल दिन के भीमर मुनिधितन करेगा।

[सख्या एम-35014/316/82-वं।०एक-II]

S.O. 4342.—Whereas Messrs Asia Foundations and Constructions Limited, 254-D Dr. Annie Besant Road Bombay-25(MH|4803), (hercinafter referred to as the said establishment) have applied for exemption under sub-section (2A) of section 17 of the Employees Provident Fund and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act);

And whereas, the Central Government is satisfied that the employees of the said establishment are without making any separate contribution or payment of prium in enjoyment of benefits under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India in the nature of Life Insurance which are more favourable to such employees than the benefits admissible under the Employees Depositionked Insurance Scheme, 1976 (hereinafter referred to as the said Scheme);

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2A) of section 17 of the said Act and subject to the conditions specified in the schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme for a period of three years.

SCHEDULE

- 1. The employer in relation to the said establishment shall submit such acturns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner Maharashtra, maintain such accounts and provide such facilities for inspection, as the Central Government may direct from time to time.
- 2. The employer shall pay such inspection charges as the Central Government may, from time to time, direct under clause (a) of sub-section (3A) of section 17 of the said Act, within 15 days from the close of every mouth.
- 3. All exepenses involved in the administration of the Group Insurance Scheme, including maintenance of accounts, submission of returns, payment of insurance premia, transfer of accounts, payment of inspection charges etc. shall be borne by the employer.
- 4. The employer shall display on the Notice Board of the establishment, a copy of the rules of the Group Insurance Scheme as approved by the Central Government and, as and when amended, along with a translation of the salient features thereof, in the language of the majority of the employees.
- 5. Whereas an employee, who is already a member of the Employees' Provident Fund or the Provident Fund of an establishment exempted under the said Act, is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enrol him as a member of the Group Insurance Scheme and pay necessary premium in respect of him to the Life Insurance Corporation of India.
- 6. The employer shall arrange to enhance the benefits available to the employees under the Group Insurance Scheme appropriately, if the benefits available to the employees under the said Scheme are enhanced, so that the benefits available, under the Grup Insurance Scheme are more favourable to the employees than the benefits admissible under the said Scheme.
- 7. Notwithstanding anything contained in the Group Insurance Scheme, if on the death of an employee the amount payable under this scheme be less than the amount that would be payable had employee been covered under the said Scheme, the employer shall pay the difference to the legal heir/nominee of the employee as compensation.
- 8. No amendment of the provisions of the Group Insurance Scheme shall be made without the prior approval of the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Maharashtra and where any amendment is likely to affect adversely the interest of the employees, the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner shall before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employee to explain their point of view.

- 9. Where, for any reason, the employees of the said establishment do not remain covered under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India as already adopted by the said establishment, or the benefits to the employees under this Scheme are reduced in any manner, the exemption shall be liable to be cancelled.
- 10. Where, for any reason, the employer fails to pay the premium etc. within the due date, as fixed by the Life Insurance Corporation of India, and the policy is allowed to lapse, the exemption is liable to be cancelled.
- 11. In case of default, if any made by the employer in payment of premium the responsibility for payment of assurance benefits to the nominees or the legal heirs of deceased members who would have been covered under the said Schme but for grant of this exemption, shall be that of the employer.
- 12. Upon the death of the member covered under the Scheme the employer in relation to the sald establishment shall cusure prompt payment of the sum assured to the unintee/legal heirs entitled for it and in any case within 7 days of the receipt of the sum assured from the Life Insurance Corporation of India.

[No. S-35014 (316)/82-PF. II]

का० आ० 4343.— मैमर्स एव० एम० एम० लिभिटेड, परियाला रोड, नाभा (परियाला) (पी एन/1741) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त स्थापन कहा गया है) ने कर्मचारी श्वीष्य निधि और प्रकीर्ण उपबन्ध अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) जिससे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त प्रधिनियम, कहा गया है) की धारा 17 की उपधारा (2क) के श्रधीन छूट विए जाने के लिए ग्राबेदन किया है;

ग्रीर केन्द्रीय सरकार का समाधान हो गया है कि उक्त स्थापन के कर्मचारी, किसी पृथक अभिदाय या प्रीमियम का संदाय किए बिना ही, धारतीय अंवन बीमा निगम की सामृहिक बीमा स्कीम के अधीन जीवन बीमा के रूप मे कायदे उठा रहे हैं भीर ऐसे कर्मचारियों के लिए ये फायदे उन कायदों से प्रधिनुकृल है जो कर्मचारी निक्षेप सहबद्ध बीमा स्कीम 1976 (जिसे इसमें इ के पश्चात् उक्न स्कीम कहा गया है) के अधीन उन्हें अनुकेय है ;

मत: केर्जीय सरकार, उक्त भिधियनियम की धारा 17 की उपधारा रक द्वारा प्रदेश्त गक्तियों का प्रयोग करने हुए, धौर इससे उपावद अनु-सूची में विनिविष्ट गतीं के अर्धान रहते हुए, उक्त स्थापन को तीन वर्ष की भवधि के लिए उक्त स्कीम के सभी उपवन्धों के प्रवर्तन से छूट देती हैं।

मनुमुजी:

- 1. उनत स्थापन के सम्बन्ध में नियोजक प्रावेशिक अविष्य निष्ठि भागुकत पंजाब को ऐसी विवरणियां भेजेगा भीर ऐसे लेखा रखेगा तथा निरीक्षण के लिए ऐसी मुखिबाएं प्रदान करेगा जो केन्द्रीय सरकार, समय-समय पर निर्दिष्ट करे।
- 2. नियोजक, ऐसे निरीक्षण प्रभारों का प्रत्येक मास की समाप्ति के 15 दिन के भीतर संचाय पारेगा जो केम्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त प्रक्षियनियम की धारा 17 की उपधारा (3क) के खण्ड (क) के प्रधीन समय-समय पर निर्दिष्ट करें।
- 3. सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के प्रशासन में, जिसके घन्तर्गंत लेखाड़ीं का रखा जाना विवरणियों का प्रस्तुन किया जाना, बीमा प्रीमियम का संदाय, लेखाड़ों का घन्तरण, निरीक्षण प्रभागे का संदाय धाविं भी है, होने वाले सभी व्ययों का बहन नियोजक द्वारा किया जायेगां।
- 4. नियं। जरु, नेन्द्रीय सरकार द्वारा या। अनुमोदित सामृहिक बीमा स्कीम के नियमो की एक प्रति, भीर जब कभी उनमें संगोधन किया जाए, तब उस संशोधन क अति ता। कर्मेचारियों की बहुसंख्या की साथा में उसकी मुख्य बातों का अनुवाद, स्थापन के सूधना-स्ट पर प्रविशिक्ष करेगा।

- 5. यदि कोई ऐसा कर्मवारी, जो कर्मवारी सिकाय निधि का या उक्स मधिनियम के मधिन छूट प्राप्त किसी स्थापन की किश्विका विश्विका पहिले ही सदस्य है, उसके स्थापन में नियोजित किया जाता है तो, नियोजिक सामूहिक बीमा स्कीम के सदस्य के रूप में उसका नाम तुरन्त दर्ज करेगा भीर उसकी बाबत मावश्यक प्रीमियम शास्त्रीय जीवन बीमा निगम की संदक्ष करेगा।
- 6. यदि एक्न स्कीम के मधीन कर्मचारियों की उपलब्ध फायदे बढाए जाते हैं तो, नियाजक सामृहिक बीमा स्कीम के प्रधीन कर्मचारियों की उपलब्ध फायदों में ममुचित रूप से वृद्धि की जाते का ब्यवस्था करेगा, जिससे कि कर्मचारियों के लिए सामृहिक बीमा स्कीम के प्रधीन उपतब्ध फायदे उन फायदों से मधिक धनुकुल हीं, जो उक्त स्थीम के प्रधीन अनुजेय हैं।
- 7. सामू कि बीमा स्कीम में किसी बात के हाते हुए भी, यदि किसी कमें चारी की मृत्यू पर इस स्कीम के अधीन सन्देय रकम उस रकम से कम है, जो कर्मचारी की उस दशा में संदेय होती, जब बहु उकत स्कीम के अधीन होता तो, नियोजक कर्मचारी के विधिक वारिस/नामनिर्देशिती को प्रतिकर के रूप में दोनों रकमों के अन्तर के बराबर रकम का संदाय करेगा।
- 8 सानूहिक बीमा स्कीम के उपबन्धों में कोई भी संगोधन, प्रावेणिक भिष्य निश्चि प्रायुक्त पंजाब के पूर्व धनुमोबन के बिना नहीं किया जाएना भीर जहां किसी संगोधन से कर्मवारियों के हित पर प्रतिकृत प्रभाव पड़ने की संभावना हो, वहां प्रावेणिक भविष्य निश्चि ध्रायुक्त, ध्रपना धनुमोबन के से पूर्व कर्मवारियों की ध्रपना दृष्टिकोण स्पष्ट करने का युक्तियुक्त अवसर देगा।
- 9. यदि किसी कारणवंश, स्थापन के कर्मचारी, भारतीय जीवन बीमा सगम की उस सामृहिक बीमा स्कीम के, जिसे स्थापन पहले अपना चुका है प्रधीन नहीं रह जाते हैं या इस स्कीम के अधीन कर्मचारियों को प्राप्त होने वाले फायदे किसी रीति से कम हो जाते हैं, तो यह छूट रह की जा सकती है।
- 10. यदि किसी कारणवश, नियोजक उस नियत तारीख के शीक्षर, जो भारतीय जीवन बीमा नियम नियत की, प्रीमियम का संवाय करन में सफल रहना है, भीर पानिसी को व्यपगत हो जाने दिया जाता है तो, छूट रहू की जा सकती है।
- 11. नियोजक द्वारा प्रीमियम के संदाय में किए गए किसी व्यक्तिकम की दणा में उन मृत सदस्यों के नामनिर्दीशितियों या विधिक बारिसों की जो यदि यह छूट न दी गई होती तो उक्त स्कीम के अन्तर्गत होते, बीमा कायवों के संवाय का उसरवायित्व नियोजक पर होगा ।
- 12. उर्कत स्थापन के संबंध में नियोजक, इस स्कीम के अधीन झाने नाले किसी सबस्य की मृत्तु होने पर उसके हकत.र नाम निर्देशितियों/ विधिक वारिसी की बीभाइन रकम का संदाय नस्परता से और प्रस्पेक दशा में भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम से बीमाइन रकम प्राप्त होने के सात दिन के भीतर सुनिश्चित करेगा।

[सं॰ एस-35014/255/82-पी॰ एफ-II]

S.O. 4343.—Whereas Messrs H.M.M. Limited, Patisla Road, Nabha (Patisla) (PN/1741) hereinafter referred to as the said establishment) have applied for exemption under sub-section (2A) of section 17 of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act);

And whereas, the Central Government is satisfied that the employees of the said establishment are, without making any separate contribution or payment premium, in enjoyment of benefits under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India in the nature of Life Insurance which are more favourable to such employees than the benefits admissible under the Employees Deposit-Linked Insurance Scheme, 1976 thereinafter referred to as the said Scheme);

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2A) of section 17 of the said Act and subject to the conditions specified in the schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government hereby exempts the said establishment from the operation of all the provisions of the said Scheme for a period of three years.

SCHEDULE

- 1. The employer in relation to the said establishment shall submit such returns to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Punjab, maintain such accounts and provide such facilities for inspection, as the Central Government may direct from time to time.
- 2. The employer shall pay such inspection charges as the Central Government may, from time to time, direct clause (a) of sub-section (3A) of section 17 of the said Act, within 15 days from the close of every month.
- 3. All expenses involved in the administration of the Group Insurance Scheme, including maintenance of accounts, submission of returns, payment of insurance premia, transfer of accounts, payment of inspection charges etc. shall be borne by the employer.
- 4. The employer shall display on the Notice Board of the establishment, a copy of the rules of the Group Insurance Scheme as approved by the Central Government and, as and when amended, alongwith a translation of the salient features thereof, in the language of the majority of the employees.
- 5. Whereas an employee, who is already a member of the 'Employees' Provident Fund or the Provident Fund of an establishment exempted under the said Act, is employed in his establishment, the employer shall immediately enrol him as a member of the Group Insurance Scheme and pay necessary premimum in respect of him to the Life Insurance Corporation of India.
- 6. The employer shall arrange to enhance the benefits available to the employees under the Group Insurance Scheme appropriately, if the benefits available to the employees the said Scheme are enhanced, so that the benefits available under the Group Insurance Scheme are more favourable to the employees than the benefits admissible under the said Scheme.
- 7. Notwithstanding anything contained in the Group Insurance Scheme, if no the death of an employee the amount payable under this scheme be less than the amount that would be payable had employee been covered under the said Scheme, the employer shall pay the difference to the legal heir/neminee of the employee as compensation.
- 8. No amendment of the provisions of the Group Insurance Scheme shall be made without the prior approval of the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Punjab and where any amendment is likely to affect adversely the interest of the employees, the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner shall before giving his approval, give a reasonable opportunity to the employees to explain their point of view.
- -9. Where, for any reason, the employees of the said establishment do not remain covered under the Group Insurance Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India as already adopted by the said establishment, or the benefits to the employees under this Scheme are reduced in any manner, the exemption shall be liable to be cancelled.
- 10. Where, for any reason, the employer fulls to pay the premimum within the due date, as fixed by the Life Insurance Corporation of India, and the policy is allowed to lapse, the exemption is liable to be cancelled.
- 11. In case of default, if any made by the employer in payment of premium etc. the responsibility for payment of assurance benefits to the nominees or the legal heirs of deceased members who would have been covered under the said Scheme but for grant of this exemption, shall be that of the employer.
- 12. Upon the death of the member covered under the Scheme the employer in relation to the said establishment

shall ensure prompt payment of the sum assured to the nonmee, legal hears entitled for it and in any case within a days of the receipt of the sum assured from the Life Insurance Corporation of India.

INo. S-35014(255)/82-PF. II

नई दिल्ली, 8 दिसम्बर, 1982

का. आ. 4344. — फेन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मीस लेकान वाल्यम (प्राइवेट) लिमिटेड, राजकमल (छोटी मणिल) 13, कंमक स्ट्रीट, कलकत्ता-17, जिसके अंतर्गत कमरा मं 1106 (अर) 11वी मंजिल, विलिड मं. 3 नगजीयन मोसाइटी लेमिंगटन रोड, मुम्बई-8 रिम्पत उराका शाला भी है नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारिया की बहुमंख्या इस बात पर महमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि और प्रकीर्ण उपवंध अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपवंध उक्त स्थापन को लाग किए जाने चाहिए;

अत केन्द्रीय मरकार, उका अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उगधारा (4) द्वारा पदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उक्त अधिरियम के उपजन्म उन्तर स्थारन को लाग करती है।

[सं. एम-35017/64/82-पी.एफ. 2]

New Delhi, the 8th December, 1982

S.O. 4344.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Levcon Valves (Private) Limited, Rajkamal (6th Floor), 13, Camac Street, Calcutta-17 including its branch at Room No. 1106(R), 11th Floor, Building No. 3, Navijivan Society, Lamington Road Bombay-8, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the povisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

INo. S. 35017(64)/82-PF HJ

का. आ. 4349. — केरदी । सरकार को यह मतीत होता है कि मैसर्स रेकन इजीनियरिय कम्पनी, डाकमर बाल्टीक्री, हावडा जिसके अंतर्गत 40 स्टैण्ड रोड, तीस्यी मंजिल कमरा सं. 19-धी, कलकता-1 स्थित उसका रिज्यिकित कार्यालय और 12, लौडन स्टीट छटी मंजिल फलैट सं 6 जी, कलकता-17 स्थित उसका विकाय कार्यालय भी है, नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुनंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि और प्रकीण उमबंध बिध-नियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपग्रंध उपत स्थापन को लाग किए जाने चाहिए;

अतः केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उक्त अधिनियम के उपबंध उक्त स्थापन को लागू करती है ।

[मं. एस-35017/65/82-पी.एफ. 2]

S.O. 4345.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Recon Engineering Commany, Post Office Baltheri, Howah including its Registered Office at 49. Strand Road, 3rd Floor, Roam No 19B Calcutta-1 and Sales Office at 12, Loudon Street, 6th Floor, Flat No 6-G, Calcutta-17, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by subjection (4) of Section I of the said Act, the Central Covernment hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35017(65)/82-PF-II]

का. आ. 4346. — केन्द्रीय मरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि भैम बेस्ट बंगाल कन्सल्टेसी आर्गनाइजंबन लिमिटेड, चटर्जी इन्टरनेक्नल सेटर, चौथी मंजिल, 33-ए, चौरंगी रोड, कलकत्ता-71 नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचिंदों की बहुमंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि और प्रकीण उपबंध अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबंध उकत स्थापन को लाग किए जाने चाहिए;

अतः कंन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा 4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शिक्तयों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उक्त अधिनियम के उपयंध उक्त रक्षापन को लागु करती है 1

[सं. एस-35017/67/82-पी.एफ. 2]

SO. 4346.—Where s it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs West Bengal Consultancy Organisation Limited, Chattaries International Centre, 4th Floor, 33-A, Chowringhee Road, Calcutta-71, have agreed that the provisions of the Eu ployees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central trovernment hareby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35017(67)/82-PF.II]

का. था. 4347. — केन्द्रीय मरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैमर्स टी पैकर्म (इंडिया) (प्राइवेट) लिमिटेड, कामर्स हाउम, तृतीय मंजिल, 2, गणेशचन्द्र एवेन्यू, कलकत्ता-13 जिसके अंतर्गत 9/5, डी, मुझीगंज रोड, कलकत्ता-23, स्थित उसका गोदाम भी है, नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध निणेजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुमंख्या इस बात पर महमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि और प्रकीण उपवन्ध अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के अधवंध उक्त स्थापन को लोग किए जाने चाहिए;

अतः केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रवन्त शिक्तयों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उक्त अधिनियस के उपबंध उक्त स्थापन को लागू करती है।

[मं. एस-35017/154/82-पी.एक. 2]

S.O. 4347.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Mossis Tea Packers (India) (Private) Limited, "Commerce House", 3rd Floor, 2, Ganesh Chandra Avenue, Calcutta-13, including its Godown at 9/51D. Munshigun; Road, Calcutta-23, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sufficient (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

INo. S-35017(154)/82-PF.III

का. आ. 4340 — केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह पतीन होता है कि मैमर्म निलनी बंधूरे एण्ड सन्स, कस्टम हाऊस, कलकता-1 नागक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बह- संख्या इस दान पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भिक्षिय निधि और प्रकीर्ण उपबंध अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबंध उक्त स्थान को लागू किए जाने चाहिए;

अतः केन्द्रीय सरकार, जक्तः अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उक्त अधिनियम के उपयंश्व जक्त स्थापन को लागू करती है।

[सं. एस-35017/155/82-की. एक 2]

S.O. 4348.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Nahiai Bandhu Ray and Sons, Custom House, Calentia-1, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Linds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

INo. S-35017(155)/82-PF.III

हीं. आ. 4349. — कंन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होगा है कि मैंसर्ग तुलसीदास एण्ड कस्पनी (प्राइवेट) लिमिटेंड, 135, विण्लवी राश बिहारी बस् रोड, कलकत्ता-1 नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुमंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भिवष्य निधि और प्रतीर्ण उपबंध अधिनयम, 1952 (1952 का 19) को उपबंध उकत स्थापन को लाग किए जाने चाहिए;

अतः केन्द्रीय मरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शिक्तयों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उक्त अधिनियम के उपबंध उक्त स्थापन को लागु करती है।

[सं. एस-35017/157/82-पी. एफ. 2]

S.O. 4349.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messis Tulsidas and Company (Private) Limited, 135. Biplabi Rashbehari Basu Road, Calcutta-1, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-vection (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

INo. S-35017(157)/82-PE.III

का अर्था ० 4350 -- केन्द्रीय संस्थार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसमं शाइन ए उद्दर्भ, 1. भायल इन्स्टालेगन राष्ट्र, गक्षारपुर, कलकमा-43 जिसके भन्तेगत २३-ए नेताओं गुभाप रोड, लमल स० २१, पांचयी मिलि कलकसा-1, स्थित उसका कार्यालय भी है तामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक भीर कर्मचारियों की बहुसक्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि भीर प्रकीण अपबन्ध श्रिधिनयम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के अपबंध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए;

श्रीतः केन्द्रीय संस्तार, उक्त श्रीवित्तियम की धारा 1 की अपवार।
(4) द्वारा प्रवत्त मक्तितयों को प्रयोग करते हुए, उक्त श्रीधिनवम के उप-बंध उक्त स्थापन को लागू करती है।

[स॰एस-35017/159/82-ती एक 2.2]

SO. 4350.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Shine Products. 1. Oil Installation Road, Phatpur, Calcutta-43 including its Office at 23-A. Netaji Subhas Road, Room No. 21, 5th Floor, Calcutta-1, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35017(158)/82-PF.II]

मां का विश्व 4351 - - केन्द्रीय मरकार को यह प्रतीत हासा है कि में समें हिन्दुरथान कन्स्ट्रकाम एण्ड धर्माणयल कारवोरेशन, पी-9, स्यू मी० धाई० टी०, रोड, कलक्षा-73 नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक ग्रांट र मंचारियों की बहुसख्या हुए बाद्ध पर महमन हो गई है कि वर्मचारी भविष्य निधि ग्रीर प्रकीण उपबंध श्रीधिनयम, 1952 (1952 वर्मा 19) के उपबंध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए;

श्रतः केन्द्रीय संश्कार, उदन प्रक्षिशियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रवेस शक्तियों की प्रयोग करते हुए, उक्त श्रिधितियम के उप-बंध उक्त स्थानी की सांधु करती है।

[मं ० एम- 35017/159/82-मी ०एफ० 2]

S.O. 4351.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in telation to the establishment known as Messrs Hindusthan Construction and Commercial Corporation, P-9, New C.I.T. Road, Calculta-73, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35017(159)/82-PF.II]

का आ 4352 - केन्द्रीय गरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्म (क्ष्यट (प्रइवेट) लिमिटेड, 45, कोटाला राइ, कलकत्ता-19 जिसके प्रतीत 59, सूरेन गरकार राड, बेलियााघाटा, कलकत्ता-10 स्थित उसका कारखाना भी है नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक श्रीर कर्मचारियों की बहुमख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कमचारी भविष्य निधि श्रीर प्रकीण उपवंध श्रीविनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपवंध उक्षत स्थापन का लागू किए जाने चाहिए;

श्रन, केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त प्रांधनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (1) हारा प्रदत्त प्रांक्त्यों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उक्त श्रिधिनियम के उप-बध उक्त स्थापन को लीग करती है।

[म॰ एवं 35017/160/82-पो॰एफ॰ 2]

§ O. 4352.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Les Lift (Private) Limited, 45, Jhowtolla Road, Calcutta-19 including its factory at 59, Suren Sarkar Road, Beliaghata, Calcutta-10, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

INo. S-35017(160)/82-PF.JI]

का ल्या व 4353 . - केन्द्रीय संरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसरें बारीसन प्रिंटिंग बन्सी, 285, विधिन श्रिहारी गागुणी स्ट्रीट, कलकता12 नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक भीर कर्मचारिया के बहुसकत इस बान पर सहसत हो गई है कि कर्मवार भविष्य निधि और प्रकृष उपबंध वर्षित्यम, 1952 (1952 या 19) के उपबंध उक्त स्थापन को लाग किए जाने चाहिए,

श्रमः मेन्द्रीय रूपकार, उक्त श्रिधिनियम की घारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदक्त माधिसयो का प्रयोग करते हुए, उक्त मधिकियन के उक्त बंध उक्त स्थापन को लागु भारती है।

[मं॰ एम -35017/161/82-पी॰एफ॰ 2]

S.O. 4353.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messre Barison Printing Works. 285, Bipin Behari Ganguly Street, Calcutta-12, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section I of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

[No. S-317(161/82-PF.II]

का अा 0.4354:— केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मेसर्म प्राराधना इन्वेस्टमेंटस लिमिटेड, 4, गर्बनमेंट प्लेस, भार्य, कलकत्ता—1 नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक भौर कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि यमंबारी मिषण्य निधि ग्रीर प्रकीण उपबंध घिषित्यम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबंध उक्त स्थापन की लागू किए जाने नाहिए;

प्रतः केन्द्रीय संस्थार, उक्त अधिनियम की घारा 1 की उपघारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उक्त अधिनियम के अप-बंध उक्त स्थापन की लागु करती है।

[मं॰ एस 35017/162/82-पी॰एक॰ 2]

SO. 4354.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Aradhana Investments Limited, 4, Government Place, North, Calcutta-1, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscelianeous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

INO. \$35017(162)/82-PF.11]

कां ब्लाबर, 112, बोनोमालि नपकर मार्ग, यलकला-60 किमके मन्तर्गत 25, बोनोमालि नपकर मार्ग, यलकला-60 किमके मन्तर्गत 25, बोनोमालि नसकर मार्ग, कलकला-60 स्थित उसका कारखना भी है, नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक भीर कर्मजारियों की बहुसंबन इस बात पर सहस्म हो गई है कि जर्मगारी भविष्य विधि भीर प्रभी उपवध्य प्रसित्यम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के अपबंध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने वाहिए,

भतः केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) हारा प्रदक्त शक्तिस्यी का प्रयोग करने हुए, उन्द श्रिधिनयम के उपभेष उक्त स्थापन की सामू करती है।

[सं • एस - 350 t 7 | 163 | 82-यो • एक • 2]

S.O. 4355.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messis Marine Delight, 112, Bonomali Naskar Road, Calcutta-60 including its factory at 25, Bonomali Naskar Road, Calcutta-60, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central trovernment hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the Said establishment.

[No. S 35017(163)/82-PF II]

का का ० 4356 — केन्द्रीय सन्धार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैं समें समें आफ इंडिया को-पानिटिय केडिट मी गइटो निभिटेड 13, मृष्ठ स्ट्रीट एताशक्त — 16 का मक स्थापम में सम्बद्ध निभाज हो जिले नारियों की पहुसस्या इस गांत पर सहमन हो गई है जिल्हों मिलिय निश्चित्रों प्रतियों प्रतियों प्रतियों प्रतीय प्रतियों प्

श्चन बन्द्रीय संकार, उक्त प्रश्नितियन की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त प्रक्तियों का प्रयोग करने हुए, उका श्रिधितियम के उप-कक्ष उक्त स्थापन को लाग करती है।

[मं॰ एस - 35017 | 164 | 82 - पो॰एफ ॰ 2]

S.O. 4356.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Survey of India Copyrative Credit Society Limited, 13, Wood Street, Calcutta-16, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

[No S-35017(164)/82-PF.II]

का ब्या • 4357 : --केन्द्रीय संस्कार का यह प्रतीन होता है कि मैस्सें दक्शीनयन को-प्रोपरेटिव हाऊपिंग सांसईटी लिमिटेड, पी-17वी प्रमुक्षेण पोधरी एकेन्य, कलकला-700019, नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोग क सौर कमंचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बल्त पर नहमन हा गई है कि हमें बारी मिक्य निष्कि प्रौर प्रकीर्ण उपबंज अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबंध उक्त स्थापन की लाग फिए जाने वाहिए;

भा: केन्द्रीय सरका, उस्त अग्निनियम की धारा । को उपवास (4) द्वारा प्रक्रम सकित्यों का प्रसाग करने हुए, उस्त प्रीयीशास के उप-बंध उक्त स्थापन की लागू करनी है।

[मंग्न-35017/211/82 पीज्यक 2]

S.C. 4357.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Dakshinayan Co-operative Housing Society Limited, P-17B, Asutosh Chowdhury Avenue, Calcutta-19, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident 1 unds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35017(241)/82-PF.II]

का आ ० 4.358. -- केन्द्रीय सन्धार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स के की ० ट्रान्तपोर्टन, 8, कैनीग स्ट्रीट (बींपलाबी प्रनिविद्यारी यसु केट), फलकला - 700001 नीमक सान के नन्बड़ निधोजक और कर्सणारियों की बहुसका इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि मर्मचारी मिविध्य निवि सीर प्रकीण उपबंध प्रिधित्यम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबंध उक्त स्थापन का लागू किए मार्ग पाहिए;

भत केन्द्रीय सरकार, उसन अधिशियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वार, प्रदत्त प्रक्रियो की प्रशास करने हुए, उसन अधिक्यम के उप-वध उक्त स्थापन का नामु हक्षी है।

[स० ए १-35017/243/82-पी०एक० 2]

S.O. 4358.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs K. V. Transporters, 8 Canning Street (Biplabi Rosh Behari Bash Road) Calcutta-1, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

[No S-35017(243)/82-PF II]

का अा० 4359.--केन्द्रीय सरकार की यह प्रतीत होता है कि मधर्म मेंभेनीको ऐन्टरपार्डनमें, 29/1, रातिका प्रभूत राह, हावडा वेस्ट बगास तथा इसका कार्यालय, 38, स्टॉन्ड रोड, कवतपा-1, रागत स्थाप पे सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों को बहुगड़न डा ना। पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी प्रविष्य किस और प्रकीण उपबंध भविनियन, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबंध उन्ह स्थाप र को पासू किए जाने चाहिएं,

भत्त केन्द्रीय संस्कार, उक्त मिक्षितियम की छ। । की उपद्यारा (4) द्वारा प्रदक्त मिक्तियां का प्रयोग करो हुए, उस्त चिक्षियम के उप-वीध उक्त स्थापन को लागू जानी है।

[स॰ एम - 35017 (2:1)/82-पी॰ एफ॰ 2]

S.O. 4359.—Where s it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Mechanico Enterprisers, 29/1, Salkia School Road, Howrah-6. West Bengal including its Office at 38, Strand Road, Calcutta-1, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment

[No S-35017(244)/82-PF.II]

का ब्ला० 4360 - केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीतु, होता है कि समसं पोहनीर टेनीग इन्डस्ट्रिंस, 5, बोलम जिलानी खो रोड कलकला-100039 तथा इनका कार्यालय, 16, टीटेंग नाचार स्ट्रीट, सनस्ता-73, नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कोन रियो के प्रृतंकरा इन बाद पर सहमत हो गई है कि कमंगरी भिष्ण विधि और प्रकार उपन्य अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपयंत्र उका स्थापनध को लागू आंग्र जाने चाहिएँ;

मतः केन्द्रीय संस्कार, उक्त प्रधितियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) डाराप्रयक्त सक्तियों को प्रयोग करने हुए, उक्त प्रशितियम के उप-कंग उक्त स्थापन को लागू करती है।

[सं०एस-35017/245/82-पी०एफ-2]

SO. 4360.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Pioneer Tanning Industries, 5, Gholam Jilani Khan Road, Calcutta-39 including its Office at 16, Tiretta Bazar Street, Calcutta-73, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section I of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

[No S-35017(245)/82-PF.II]

का ब्ला॰ 4361. - वेन्द्रीय संस्कार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि संसर्त हिमायम पिक्रन शिंग हाउस, 4ए/16, संगीता, 71 जुड़ भाग, साम्ताकुत्र (वेस्ट), मुम्बई-54, जिसके घरार्गत "रामदून", बा॰ भागेराय मार्ग (केलेबाडी) निर्मात मुम्बई-4, स्थित उपसाप्त प्रांत कवा भीर प्रमासनिक भागों जिलेबाडी) निर्मात स्थाप से मध्यत निर्मात को भीर प्रमासनिक भागों की नामा स्थाप से मध्यत निर्मात को भीर प्रमासनिक भागों हमें बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी घिष्मी मिष्य मिष्य मिष्य प्रांत उपका प्रांत की उपका प्रांत की उपका प्रांत की उपका प्रांत की स्थापन कर लागू किए जाने सीहिएँ;

प्रतः केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त मधिनियम को धारा 1 को उपकारा (4) द्वारा प्रवक्त सक्तियो का प्रयोग करते हुई, उन्त अस्मात्त्वन के उप-बंध उक्त स्थापन को लागू करती है।

[मं • एस - 35018/62/82 पी • एफ • 2]

S.O. 4361.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Himalaya Publishing House, 4A/16, Sangecta, 71, Juhu Road, Santacruz (West) Bombay-54 including its Showroom and Administration Office at "Ramdoet", Dr. Bhalerao Marg (Kelewadi) Girgaon, Bombay-4, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conefired by sub-section (4) of Section 1. of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35018/62/82-PF.II]

का ब्या 4362.— नेक्सीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होगा है कि मैससं प्रताप ट्रेडर्स, 11 एडवेक्ट, 12ए, जनक जाक्ताण राव भोंसले मार्ग, मुम्बई 21, नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोगित बीर वर्नकारियों की बहु-सम्बद्ध वास पर सहमत हो गई है कि तर्मचारी पविषय निधि भीर प्रकीण उपयोग प्रविषय निधि भीर प्रकीण उपयोग प्रविष्य निधि भीर प्रकीण उपयोग प्रविष्य निधि भीर प्रकीण उपयोग प्रविष्य निधि मीर प्रकीण उपयोग प्रविष्य निधि मीर प्रकीण उपयोग प्रविष्य निधि मीर स्थापन भी लागू किए जाने चाहिएं,

श्रतः केन्द्रीय सरकार, उसा श्रीधिनयम की धारा 1 की उपकारा-(4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करने हुर, उसा श्रीधिनियम के उप-बंध उस्त स्थापन को लागू करती है।

मिं • एस-35018/78/82 पें • एफ • 2]

S.O. 4362.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Pratap Traders, 11, Advent, 12A Gen. Jagannath Rao Bhosle Marg, Bombay-21, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

[No S-35018/78/82-PF.IJ]

का अप 6 4363.— केन्द्रीय संप्रकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैं सर्थ एलाइड कन्मिंटिंग इजीनिसर्थ, पीतित में स्तित, तारिंग मजील, नेम्बूर, सुम्बर्ध-71, नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध । त्यांजक श्री हर्य नात्यों की बहुसन्था इस बात पर सहस्त हो गई है कि सार्थ नार्थ भाषाया निषि श्रीर प्रकीर्ण जपबध्र श्रिधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबंध जक्त स्थापन का लागु किए जान नाहिए;

भ्रापः केन्द्रीय संस्कार उक्त श्रांधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपचारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त लॉक्नयों को प्रयोग फरने हुए उक्त श्रधिनियम के उप-बंध उक्त स्थापन का लागु गरनी है।

[स॰ एस- 35018/79/82-पा॰एफ॰ 2]

S.O. 4363.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the mployees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Alhed Consulting Engineers, Pokar Mansion, 3rd Floor, Chembur, Bombay-71 have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, m exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35018/79/82-PF.II]

का ब्या ० 4364. — केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होना है कि मैसमें प्रकल्कर प्राट स्टूडियो 234 प्रश्नदक्षर हाउस नेही जामगंद्रजी मार्ग शिवाजी पार्क मुम्बई – 28 तामध स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक ध्रीर कर्भ-चारियो की बहुसक्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी प्रविष्य निश्चि भीर प्रकीण उपबंध प्रधिनियम 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबंध उक्त स्थापन की लागू किए जाने नाहिए,

श्रमः. केन्द्रीय सरकार उत्तर श्रांधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों को प्रयोग करते हुए उन्त श्रांधिनियम के उप-बंध उन्त स्थापन को लागू करती है।

[स॰ एम -35 • 18/(81)/82-यो • एक • 2]

S.O. 4364.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Atondekat Art Studio, 234, Arondekar House, Lady Jamshedji Road, Shivaji Park, Bombay-28, have agreed that the provisions of the Imployees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section I of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35018/81/82-PF.II]

का ०आ ०4365 : -- केन्द्रीय मण्कार की यह प्रतीत होता है कि मसर्स कन्द्रोल केवल इन्डम्ट्रीज बी-37 गिरि कुंज इन्डस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, महाकाली केव्स रोड घन्धरी ईस्ट, मुस्बई-93, नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक अ घौर कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हा गई है कि वार्स-वारी भविष्य निधि घौर प्रकीण उपबंध प्रिधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबंध उक्त स्थापन की लागू किए जाने नाहिए, अत. बेर्न्झाय सण्तार, उका अधिनियम की बारा 1 का उरपार (4) द्वारा प्रदक्त गांवित्यों को प्रयोग कारते हुए, उसा अधिनियम के उप-बंध उक्त स्थापन का लागु करती है।

[म० एम -3501 ४/82/82 भो ० एफ ० 2]

S.O. 4365.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messis Control Cable Industries, B-37, Girl Kunj Industrial Estate, Mahakali Caves Road Andheri East, Bombay-93, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by subsection (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35018/82/82-PF.II]

करं आ ० 4366: - केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीन होता है कि मैसर्स आिटशल दूंडर्स, 4-1-869 तिलक्षरोड, हैदराबाद नामक स्थापन से सम्प्रक नियाशक छौर नमां शिष्यों की बहुसक्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि नमंचारी भविष्य निधि और प्रकीर्ण उपबध अधिनिवम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबंध उक्त स्थापत का नागू किए जाने चाहिए,

अतः केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की द्यारा 1 की जनशारा (4) हाला प्रदन्त शिक्षियों को प्रयोग करने हुए, उक्त प्रधिनियम के उपबंध उक्त स्थापन का लागु करनी है।

[म०ग्से० 35019/79/82-पी०एक० 2]

S.O. 4366.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Optical Traders, 4-1-869, Tilak Road, Hyderabad, have agreed that the rousions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment,

[No. S-35019(79)/82-PF.H]

का तथा व 4367: -- केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैं ससं एम शास व्याप वाडार टिम्बर, टाइस्स एंड हार्ड वयर सर्बेस्टस, पेवर छन्नम, टेन्कामी नालुक तिस्नेलवेली जिला नामक स्थापत से सम्बद्ध नियोजक श्रीर केमें चारिया को बहुसक्या इस बात पर सहमत हा गई है कि समेचारी स्विध्य निधि श्रीर प्रकीक उपबंध श्रीधिनियस, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबंध उन्त स्थापन का लागु कि रू जाने चाहिए;

श्रत. केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त श्रिधिनियम की घारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वाराश्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करने हुए, उक्त श्रिधिनियम के उप-अध उक्त स्थापन को लागू करनी है।

[स॰ एस - 35019(101)/82 -पी ०एफ ० 2]

S.O. 4367.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs M.S.P. Valayutha Nadar Timba, Tles and Hardware Merchants, Pavoor Chatram, Tenkasi Taluk, Trrunelvedi, District, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35019(101)/82-PF.1I]

का लुआ ल 4368. — केन्द्रीय सरकार का यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैरामें सर्वन होडेडम, 103. पेरारवर वैरक्स राड, महास-7 नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक ग्रीर कर्मनारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि रमंनारी भिवार निधि ग्रीर प्रतिणी उपबंध ग्रिधनियम, 1952 (1952का 19) के उपबंध उत्तर स्थापन को साग किए ग्रीने नाहिए;

श्रत कंद्रीय सरकार, उक्त श्रशिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (अ) हार, प्रदल्य शिक्षयों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उक्त श्रशिक्षानयम के उपबध उक्त स्थापन की लागु करती है।

[स ० एस- 35019/(102)/82-पी **०**एफ ०- 2]

S.O. 4368.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messis Southern Indaids, 103, Peramber Barracks Road, Madras-7, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Missellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

INo. S-35019(102)/82-PF.II]

का • आ • 4369. — केन्द्रीय मण्कार को यह प्रतीन होता है कि मैसर्स भी गणेण टिम्बर्स टिम्बर मर्थोन्टम, बातासमुद्रम, निरूनेलदेली जिला, नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक भीर कर्मणारियों की बहुसल्या ध्रम बात पर सहमन हा गई है कि कर्मणारी भविष्य निधि भीर प्रतीण उपबंध मधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबंध उकर स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिएं.

मतः केन्द्रीय नरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा । ती उपधारा (山) इ।रा प्रवत्त मक्तियो का प्रयोग करने हुए, उक्त अधिनियम के उपबंध उक्त स्थापन को लागु करनी है।

[मं ० एफ- 350 19/(103) /82-पी० एफ०-2]

S.O. 4369.— Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messis Sri Ganesh Timbers, Timber Merchants, Ambasamudram, Timbelveli District, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment:

Now. therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

[No S-35019(103)/82-PF.HI

का ब्या व 4370.-- केन्द्रीय मण्कार, को यह प्रतीत होता है कि भैसमें सपमां दे एन्टरप्रार्डमेग, 6, डाव नायर रोड, महास-17, नामक स्थापन में सम्बद्ध नियाजक और कर्मनारियों की बहुमक्या इस बात पर महम्मत हो गई है कि कर्मनारी मिल्या नियि और प्रकीर्ण उपबंध मिल्यम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबंध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने आने जाहिए

धन केद्रीय सरकार, उस्त प्रीविश्यम की धारा 1 की उपवारा (4) धारा प्रदल गांकीयों को प्रयोग काले हुए, उस्त मधितिस्य के उनक्ष उक्त स्थापन की लागू करनी है।

[सञ्ज्य-35019/(104)/82-वी ज्फ-2]

S.O 4370.—Who eas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment knwon as Messrs Surmount Enterprises, 6, Dr. Nan Road, Midras-17, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers confered by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35019/104/82-PF.II]

का. आ. 4371 — कंन्द्रीय मरंकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैंसर्स वी. पी. एस. पांडूरगन एण्ड कम्पनी विश्व नगर (तिमलनाडु), नामक स्थापन सं सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारी की बहुसंखा इस बात पर महमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि और प्रकीर्ण उपबन्ध अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए;

अत केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उप-धारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शिक्तयों का प्रयोग करले तुग्, उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू करती है।

[सं एस-35019/9/105/82-पी. एफ -2]

S.O. 4371.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messts V.V.S. Panduragan and Company, Virudhunagar (Tamil Nadu), have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35019/105/82-PF.II]

का ला 4372.— केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स केनेसन रोप्रोसिवस, वी 3. लक्ष्मीसगर कालोसी, मगनेत्लूर, मद्रास-61, सामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुरांख्या इस बात पर सहसन हो गई है कि कर्मचारी सविष्य निधि और प्रकीर्ण उपबंध मिध-नियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्ष स्थापन की लागु किए जाने चाहिए,

अन केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की आग 1 की उपधार। (4) हारा प्रदल शक्तियों का प्रयोग करने हुए, उक्त अधिनियम के उपबध उक्त स्थापन का लागु करनी है।

[म ॰एम- 35019/(105)/82-मी ॰एफ- 2]

5.0. 4372.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messra Kayjayson Reproservice, B-3, Laxminagar Colony, Nanganallur, Madras-61, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act. 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35019/106/82-PF.H]

का ब्या व 4373. -केर्न्स्य संस्कार का यह प्रतीस होता है कि भैनसे श्री सबसी भीन्ड उटारन एक प्रार्ट्स क्लान्स, डाकबर, भेरतक, भीगपुट, उड़ीसा नामस स्थापन से पम्बद्ध निवानन धीरण्यसंचारियों की बहुमंद्रसा देश बाद पर सहमा हा गई है। के कंलांबारी भिविष्य निध्य और प्रश्नाम उपवर्ध आध-निव्यस, 1952 (1952 का 10) के बाबध उसने स्थान को सामू जिल्ह भिष्य जाने चाहिए

भनः केन्द्रीय मण्यानः, उका कांप्रक्तिस्य की प्रता 1 की उपधान (4) द्वारा प्रदेश शक्तियां को प्रयोग रातातुम् उस्त निर्देशितः के उत्तक्षय उक्त स्थापन की सामु करती है।

[म॰एम-३५०19/113/82-ते० एक०-2]

S.O. 4373.—Whe eas it appears to the Centi. I Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Shri Lakshmi Cold Storage and Ice Plant, Post Office Jeppore, Koraput, Orissa, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

[No. \$-35019(113)/82-PF.II]

का जा ० 4374. - केर्ट्स मण्या न यह प्रतिक हो। है कि मैर्ट्स प्रवर्ग कि मार्च का नह प्रतिक हो। है कि मैर्ट्स प्रवर्ग कि मार्च कि

भनः केन्द्रीय सरकार, उसा आंधानवम की प्रास्त 1 भी उपवास (4) क्रास भवत्स प्राक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उक्त यधिनियम के उपबंध जन्म स्थापन को लागू करता है।

[मं० एस-35019/172/82-मो अएफ०-2]

S.O. 4374.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs The Urban Cooperative Bank Limited, Shikaripur, Shimoga District, Karnataka, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35019]172182-PF.II]

का ० 4375. — केन्द्रीय नरकार को यह प्रतिष्ठ होशा है कि मैयसं लक्ष्मी एत्टरप्राष्ट्रीस, लक्ष्मी जिलाम बिल्डिंग के ब्लार ० शॉक्स, मैनूर, तामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध निश्रीप्रक और कर्म बारियों की स्थूमंत्रया इन आन पर महस्त हो गई है कि बामें बारी भविष्य निर्मित योग प्रतिण उल्लेख पित्रीप्रम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उत्तर्भ जहां स्थापन को जुला हुए जाने वाहिए;

भाग केम्ब्रीय सरकार उक्त भाषानया का प्रात्त के उपनेष्ठ उक्त (1) कारा प्रवत्त मिक्तियों का प्रयोग यस्ते हुए उक्त महिन्दान के उपनेष्ठ उक्त स्थापन को लागू करती है।

[मं ०एप- ३५० १ ५, १७३/६ ४-ची ० एक० - 2]

S.O. 4375.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Lakshmi Enterprises, Lakshmi Vilas Building, K.R. Circle, Mysore, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscell means Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment

[No S-35019(173) /82-PF.II]

का जा 0 4376. -केब्ब्रीय सन्तार का यह प्रतीत होता है कि मगर्य उत्तक्त कै सियल भौष्य लया, आस्था जिला, गंजा, अबीया, त्रामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध निर्याणक परि कर्मचा (यो की यह का द्वार पात पर महमत हा गई है कि कर्मचा भिवाब निधि और प्रकीण उपत्र आधिति तम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबंध उका ग्यापन की लाग् कर्माने नहीं गं

मा किर्दास सरकार, उस्तानितन के बार 1 की उक्ष्यास (4) करा प्रदेश प्रदेश स्थितवे का प्रयोग करते हुए उस्ता मोधिनियम के उपबंध उक्ष स्थापन को लागु कालो है।

[म ० एम- ४५० ११/ १८३/ ७३-मे ०एफ०- ३]

8.0. 4376.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Utkal Chemical Aushadhalaya, Aska District, Ganjam, Orissa, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35019(182)/82-PF.II]

का ब्ला ब्रिश्त 4377. - केन्द्रीय सरका, र को यह प्रश्ति हो । है कि मैसर्स टेनियम्म्तिकेणन स्वित्व आफिए स्ट,फ कोआपरेटिय कैटीन विमिटेड, सन्दी-693, महाप्रवंशक टेलीफीन, त्रिवेन्द्रम-1 नामक स्वापन से सम्बद्ध नियोग्न स्वीप कर्मची सो बहुमक्या १ए बात पर सहमत हो एई है यि कर्मची भाष्यि निधि सौर प्रकेण उपध्य अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबंध उका स्वापन को कामू किए जान चारिक.

धनः केन्द्रोय सरकार उक्त घाधनियम को धारा 1 की उन्धारा (4) काल प्रदक्त प्रथितयों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उक्त अधिनियम के उपजन्ध अक्त स्थापन को लाग् करती है।

मि० एस- 350 **1**9/191/82-यो ० एक**०**-2]

S.O. 4377.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messra The Telecommunication Orcle Office Staff Co-operative Canteen Limited, No. T 693, Office of the General Manager, Telephones, Trivarditum-1, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act. 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by suc-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

INo. S-35019(191)/82-PF.II]

भनः केन्द्रीय मरकार, उका मधिनियम की धारा । की उपधार (4) द्वारा प्रदक्त प्रक्तियों का प्रयोग करने हुए, उका मधिनियम के प्रावध उक्त रथापन को खागु करती हैं।

[म • एम- 35019/200/82-पी० एफ • + :]

SO 4378.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Nandanvan fuvestments Limited, L-3, Unity Buildings, Bungalore-2, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funda and Miscelianeous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35019(200)/82-PF.III

का ब्ला 6 4379.-- नेक्ट्रीय सरकार के सन् प्रस्ति होता है कि समसे न मासी भाजर कर्ममी, 4, सेकिंग्ड लाइन जींच मदान-1 जिनके अन्तर्गत में 167, गींकिंग्डणा नाइकेन स्ट्रांट, मदास-1 व्यान उसकी शाखा भी है, भामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियाजक और कर्मचान्यों की बहुसंस्था इस बात पर सहस- हो ५६ है कि कर्मचारी भविका निक्ति भीर प्राप्तिण रुपक्ति स्थापन की साम् किए जाने चाहिए;

भत केन्द्रांय सरकार, उनत अधिनिधम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) हारा प्रयक्त मन्सियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उनत प्रधिनिधम के उप-वंग उन्त स्थापन की साथ करती है।

[संब एस०-४501 में 208/92 की भएक०-2]

S.O. 4379.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Kamakshi Colour Company, 4. Second Line Beach, Madras-1 including its branch at No. 167, Govindappa Naicken Street, Madras-1, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act. 1952 (19 of 1952) should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

INo. S-35019(208)/82-PF.HJ

का॰ अप॰ 4380.-- केन्द्रीय नगकाम को यह प्रतीत होता है कि वैसर्थ नगरनका गेएकेक, एत-३, पश्ची संजित मूलिट बिल्टिन, कंमतीर-२, जामक स्वापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मनारियों की शहुमंत्रवा इत बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मनारी मिकिय निष्ठि और प्रकीर्ण उपयस्त सिवित्यम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबस्त उक्त स्थापन कं लाग किए जाने नाहिए ,

भाः केन्द्रीय संस्थार, उक्त अधिनियम को धारा । को उपभारा (४) द्वारो प्रदत्त प्राक्तिकों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उका श्रीमिनयम के स्पन्नस्य उक्त स्थापन को लागू करती है।

(चॅ० एस-35019/209/82-वी∘एफ ऽ-2]

8.0. 4380.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Nandanvan Roadways. 1-3, 1st Floor, Unity Buildings, Bangalore-2, have agreed that the provious of the Employees' Provident Funda and Miscellancous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35019/209/82-PF-II]

भार आ ० 4381. - केन्द्रीय मरकार का यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसमें भार ०पी ०एम० इती विसंग. 14, एन ०पी ० उथला उपलिस, इंडिन्ट्रियल एस्टेट,-एक हुए गंभ, सह.स-१७, नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजिक धीर कर्म-भारियों की बहुन था इप बात पर गडमत हो गई है कि कर्म-बारी मिथिज निवि धीर प्रताम उपलिस्था, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपना उस्त स्थापन का लागू किए जाने चाहिए;

भतः केन्द्रिय भवनार, उत्तर अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपजारी (3) द्वारा प्रदेश प्रक्रियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उका अधिनियम के उपक्रभा उका स्थापन की जानू करती है।

[मैं॰ एस-35019/219/82-पी॰एफ॰-2]

S.O. 4331—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs R.P.M. Engineers, 14, N.P. Developed Plots, Industrial Estate, Ekkaduthangal, Madras-97, have agreed that the provious of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (1° of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment:

Now, therefore, in exercises of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1: f the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said act to the siad establishment.

[No S-35019/219/82-PF.II]

का अभा ० 4382. — वेन्द्रीय संस्थान की यह प्रतीत हो ॥ है कि सैनर्स भाषावस्त्री द्वादन एड नेमिकत्स, । सेनिएव लाइन बीच, मद्रास-1, जिसके धनारोत 107, गीयन्वर्णा नाइकत स्ट्रीट, मद्रास-1, स्थित उसकी शाखा भी है, त्यसन स्थानन से तत्वद्ध नियोज हे भीर कर्मवान्यिक बहुसंख्या इस बात पर भट्टमा हो गई है कि अंगारिंग नाज निवि और प्रकीण उपलब्ध प्रवितिश्व, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उरवन्ध उस्त स्थापन की सामृ किए जाने चाहिए;

भन फेन्द्रीय सन्कार, उक्त भिक्षितियम की कारा 1 की उपजार (4) होरा प्रवक्त प्रक्तियों का प्रयोग उन्ते हुए, उक्त प्रक्षितिश्रम के उपजन्ध उक्त स्थापन का लागू करती है।

[सं॰ एम॰-35019/222/82-पी॰**एफ॰-**2]

SO. 4382.—Wherevs it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messis Gajalakshmi Dyes and Chemicals, 4, Second Line Beach, Madias-1 including its branch at 167, Govindappa Naicken Street, Madras-1, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by subsection (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

INo. S. 35019(222)/82-PF. III

कां अां 4383.-- के स्वीय सरकार की यह प्रतीत होता है कि मनसं जनवीय प्रसाद प्रेम नारायण, को जीं विलियन, पराठे वाली नली, बादनी चौंक, दिल्ला-6, मामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक धीर कर्मवारियां की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मवारी भविष्य निधि स्रीर प्रकीण उपयन्त्व स्थापन, 1852 (1852 का 19) के उपयन्त्व उक्त स्थापन को मान् किए जाने वाहिए,

भत. केन्द्रीय संस्कार, उक्त किंकियम की धारः 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त कक्तियों का प्रयोध करते हुए, उक्त प्रधिनियम के उपकृष्य उक्त स्थापन को सागू करती है।

[बं॰ एम॰-35019/223/82-पी॰एफ॰ 2]

S.O. 4383.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Jagdish Pershad Prem Narain, J. P. Buildings, Gali Parantha, Chaudin Chowk, Delhi-6, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Goernment hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35019(223)/82-PF.III

चा॰का॰ 4384. - केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैं मर्स पारक प्लास्टिक्स, 70, एककाइ रोड, एई विल्ली, जिसके अकार्यत 6/3, कीर्ति भगर, ओडोरिक सीज नई दिल्ली स्थित उसकी शाका भी है, भासक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध तियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहसत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी चिक्य निधि और प्रकीर्ण उपबन्ध प्रधिनियम, 1982 (1952 का 19) में उपबन्ध उसल स्थापन की लागू किए जाने खाहए

भतः केन्द्रीय संस्कार, उक्त प्रधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) इतरा प्रवत्त कक्तियाँ का प्रयोग करते हुस्, उक्त प्रधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को साग् करती है।

[स॰ यस०-39019/224/82-भी०एफ०-2]

S.O. 4384.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment knows as Messrs Paras Plastics, 70, Najafgarh Road, New Delhi including its branch at 6/3, Kirti Nagar, Industrial Area, New Delhi, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1932), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35019(224)/82-PF.II]

कर बार 4385. -- केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैससं वंजाब पाइप फिटिंग इंडस्ट्रीज, ग्रमन नगर, प्रीव्टीव रोड, बाइपास, जालन्छर-1 4, मामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध निर्माणक ग्रीए कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बार पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निश्चि और प्रकीणं उप-वंद्य प्रशिक्षियम, 1952 (1952 को 19) के उपवर्ण्य उपत स्थापन को सामू किए जाने चाहिएं;

असः केम्ब्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रवीग करते हुए, उक्त श्रीविनियम के उपवक्त उक्त स्थापन को लागू करती है।

[सं॰ एस॰-35019/225/82-पी०एपा०- 2]

S.O. 4385.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Punjub Pipe Fitting Industries, Aman Nagar. G.T. Road, Bye pass, Jullundur-4, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35019(225)/82-PF.JI]

का ० आ ० 4386. -- केन्द्रीय मरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स ध लंकार घीएडर, कन्नावरी घोडा, गुन्दूर, नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक घोर कमंचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहसत हो गई है कि कर्म-भारी भक्षिय निधि मारि प्रकीण उपबन्द मिषिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्द उपन स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिएं

मतः कंन्द्रीय सरकार, उन्त प्रक्षितियम की आरा 1 को उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रक्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उक्त प्रक्रिनियम के उप-बन्स उक्त स्थापन को लागु करती है।

[सं॰ एस-35019/227/82-पो०एफ०- 2]

S.O. 4386.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Alankar Theatres, kannavari Thota, Guntur, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act. 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35019(227)/82-PF.[I]

का अब • 4387. -- के खीय सरफार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैं मर्स नके प्रतास पोस्ट बाक्स नं J22, अन्दर्भ जिला, केरल, जिसके अन्तर्गत (1) अरसगढ़, कास रोड को कीन-18 (2) पोस्ट बाक्स नं 101, का लीकट भीर (3) धिनका के बाक्यर, ट्रिक्का कारा, जिला को हट्याम स्थित उसकी आखाएं भी है, नामक स्थापन से सस्बद्ध नियोजक भीर कर्म नारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बास पर मह्मन हो गई है कि कर्म नारी मिष्ट निधि भीर प्रकीण जपवन्ध प्रधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के खनवन्ध जनत स्थापन को लागू किए जाने बाहिएं,

स्रतः केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त श्रक्षितियम की द्यारा । की उर्श्वासः (4) द्वारा प्रदल शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उक्त श्रक्षितियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू करती है।

| सिं० एस-35019/228/82-पी०एफ०- 2]

S.O. 4387.—Whoteas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employers in relation to the establishment known as Messis Navodaya, Possibox No. 322, Alleppy District, Kerala, including its branche at (1) Arangattin Cross Road, Cochin-18, (2) Post Box No. 101, Calicut and (3) Thengode, Post Office Trikkakara, Katayam District, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaueous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35019(228)/82-PF.III

कांव्यां 4388--केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैं गर्म कुमार टेक्सटाइल्स, पोस्ट बाबस नं 9, कुरिन्बी पाडी-60730?, जि हे फ्रन्तर्गत (1) रावजामपेट, (2) यन्डाराई और (3) वेरायन्डो म्यित उसकी शाखाएं भी है, तामक स्थायन ने सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मवारियों ही बहुसंख्या इस बाद पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मवारी प्रावेदन हिंध और प्रकीण उपबन्ध शिधनियस, 1952 (1952 का 18) के उपबन्ध उदि स्थापन को लागू किए जाने बाहिएं.

श्रतः केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त श्रीधनियम की धारा । की उपवारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उक्त प्रधिनियम के उप-बन्ध उक्त स्थापन का लागू करतो है।

[सं॰ एम- 35019/229/82 पी॰एफ॰ 2]

S.O. 4383.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Kumar Textiles. Post Box No. 9, Kurinchipadi-607302 in Chair. Its branching at (1) Rayzampet, (2) Thandarai and its Normanici in a agreed that the provisions of the Employers Provisions and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35019(229)/82-PF.II]

का का 4389 --- कंन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्म हजूरनगर को-ग्रापरेटिक एप्रिकल्चरल डेबेलपसेट बैक लिमिटेड, हजूर नगर, हैदराबाद नामक स्थापन से मन्बद्ध नियोजक ग्रीर कर्मचारिमी की बहु-संख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी मविष्य निश्चि ग्रीर प्रकीर्ण उपबच्च ग्रीधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबच्च उक्त स्थापन को लागू निए जाने चाहिए ;

भ्रतः केन्द्रीय सरकार, उका ग्रिथिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उका अधिनियम के उप-बन्ध उका स्थापन की लागू करती है।

[मं० एम-35019/248/82-वे ० एफ० 1]

S.O. 4389.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs The Huzur Nagar Co-operative Agricultural Development Bank Limited, Hazur Nagar, Hyderabad, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35019'248)/82-PF.II]

कां आं 4390. - नेन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि ममर्स रिव प्रिटर्स एंड पिल्लिशर्स (प्राईवेट) लिप्टिड, पैटाह, निवेंद्रम, नामक स्थापन से संबंद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुसंद्रा इस बान पर सहमत हो गई है कि कैंग्रि.रे भिविष्य निधि और प्रकीर्ण उपबंध प्रधिनियम 1952 (1952 ना 19) के उन्नाध उका स्वापन का लागू किए जाने चाहिए;

श्रतः केन्द्रीय साकार, उक्त श्रिधिनियन की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शिक्तियों वा प्रयोग करते हुए, उक्त प्रधिनियम के उपबंध उक्त स्थापन की ले.गृष्याती है।

[स॰ एस-35019/249/82-पी॰ एफ-2]

E.O. 4320.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Ravi Printers and Publishers (Private) Limited, Pettah, Trivandrum, have ag ced that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment,

[No. S-35019(249)/82-PF.II]

का अ अ अ 391. -- केन्द्रीय मरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैंसस परामेक्स इंजीनियरिंग कंपनी, सं० 31-बी, मेट्ट्पालयम रोड, कोयम्बट्र-11, तिमलनाड, नामक स्थापन से संबंद्ध नियोजक ग्रौर कर्म-चारियों के दहुसंख्य इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि ग्रीण उपबंध ग्रीधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबंध उक्त स्थान को लीगू किए जाने चाहिएं-

श्रतः केन्द्रीय संस्कार, उका अभिनियम ही द्वारा 1 की उपक्रारा (4) द्वारा प्रदन शिवतमों का प्रयोग करने हुए, उक्त अधिनियम के उप-बंध उक्त स्थापन को लागु करती है।

[मं० एत-35019/250/82-यो • एक-2]

S.O. 4391.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Pramax Engineering Company, No. 31-B. Mettupalayam Road, Coimbatore-11, Ta nil Nath, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35019(250)/82-PF.II]

का ० आ ० 4392 .--केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैंसर्स छन्नपति शिवाजी एज्केशनल एंड कल्चरल सोसायटी, तिमलनाडू (रिजस्टर्ड), 13, सरकुलर रोड, यनाइटेड इंडिया कोलोनो, कोडम्बकम, मद्रास-24, नायक स्थान ने संबंद्ध निर्धातक ग्रीर धर्मेशरियों की बहुमंड्य इस वान पर महमन हो गई है कि कर्मचरी भविष्य निधि ग्रीर प्रकीणं उग्बंध अधिनियम 1952 (1952 का 19) के उन्बंध उन्न स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए;

श्रतः केन्द्रीय सरकार, उका श्रीवित्यम की द्वारा 1 की उत्तवारा (4) इ.स प्रवक्त शिक्तयों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उक्त श्रीवित्यम के उप-बग्न एकत स्थापन को लागू करती है।

[सं > एन-35019/251/92-नो • एक-2]

S.O. 4392.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Chhathrapathy Shivaji Educational and Cultural Society, Tamil Nadu (Registered) 13. Circular Road, United India Colony, Kodambakkam, Madras-24, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-nection (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment

[No. S-35019(251)/82-PF.II]

का० बा० 4393.--केन्द्रीय सरकार का यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैससं ए ग्रं-मेक इंडस्ट्रीस, बी-7 भीदोक्तिक एस्टेट, सनतनगर, हैदराबाद-18. मामक स्थापन से सबद्ध नियोजक भीर कमें वारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कमंचारी मंबिष्य निधि भीर प्रकीर्ण उपबंध मधिन्यम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबंध उक्त स्थापन की लागू किए जाने चाहिए;

अतः केन्द्रीय संस्कार, उनतः अधिनियम को धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) बारा प्रदत्त गक्तियों का प्रयोग करने हुए, उनन अधिनियम के उप-बंध उनत स्थापन को लागू करनी हैं।

[सं ० एम- 3 50 1 9/ 2 5 2/ 8 2 नो ० एफ- 2]

S.O. 4393.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Agro Mech. Industries, B-7, Industrial Estate, Sanatnagar, Hyderabad-18, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section I of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35019(252)/82-PF.II]

का । भा ० 4394. -- केस्तीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसमें जेपोर कोभागरेटिय प्रस्कत बैंक (लिभिटेड, जेपीर, कोशपट उड़ीसा, मामक स्थापन से संबंद नियोजक और अंगिर की बहुसंख्या इन बात पर सह्मत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि और प्रकाणं उपबंध भिधिनयम 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबंध उन्न स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए;

मतः केन्द्रीय गएकार उक्त मधिनियम की धारा 1 की उन्धारा (4) द्वारा प्रवत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए उन्न मधिनियम के उपबंध उक्त स्थापन को लागू करती है।

सि॰ एस-35019/289/32-पी॰ एफ-2]

S.O. 4394.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs The Jeypore Cooperative Urban Bank Limited, Jeypore, Koraput, Orissa, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment,

[No. S-3519/288/82-PF.II]

की जा 4395. - केन्द्रीय संप्तार की यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसस बैगाइटी काफटिस्ट्स एंड स्टेशानरी मन्यूफकनरसं बी-7 इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेंट कार्फा, सन्दरन्त, ाउरकेला, नामक स्थान से संबंध नियोजक भीर कर्मांचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बान पर गहमत हो गई है कि कर्मंचारी मिविष्य निधि भीर प्रकीण उपबंध प्रधिनियम 1952 (1952 क. 19) के उपबंध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए;

मतः केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त मधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त जिल्ली का प्रयोग करने हुए, उक्त अधिनियम के उप-वंध उक्त स्थापन को लागू करती है।

[ने॰ एप-35019/289/82-पी0 एफ- 2]

S.O. 4395.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs. Variety Craftists and Stationery Manufacturers, B-7, Industrial Estate, Kalunga, Sundargarh, Rourkela, have regreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section I of the said. Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said. Act to the said establishment.

[No. S. 35019/289/82-PF. II]

कां आं 4396. - कंन्द्रीय संस्कार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि भैमर्स निवस्त साह हिंगीहत साह जैता स्ट्रीड, जरहमपुर, उड़ीसा, नामक स्थापन से संबंध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुमैख्या क्रम बात पर महम्मत हो गई है। क कर्मजारी भिष्य निश्चि और प्रताम जो लागू किए बाने चाहिए;

श्रतः केन्द्रीय गरकार, उक्त श्रधिनियम की घारा 1 की उपधारा (4) ब्रारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते तुर, उत्त प्रविदियम के उपबंत्र उक्त स्थापन को लागु करनी है।

[सं ० एप- 350 19/29 0/8 2-पी ० एफ- 2]

S.O. 4396.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messis Balaram Sahu Harimohan Sahu, Jona Street, Berhampur, Orissa, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment

[No. S-35019/290/82-PF. II]

कां आ 4397. - केन्द्रीय संग्यार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स मरूला इंजीतियरिंग कंपनी, लीनी रोड, शाहदरा, दिल्ली-93, नामक स्थापन से संबंद नियोजक और कमचारियों की बहुमंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भिश्रप्त निधि और प्रकीर्ण उपबंध मिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपवंध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए;

मतः केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त मधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपवारा (4) द्वारा अदल गक्तियो का प्रयोग करते हुए, उक्त मधिनियम के उपवंध उक्त स्थापन को नागू करती है।

[नं ० एम- 35019/295/82-पी ० एफ-2]

S.O. 4397.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Narula Engineering Company, I oni Road, Shahdara, Delhi-93, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act. 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35019(295)/82-PF. II]

का० आ० 4398.—केन्द्रीय सरकार को प्रतीत हाता है कि यह मैसर्स श्री बेंकटरमम् राष्ट्रम बाउंड नट एंड प्रायल मिल, पडामिलपेटा, डाकघर गरभाम, जिला विजयानगरन, गामक स्थापन से संबद्ध नियोजक भीर वर्मचारियों की बहुमक्या इस बात पर महमत हा गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि भीर प्रकीण उपबंध प्रधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबंध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए;

अतं केन्द्रीय सरकार, उकत श्रधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रवत्त गक्तियां का प्रयोग करते हुए, उक्त श्रिधिनियम के उप-बंध उक्त स्थापन को लागू करती है।

[स॰ एम-35019/297/83-पी॰ **एफ-2**]

S.O. 4398.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messis Shri Venkataramana Rice Ground Nut and Oil Mill, Pedamantripeta. Post Office Garbhum, District Vizianagaram, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

[No. S 35019/297/82-PF. II]

का का 4399! -- केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैससं जयश्री एजें सीज, 8 बी व बी व रोड, प्राय व एम व पुरम, कोयम्बट्टर-2 मामक स्थापन से सबक्ष नियाजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुर्मच्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भनिष्य निधि और प्रकीण उपबंध मिधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबंध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए;

अतः केस्त्रीय संस्कार, उक्त प्रश्नितियम की धारा 1 की अपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त गरिन्तयों का प्रयाग करते हुए, उन्त प्रधितियम के उपबंध उक्त स्थापन को शागु करती है।

[न॰ एस- 35019/298/82-पी. एफ-2]

S.O. 4399.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment knowns as Messrs Jayashree Agencies, 8, D.B. Road, R. S. Puram, Combatore-2 have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35019/298/82-PF. II]

कार आर 4400. -- केन्द्रीय भरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि ममसं सेलेकियन इंस्टीट्यूट आफ प्राफिक आर्टम, 2-ती, टेनलं रोड, महाम-10, मामक स्थापन से संबद्ध नियोजिक और कर्मनारियों की बहुसक्या इस बास पर महमत हां गई है कि कर्मनारी भविष्य निधि भीर प्रकीण उपबक्ष मधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबंध उक्त स्थापन को लाग किए जीने नाहिए;

अतः केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त प्रक्षिनियम की धारां 1 की उपक्षारा (4) द्वारा प्रदक्त मिल्लों को प्रयोग करते हुए, उक्त प्रधिनियम के उपबंध उक्त स्थापन को लागू करती है।

[स॰ प्स-35019/299/82-पी॰ प्फ-2]

S.O. 4400—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Salesian Institute of Graphic Arts, 2-B Taylors Road, Madras-10, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of '.c.' I of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment

[No. S-35019/299/82-PF. II]

कां आं 4401.—केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसमें एमन्नेक फेन्नीवेटमं 44-वी सेगाद्रिपुरम मेन रोड, बंगलीर-20, नामक स्थापन . सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारितों की बहुसंक्या इस बास पर सहमत हो पर्द कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि और प्रकीण उपबंध प्रधिनियम, 1952 (1953 का 19) के उपबंध उन्त स्थापन की संपूर्

भन केन्द्रीय गरकार, उक्त भिवित्यम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) हारा प्रदत्त सक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उक्त श्रिवित्यम के उपबंध उक्त स्थापन की लागू करती है।

[स**ः** १७ ३५०१९/३००/८२ में एक०-2]

S.O. 4401.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Asbrake Fabricators, 44-B, Seshadripuram Main Road, Bangalore-20, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaenous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-jection (4) of Section I of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35019/300/82-PF.][]

का० आ० 4402.—कंन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीन होना है कि मैसर्स मृत्युअय कीप्रानरेटिय कंपल बैंक निधिटेंब, न०सा-2324, धवता, पिण्विमी गोदायरी जिला, प्रान्ध्र प्रदेश, नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोगक और कर्मकारियों की यहसंख्या द्वा बन पर सहपत हो गई है कि कर्मजारी मिल्य निश्चित्र प्रतीण उपया प्रिविचिम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबध उक्त स्थापन को नामू किए जाने चाहिए;

ग्रत्त. केन्द्राय सरकार, उका श्राधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपवारा (4) इत्या प्रयत्न वाक्षियों की प्रयाग करते हुए, उक्त श्राधिनियम के उपवाय उक्त स्थापन की लागू करता है ।

[मं॰ एस ३५३११/३०१/४२ में।॰ फ ०-2]

SO. 4402.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs The Mrutyunjaya Co-operative Rural Bank Limited, No. C-2324, Achanta, West Godwari District, Andhra Pradesh, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

INo. S-35019/301/82-PF. III

कार बार 4403.--केर्न्याम सरवार की यह प्रसीत हीता है कि मैससे विजय केमिकल एंड मर्गजिनल ब्रेसिंग, नं 4, इंग्डिस्ट्रियल डेबल (सेट एरिया उपाल, हैदरायाद, नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध निवालक श्रीर कर्म-धारियों की बहुमंखा। इस बान पर महमन हो गई है कि वार्मवार भिवल जिन्ही श्रीर प्रकीर्ण उपबंध श्रीवित्यम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबंध उक्त स्थापन को नामू किए आने बाहिए,

शतः केन्द्रीय सरागर, उक्त प्रधिनियम की भारा । की अपनास (4) क्रांश प्रवस्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उक्त क्रिविनियम के अपनेश उक्त स्थापन की लागू करती है ।

सि॰ एस-35019/302/82-पी॰एफ॰-3

S.O. 4403.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in telation to the establishment known as Messis Vijay Chemical and Surgical Dressing, No. 4, Industrial Development Area, Uppal, Hyderabad-39, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees provident Funds and Miscellaneous provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers contered by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said. Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said; et to the said establishment.

[No.S-35019/302/82-PF.II]

कां बार 4404.—केन्नीय सरकार का यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैंनर्स राम्स इन्बस्ट्रीज, 105, सिडको इन्बस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, अन्बस्टर, मद्राम-98 जिसके अन्तर्गत "श्री चितकाल" नं 10, बारेन रांट, मद्रा-4, स्थित उसका रजिस्ट्रीकृत कार्यालय भी है, नामक स्थानन से सन्वद्ध नियाजक और 'कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है जि अनेनारा मिष्ट निश्चि सीर प्रकीण उन्बंध अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबंध स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए;

क्षतः केन्द्रीय सरकार, उत्तन अविनियम का धारा 1 कें: उपधारा (4) श्वारा प्रदस्त शक्तियो का प्रयोग करते हुए, उनत श्रायिनियम के उपवेश सक्त स्थापन की लागू करता है।

[सं० एस-35019/303/84-पी एफ०-2]

S.O. 4444.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Rams Industries, 105, Sidco Industries Estate, Ambattur, Madras-98 including its Registered Office at "Sri Chitkala" No. 10. Watten Road, Madras-4, have agreed that the previsions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act, to the said establishment.

INo.S-35019/303/82-PF III

कां आ 4405.—फेन्नीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैमसं वर्जार कर्मचारं उपभोक्ता सहकारी सोमाइटी, लिमिटेड 1-8-666, भाजमाबाद, हैंदरआव-20, नामक स्थापन से मध्यद्व नियोजक और कर्म-फारियों को बहुसंज्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मजारी प्रविध्य निधि भीर प्रक्तिण उपबंध प्रविधियन, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपअंज उक्त स्थापन को लागु किए जाने घाटिए;

श्रतः केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उरधारा (4) बारा प्रदस्त वाक्तियों का प्रवीग करते कृष, उक्त श्रिधिन्यम के उरक्य उक्त स्थापन को लाग करती है।

[स॰ एस-35019/304/82-पी॰ए फ॰ 2]

S.O. 4405.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messes. The Vazir-Limpoyees Consumer's Co-operative Society Limited. 1-8-666, Azamabad Hyderabad-20, have agreed that the provisins of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section I of the and Act, the Central Government heleby applies the provisions of the Act to the said establishment.

[No. \$-35019/304/82-PF. II]

कां० आ० 4406.--केन्द्रीय संस्कार को यह प्रतीन होता है कि मैसमें करेबस इजीनिपरिय प्रा०लि० प्याप्त सं० १ए/३ और १ए/५ (त०पी०) इइस्तिन स्टेट अध्याहर, मद्रान-७३, जिल्हों उसके स्वयं कार्याप्य, ४१३ मैन्यान रोड, ईस्तीर मद्राय-४ प्रजीक्षण कर्यात्र, २६, निविक्षण १ मेन्यान रोड, ईस्तीर मद्राय-४ प्रजीक्षण कर्यात्र, २६, निविक्षण १ मेन्यान रोड, मस्तिम ३१, अर्थ प्राप्त के एक १७१, प्राराविक म्हर्य रोड, मन्देक्षी महत्य-२६ भी प्राप्ति के, न यह स्वतन से माद्रद्व वियोणक और कर्यवायिया की प्रमुक्त । इस इत्याप्त स्वरूप १ मेन्याप्त की स्वरूप प्रतिवक्ष स्वरूप स्वरूप १ मेन्याप्त स्वरूप प्रतिवक्ष स्वरूप स्वरूप

यत कन्द्रांग सरकार, उका सधितियम की धरा। की उत्पारा (३) द्वारा दस्त गक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उका ग्रंथितियम के उपवध उका स्थापन को लागू करती है।

[सं॰ एस॰ 35019/161/82-पी॰एफ॰ 2)]

E.G. 4406.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Krebs Englurening (Private) Limited, Plot No. 1A/4 and 1A/5, (N.P.) Industrial Fistate, ambattur, Madras-98, including its Head Office at 425, Panthen Road, Eginore, Madras-8, Registered Office at 25, Ghilchrist Avenue, Harrington Road, Madras-31 and R&D Unit, 171, R.K. Mutt Road, Mandevalli, Modras-28, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35019/461/82-PF.II]

का० आ० 4407. -- केल्यिय मरकार का यह प्रतीत हांता है कि मैंसर्स मिलक इलैक्ट्रानिक कारपारेणन (डांड्या), 15 ए. नरावणा इडिस्ट्रिपण ऐरिया. फेअ-1, नई दिल्ली 110028 तथा इसका गोरूम, 225. लाजपत राय मानिट, चान्दनी चीक, दिल्ली-6, नामक स्थापन से मन्बद्ध नियोजक भीर कोचारियों की बहुमंद्या इस वाल पर सहमत हो गई है कि धर्मंचारी मिल्य निधि और प्रकीण उपबंध मिलिन्स, 1952 (1952 का 19) क जाबंध उक्त स्थापन को सामू किए आने चाहिए,

मनः केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त राधिनियम कं धारा । की उपधारा (4) हारा प्रदन्त गिकिन्यों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उक्त श्राजिन्यम के उपवध उन्त स्थापन यो लागू करती 2 ।

[+o गुगo 35019/476/32-पीरविषक-2]

5.0. 4407.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known at Messrs Malik Electronic Corporation (India), 18A, Naraina Industrial Arva, Phase-I, New Delhi-28 including its Sales Depot at 225, Lajpat Rai Market, Chandni Chowk, Delhi-6, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment,

Now, therefore, in exercise of the pawers contented by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government betchy applies the provisions of the said act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35019/476/82-PI II]

कार आर 4108.-- केन्द्रीय सरकार का यह प्रतीत हाता है ति मैसर्स केनीयाल सपत्र पर्व (बगल्त) कस्पनी, 10-से ग्रहाय दला गर्छन, तेन, उत्तहाडांग, कलकल्त-67 तथा इसकी फेन्ट्री, 31-ए इ. 31 बा उत्तहाडाना लेन रोह, अलकल्क-67 नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध तियोजक और कर्मचारियो की बहुपड्या इत यत पर सहमत्त हो गई है कि अर्थचरी मिवष्य निधि और प्रकीण उपबंध अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 के 19) के उपबंध उत्तर स्थान को लागू किए जाने साहिए.

स्रत केन्द्रीय सरवार, उत्तत प्रधिनियम की घरा। की उत्तथा । (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त पश्चितको वा प्रयोग ारचे हुए, उन्त अधिरियन के उपन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू करती है।

[संबर्म - 35017 250 82- संबर्ध - 2]

S.O. 4408.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in telation to the establishment known as Messis Chemical Supplies (Bengal) Company, 10-C, Gurudas Dutta's Garden Lane, Ulradanga, Calcutta-67 including its factory at 31-A and 31-B, Ultadanga Main Road, Calcutta-67, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the raid establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35017/250/82-PF. II]

कां कां कां 1409. — के दीय संस्थार को एट प्रतीत होता है कि मैंगर्स मिन्टग्राफ इंडिया (प्राइवेट) लिमिटेड, 11 यू०के दन्ता रोड, सलकत्ता 28 तामक स्थापत से सम्बद्ध नियोजन और कर्मचारिया की बहुम बता इस बता पर सहमंत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी मिविया विधि और प्रकर्ण उपबंध अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के जावज उका स्थापत राजा तु किए जाने चाहिए

श्रतः केन्द्रीय सरकार, उपन प्रविधिम की वारा । का उपयास (4) क्षार प्रदस्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करने हुए, उपन शिविनियम के उपवास उपन स्थापन का नारू करती है।

नि० एस० 35017/251/82 पी०एफ०]

S.O. 4409.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Mintgraph India (Private) Limited, 11, U.K. Dutta Road, Calcutta-28 have agreed that the provisions of the Employees Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35017/251/82-Pf.II]

कां आ अब 4410. - केन्द्रीय सरव र को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैससं रिटजकोम, 174, लोबर मर्गुलर रोड, कलकरता-14 नामक स्थापत से सम्बद्ध नियोजक ग्रीर कर्मचारियों को बहुसंद्य, इप बात पर सदमत हो गई है कि कर्पचारी भविष्य निधि ग्रीर प्रकीर्ण उपबंध ग्राधित्यम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबंध उक्त स्थापत को लागू किए जाने चाहिएं;

श्रतः केन्द्रीय सरकार, उस्त श्रधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वार प्रदन्त पवित्राों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उक्त श्रधिनियम के उपबंध उक्त स्थापन को लागू करती है।

[मं० एम 35017/252/82 पी०एफ०-2]

S.O. 4410.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Mesors Ritzcos, 174-Lower Circuar Road, Celcitta-14, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees Provident Funds and Miccellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should te made applicable to the said establishment.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section I of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35017/252/82-PF, II]

काश्कार 4411.—केन्द्रीय सरकार का यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैससँ विदेट हाईकेश उन्हर्म्य (प्राइवेट) लिमिटेड, 18 ऐस्म राउ (ब्राफ कारम रोड, वेलवार्छिया) दावडा, नामक स्थापन में सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्नवारियों की बहुन्छता इस बान पर महस । मा गई है कि कर्मवारी विद्या निधि और प्रकीण उपवन्ध प्रविनित्त 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपवाद उन्ह स्थापन को लागू किये जाने वाहिय,

प्रत बेन्द्रीय संस्कार, उबत श्रिजिनाम की छार। 1 की उपक्षाना (4) इतर, प्रदत्त मनियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उबर भ्रिजियन के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन की लागू *बार्*ती है।

[म॰ ए ा॰-35017/253/82-मी॰एफ॰-2]

S.O. 4411.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messis Bright Hardware Industrie, (Private) Limited, 48, 'X' Road, (Off Benaras Road, Belgachia) Howiah, have agreed that the provisions of the Limployees' Providers Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the pro-isions of the said act to the said establishment.

[No. \$-35017/253/82-PF, II]

काण्याः 4412,—केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैंसर्स हिन्दुम्तान ग्रायल इडम्ट्रीन, 218 महात्मा गावी रीट, कलकत्ता-7, तथा इसकी फेक्ट्री, 8 बेलर रीट, लिलुहा, हावटा सिहत, नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियालक ग्रीर कर्मचारियों की नहुस्त्या इस बात पर सहमत ही गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य विधि और प्रकार्ण उपबन्ध श्रधिनियम, 1952 (1952 वा 19) के उपबन्ध उस्त स्थापन की तागू किये जाने चाहिये,

का. केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा । की उपप्रारा (4) क्वारा प्रवत्त शक्तियों का प्रधान करने पूप, उक्त अधिनियम के उपप्रन्थ उक्त स्थापन की नागू करती है।

[म॰एम॰-।35017/254/82-पीर॰एफ॰-2]

S.O. 4412.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Hindustan Oil Industries, 218, Mahatma Gandhi Road, Calcutta-7 including its factory at 8. Belur Road, Liluah, Howrah, have agree! that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35017/254/82-P.F. II]

कां अंश 4413.— तन्द्राप मरकार की यह प्रतीक होगा है कि मैंगर्स एम॰ दत्ता एअन्सा, 1/1, बान्सीटार्ट रोड, कलकला-1 (तीमरो मजिल, देलीफोन भवन के सामन) तथा इसका वरटम एडिज 16/1. स्ट्रान्ड राड कलकता-1 नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध (नयोजक ग्रीर कर्मकारिया की बहुगरेया इस बात पर सहमत हा गई है कि कर्मचारी धविष्य निधि ग्रीर प्रकीण उपबन्ध प्रवित्यम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उपन स्थापन को लागू किये जाने नाहिंग,

भतः केन्द्रीय सरनार, उनतं श्रधिनियमं की घारः । की उपधारा (4) द्वारः। प्रश्नतं शक्तिया ना प्रयोगं करने हुए, उक्तं श्रधिनियमं के उपधारध उक्तं स्थापनं की लागं करती है।

[न॰ एस०-35017/255/82-पी॰एफ०-2]

S.O. 4413.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs M. Dutta Agency, 1/1, Vansittart Row, Calcutta-1 (3rd Floor, Opposite Telephone Bhavan) including its Custom: House, 15/1, Strand Road, Calcutta-1, have agreed that the provisions of the Employets' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35017(255)/82-P.F. III

कां आं 4414.—केन्द्रीय संरक्षार को यह प्रतीन होता है कि मैंससं असह अभीर हसने जाहिए हसने नकल होट, 9/12, लाल बाजार स्ट्रीट कलकता-1 नामक स्थापन में सम्बद्ध नियोजन प्रीर कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बान पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी प्रविष्य निधि प्रीर प्रकीर्ण उपबन्ध प्रक्षित्यम, 1952(1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध जक्षन स्थापन को लागू किये जाने चाहिये;

भ्रतः केन्द्रोय सरकार, उत्त श्राधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा 4 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उक्त श्रधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू करती है।

[२० एफ०-35017/256/82-पी॰ए फ०-2]

S.O. 4414.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Al Ilaj Amir Hasan Zahir Hasan Nunul Hoda, 9/12. Lall Bazar Street, Calcutta-1, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35017(256)/82-P.F. 1I]

कां आं 4415.—केन्द्रं सरकार की यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैंसर्ग साईनाथ बायलर्स एण्ड न्यूमेटिक्स, 18-ए, मिनवी इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, लाल बहादुर शास्त्री मार्ग, मृनंद, मुम्बई-80 नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक भीर कर्मचारियों की बहुमंख्या इस बान पर महमन हो गई है कि कर्मचारि श्रीवण्य निधि भीर प्रकीण उपवरच प्रधिनियम, 1952(1952 का 19) के उपवरच उनक स्थापन को लागू किये जाने चाहिये;

क्रम कंग्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त क्रिक्षितियम की घारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदस गक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उक्त क्रिक्षित्यम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू करती है।

मि०एम० 35018/30/82-पी०एफ०-2]

S.O. 4415.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Mesers Samath Boilors and Pneumatics, 18A, Minerva Industrial I-state, L. B. Shastri Marg, Muland, Bombay-80, have agreed that the provisions of the standard framework of the framework of the framework of the framework. The provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35018(30)/82-PF, II]

का०आ० 4416. — नेन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स करना कमियान (प्राइवेट) लिमिटेड, 19 कस्तुरवा गांधी मार्ग, नई विल्ली-1 नामक स्थापन से मार्बड नियोजक ग्रीर कर्मचारियों की बहुसक्या इस वात पर सहमत हा गई है कि कर्मचारी भिष्ठिय निधि ग्रीर प्रकील उपबन्ध अधिनियम, 1952(1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध अस्त स्थापन को लागू किये जाने चाहिये;

ग्रनः केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त प्रधिनियम की बारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदन्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उक्त ग्रिधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन का लागु करती है।

[सब्द्रमान्डका (अ/१) ह/ र क्लेब्युकान्ध]

S.O. 4416.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messre Karna Commercial (Private) Limited, 19, Kasturba Gandhi Marg, New Delhi-1, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-acction (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35019/(115)/82-P.F. II]

का ० ४४ १७. --- लेखीय सरकार का यह मतींत होता है कि मैसर्स नार्धा ग्राम ट्रस्ट केन्द्रीय कार्यात्य, गांधीं ग्राम-624302 मतुरै जिला नामक स्थान से सम्बद्ध निगोभक भीर कर्मचारियों की बहुमख्या इस बात पर सहनत हो गई है कि कर्यनर्स सवित्य निधि भीर भकींग जन्मका प्रधानिगम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपवन्त उक्त स्थापन का लागू किये जाने चाहिये,

श्रन फेन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त श्रधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4') हारः प्रदत्त शक्तियां का प्रयोग करते हुए, उक्ष्म श्रीधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्ष स्थापन को सागू करती है।

[स एस०-35019(107)82-०पीफ०-2]

S.O. 4417.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Gandhigram Trust, Central Office, Gandhigram-624302, Madurai District, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35019(107)/82-P.F Ц]

का॰ अर्थ 4418—शेन्द्रं 4 सरकार का यह प्रारीत होता है कि मैसर्ग अभीर स्थान टोर्नकों कपनी वर्तम प्राविद्रेट फड स्मूच्यल ऐंड का आपरेटिय, गामाइटी लिमिटेड, आजमाबाद न्वरावार-20 नासका स्थाप से सम्बद्ध नियाजक और पर्णचारियों की शहुमध्या इस बार पर सहस्रकों गई है कि कर्मचारी सिविष्य किथि और प्रकृषि उपतन्ध प्रक्रियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध नकत स्थापन का भाग् किथे जान चाहिये;

श्रत केन्द्रीय स-कार, उपन श्रविनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा(1) हारा प्रदक्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उपन श्रविनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लाग् थरती है।

[स॰ एस॰ 35019/103/8 :-पी॰ एफ॰-::]

S.O. 4418.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Medis The Vazir Sultan Tobacco Company, Workers' Provident Fund Mutual Aid Co-operative Society Limited, Azamabad, Hyderabad-20, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35019/(108)/82-PF. II]

कां अति 4419.— सेन्द्रिय सरकार की यह प्रसीत होता है कि मैससं काड इंडस्ट्रियल कमिकलम, प्रहर-688531, बेर थाट लाई, ग्रेल्एपा जिला, जिसके भन्तर्गत कोचीन, 36/435 (मृल्लास्मरी केनाल रोड, एरलाक्षमम कोचीन-682011, स्थित उसका रिजस्ट्रीकृत कार्यालय भी है नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक ग्रीर कर्मचारियों की यहुमंद्र्या इस बात पर महसत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी सिवध्य निधि ग्रीर प्रकार्ण उपवन्ध प्रितियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपवन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किये जाने चाहिये;

भनः केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त श्रक्षिमियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त एक्तियो का प्रयोग वरके हुए, उक्त श्रियिनित्म के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू करली है।

/[मं॰ म॰-35019/226/82-पी॰एफ॰-2]

S.O. 4419.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messis Kode Industrial Chemicals, Aroot-688534, Sheithallai, Allep pey District including its Registered Office at Cochin XXXVI 435, Mullasserry Caral Road, Ernakulam, Cochin-682011, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the aid act to the said establishment

INo. S-35019/(226)/82-PF. III

कारुआ 4420.- - केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतिस होता है कि मैसर्स हम्पैक्ट एटवर्टाइनिंग (शह्बेट) लिमिटेड, 1654 श्रन्तार्ग, राइ, 21 बरयागंज, नई दिल्ली-? जिसके श्रन्तगंग 2013, करणाणपुरा, तुकंसान गेट दिल्ली-6 स्थित उसका रिजिस्ट्रें कृत कार्यालय भी है, नामथ रथापन में सम्बद्ध नियोजन श्लीप कर्मकारिया की तहमंद्र्या इस बाग पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि भीर प्रकीर्ण उपवस्ध शिश्तियम 1952 (1952 क 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू नियो जाने नाहिये;

थमा केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्ष्म प्रक्रितियम का द्यारा 1 की उपधारा(4) हो। प्रदेन सिनिया का प्रमोग करते हुए, इस्त मधिनियम के उपकथ उका स्थापन का लागू करकी है।

[
स॰ १ग०-35019/294/82-शि॰एफ॰-2]

S.O. 4420.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Impact Advertising (Private) Limited, 4654, Ausari Road, 21, Darya Ganj, New Delhi 2 including its Registered Office at 2043, Kalyan Pura, Turkman Gate, Delhi-6, have agreed that thhe provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said act to the said establishment

[No. S-35019/(296)/82-P.F. II]

कार्जार 4421.00 केन्द्रीय मरपार की यह प्रपीत होता है कि नैमन राम क्रांगत इन्हम्यूर्गि, रामाराज्ञानेट, काकीगाड़, पानस्य पर्देण, नामक न्यापर से मन्वव नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुर्गव्या हन बात पर राहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भवित्य निजि और प्रकीर्ण उपबंध प्रविद्या, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबंध उक्त स्थापर की लागू किए जाने चाहिए;

श्रतः केन्द्रीय मरकार, उक्त श्रिष्ठितियम की छारा 1 की उन्हारा (4) द्वारा प्रदन्त गक्तियों का प्रयोग करने हुए, उक्त श्रिष्ठितियम के उन्हें उक्त स्थापन को लागु करती है।

[स॰ एस- 35019/462/32- मा॰ एक०-2]

S.O. 4421.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Sri Rama Krishna Industries, Ramaraopet, Kakinada, (Andhra Pradesh), have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35019/(462)/82-P.F. II]

काश्याः 4122 --केन्द्रीय सरमार का पर प्रतान हाता है कि मैसमें यूटिलण्ड्रोनिकप प्रश्लिश्वोत 4 ईलैंक्ट्रोनित्त कमपलैक्स कुमैगुदा, हैदराबाद-500762, नामक स्थापन में सम्यदा नियोजक श्रीर कमेंचारियों की बहुसंघ्या दग बान पर सहमत हो गई है कि कमेंचारी भविष्य निधि श्रीर प्रकीण उपबंद श्रिधनियम, 1952 (1952 पा 19) के उपवंध उत्तत स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए,

ग्रात. केन्द्रोय मरकार, उक्त श्रविनियम की धारा 1 की उपद्यारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त मक्तियों का प्रयोग करने हुए, उक्त श्रविनियम के उपबंध उक्त स्थापन को लागू करती है।

[स॰ एस॰-35019/474/82-पो॰एफ॰-2]

S.O. 4422.— Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messes Utilitionix (Private) Limittd, B-4, Electronic Complex, Kushaiguda, Hyderabad-762, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident lands and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said act to tht said establishment.

[No. S-35019(474)/82-PF, 1]]

कांव्सांव 4423.—नेव्ह्रीय संस्थार को वह प्रसीत हो। है ि मौसर्म अनुमेल्स (हाई मोटाल्म) प्राविट निर्मिट्ड, सामृत्य का नग रम्पाफड, ४६५-६०, जे टी० गोड, पाह्दग, दिल्मी-110032 नामक स्थापन से सब्बद्ध निर्माणक और कर्नतागा के बहुत्त्वत इस बाल पर सहसा हो गरि ह कि कर्नवेसी प्रतिय कि ग्रांग प्रकेश डाम क्या-नियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उन्हार उनन स्थापन का लागू कि जाने लाहिए,

भा केन्द्रिय सरकार, उध्त पश्चितिया की बारा ! की उपधारा (4) हारा प्रकार प्रक्तिया का प्रयान करते हुए उक्त आधिनियम के उपबंध उथत स्थापन की लागू शरपी है ।

|中の 11円0-35019/175/82-年の190 2]

S.O. 4423.—Whereas it appeas to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment for the normal Mesis Anusales (Haid Metals) (Private) Limited, Shambhu Nath and Sens Compound, 458-66, G.T. Road, Shahdara, Delhi-32, have agreed that the provisions of the Imployees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said are to

the said establishment

[No. S-35019(475)/82-PF. II] π° (30 Å), 6 [24474 1932

काल्आल 4423.—केन्द्रीय मरकार की यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैंसर्स वेस्ट बंगात टी डेबेलप्रनेट कारपोरेगत तिमिटेड, 12-बीं, लाई मिन्हा रोड, ति मरी मंजिल, ब्लाइन्स-71 नामक स्थापन से मस्बद्ध नियोजक श्रीर कर्मवारियों की बहुसख्या हम बान पर नहरन हो गई है कि कर्मवारि मिविष्य निवि और प्रकीर्ण उपश्रय श्रविनियम, 1952 (1952 को 19) के उपन्य अपन्य उपन्य

श्रा केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपबार। (4) हार, प्रदत्त शक्तियों को प्रयोग करते हुँ, उक्त श्रिधिन सि के उपबंध उस्त स्थाप को लागू करती ह ।

[स्० र्स० - 35017/35/82-पी भाक 2]

New Delhi, the 6th December, 1982

S.O. 4424.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment brown as Mesis West Bengal Tea Development Corporation Limited. 12-B, Lord Sinha Road, 3rd Floor, Calcutta-71, have agreed the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the rowers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the raid Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the rail act to the said establishment.

[No S-35017(35)/82-PF, III

का॰ आ॰ 4425.--केन्द्रीय सरकार का यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैंमर्म प्रार०एम० इंजीनियरिंग वक्में 27 नित्याधन मुखर्जी मार्ग, हावडा-711101 नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मवारियों की बहु-संख्या इस बात पर पहमत हो गई है कि कर्मवारी भविष्य निधि घैर प्रकीर्ण उपबंध प्रधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबंध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए,

श्रत केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त ग्रिधिनियम की प्रारा 1 की उपधारी (4) द्वारा प्रदन्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करने हुए, उक्त ग्रिधिनियम के उपबंध उक्त स्थापन की लागू करनी है।

मि० एम०- 95017/130/83 पी०एफ० 3]

S.O. 4425.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government of the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messis R. M. I named the Worls, 27, Nityadhan Mukherjee Road, Hourth-711101, have a need that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said e tablishment:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers concerned by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said. Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the end act to the said e-tablishment.

[No. S-35017(130)/82-PF. III

का अक्षर 4426. - ने क्वंय मरकार की यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैं से बी अपन वर्मा एन्ड कानी (प्राल एण्ड अरोन) प्राइवेट तिमिटेड, 15, विष्यारी राज विहारी वसू राड, डाक्स्बर बोक्क 2214, करकत्ता-700001 नानक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोज के और प्रमें कारिया की बहु- गंड्या इस वाग पर सहमत हो गई है कि को बारी भविष्य निधि और प्रकीर्ण उपबंध स्थिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबंध रिवास का नामू किए जाने चाहिए,

. अन केन्द्रीय गरकार उक्त अधिनियम को धारा 1 का उपधारा (4) द्वारा•प्रदत्त गक्तियों का प्रयोग करने हु^न, उका अधिनियम के उपबंध उक्त स्थापन को लागू करनो है।

S.O. 4426.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs B. M. Verma and Company (Coal and Gunnias) (Private) Limited, 135, Biplabi Rashbehari Basu Road, Post Office Box 2214. Calcutta-1, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35017(146)/82-PF. II]

कां आ 4127. -- केन्द्रीय मरहार की यह प्रवीत होता है कि मैं मर्ग बगारिया टेक्नोकोम, 20, में गेंड लेन कलकरना-1 नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोगक स्रोर कर्मबारियों की बहुपब्डा इप बात पर सहस्त हा गई है कि कर्मबारी भविष्य निधि और प्रकर्ण उपवंब स्रविनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपवब उक्त स्थापन को नागू किए जाने चाहिए;

अत केन्द्रिय सरकार, उका अधिनिया की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) हारा प्रदत्त प्रक्तियों का प्रयोग करने हुए, उका अधिनियम के उपबंध उक्त स्थापन की लाग करनी है।

[स॰ गम॰-35017/147/82-पी॰गफ॰ 2]

S.O. 4427.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Bagaria Teknokom, 20, Mangoe Line, Calcuttal, have agreed that the provisions of the Employee₃ Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions A.t. 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said act to the said establishment.

[No.S-35017(147) /82-PF II]

करावजार 44.28.—केन्द्रीय संस्तार की यह प्रतित होता है कि मैसमें सनकलावर रेपर कल्बर्टर्स (प्राइवेट) लिभिटेड, 61, पाम ऐवेन्यू, कलकरना-19 जिसपा यनर्गत 25, नाड स्ट्रीट कलकरता-19 स्थित उसका रजिस्ट्रीकृत कार्यालय भी है नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजका और वर्मकारियों का बहुन्ख्या अप बात पर सत्यन हो नई है कि कर्मचारी अविषय निधि और उन्होंने उपबंध अधिनियन, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उनकेंग्र उक्त स्थापन का लाह किए जान प्राहिए;

प्रतः केर्न्यःय भरकान, उपन संजितियन का तारा १ को उनकारा (1) द्वारा प्रदश्त शतिका का प्रकार करते हु, उनक प्रजितियन के उपनेत्र उन्हास्थापन को लागू करती है।

[सं० एम-35017/148/82-र्पाञ्चर 2]

S.O. 4428.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Sunflower paper Converters (private Limited, 61 palm Avenue, Calcutta-19 including its Registered Office at 25, Broad Street, Calcutta-19, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35017(148)/82-PF. II]

ु का०आ० 4429.--केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मेर्न मेहरा ट्रेडमें, 21-ए, इमडन रोड, कानकरता-30 नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कमेचारियों की बहुसदम इस भेल पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्ननर सिया निजि और प्रकीण उरका अधिनित्त, 1952 (1952 को 19) के उनमंब उना स्थापन को ना। किए जोरे चाहिए;

कार केन्द्रीय सरकार, उपन अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधान (4) इत्ता प्रशान शक्तियों का प्रयोग कारी हुए, उनर अधिनियम के उपबंध उत्तर स्वात की नामु करता है।

[स॰ एस-35017/151/82-पा॰ फ॰ 2]

S.O. 4429.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Mehra Traders, 21-A, Dum Dum Road, Calcutta-30, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaenous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said act to the said establishment.

[N. S-35017(151)/82-PF. III

ाह. ० आ ० 4430: -- केरबीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैससे लोग देति तन (पाइनेट) नि निडें, पं-१, ज.गड़ी ते, रोड, कतकाय: 88 जिन्हें प्रांति 225-ई, प्रांति केना, पीन रोड कतन ज-20 स्थित उत्तर, र्जिस्टू का आर्थात व है, नानक स्थान से सस्बद्ध नियोज के प्रीर कर्नवारियों का बहुन कर इन बात पर नड़ना हो गई है कि कर्मवारी प्रियंग निजे प्रीर प्रकृष उन्तर स्थान का लाह किए प्रांतिवन, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उरबंध उन्तर स्थान का लाह किए अर्थ वाहिए;

मा के दीय लगकार, उका पवितियम की घारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्ता प्रवित्तयों की प्रयोग करने हुंग, उका प्रवितियम के उपध्य उच्यत क्यापन की लागु करनी है।

[বঁহ স্বহ-35017/152/82-ট্রেচ্চ স্

S.O. 4430.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Webel Television (Private) Limited, P-1 Taratolla Road, Calcutta-88 including its Registered Office at 225-E, Acharya J. C. Bose Road, Calcutta-20, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaenous Previsions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section I of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said act to the said establishment;

[No. S-35017(152)/82-PF. 11]

का. आ. 4431. कंन्द्रीय मरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि गैन्द्र बटेली टी कम्पनी लिमिटेड, 130, काटन स्ट्रीट कलकता-7 नामक स्थापन में नस्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुमंख्या इस बात पर महमद हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भिवष्य निधि और प्रकीर्ण ख्रिबन्ध अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपदन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लाग किए जाने चाहिए;

इत: केंग्द्रीय 'सरकार, उक्त इधिनियम की धारा 1 की जुल-धारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शिक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उक्त अधिनियम की उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन की लागू करती है।

[सं. एस-35017/153/82-पी. एफ. 2]

S.O. 4431.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Bateli Tea Company Limited, 130, Cotton Street, Calcutta-7, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore,in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35017(153)/82-PF, III

का. आ. 4432. केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैंसर्स विकास साल्वेक्स ट्रेक्ट्स लिमिटेड, 135, विष्लवी रासविहारी इस रोड, कलकता-1, नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहु संख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भिवष्य निष्धि और प्रकीर्ण उपबंध अधिनियस, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपस्थ उक्त स्थापन को लागु किए जाने चाहिए;

अनः केन्द्रीय सरकार, जनत अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उप-धार (1) द्वारा ६६६६ शिवतयों का प्रयोग करते हुए, जनत अधिनियम की उपश्वन्थ जनत स्थापन की लाग् करती है।

[सं. एस-35017/165/82-पी. एफ. 2]

S.O. 4432.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Scivextracts Limited, 135, Biplabi Rushbehari Basu Road, Calcutta-I, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said act to the said establishment.

INo. S-35017(165)/82-PF. III

का. आ. 4433 : किन्द्रीय गरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैमर्स डाइनामिक अलाइड कारपोरेशन, 16-बी, डोकर रोड, कलकला-19, नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुपंख्या इस बान पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि और प्रकीर्ण उपवन्ध अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपवन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लाग किए जाने चाहिए;

अतः केन्द्रीय मरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की अप-भारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शिक्तियों का प्रयोग करत हुए, उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन का लाग् करती है।

[सं. एस-35017/166/82-पी. एफ. 2]

S.O. 4433.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messis Dynamic Allied Corporation, 16-B, Dover Road, Calcutta-19, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said act to the said establishment,

[No. S-35017(166)/82-PF. II]

का. आ. 4434: —केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्म महादक इण्डस्ट्रीज, 18/3, हरीक नियोगी रोड, कलकत्ता-67, नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्म-चारियों की बहुसंख्या इस नात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्म-चारी भविष्य निधि और प्रकीर्ण उपबन्ध अधिनिदम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को न्लागू किए जाने चाहिए;

अतः केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की जुग-भारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शिक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लाग् करती है।

[सं. एस-35017/167/82-पी. एफ. 2]

S.O. 4434.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Mahadev Industries, 18/3, Harish Neogi Road, Calcutta-67, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaenous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35017(167)/82-PF II]

का. आ. 4435: जेन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स एल. बी. पिक्लिशर्स एण्ड डिस्टेब्य्टर्स (कलकत्ता), प्राईवेट लि., 232, कारनानी मैंनशन्स, 25 बी., पार्क स्ट्रीट, कलकत्ता-700016, नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्म- चारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि और प्रकीर्ण उपबन्ध अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए;

उतः कुन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा । की उप-धारा (4) द्वारा प्रदात शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उक्त अधिनियम को उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को नाम करती है।

[सं. एम-35017/242/82-पी. एफ. 2]

S.O. 4435.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messis L. B. Publishers and Distributors (Calcutta) (Private) I imited, 232, Karnani Mansions, 258, Park Street, Calcutta-16 have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said act to the said establishment.

!No. S-35017(242)/82-PF. III

का. आ. 4436 :— केन्द्रीय मरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स जिनिथ ऐक्सपोर्ट्स लिमिटेड, 19, आर. एन. मूखर्जी रोड, कलकत्ता-700001, तथा ईसाकी फैक्ट्री, 145, गोपाल लात टेगोर रोड, कलकत्ता-35, नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मवारियों की बहुमंरमा इस बात पर सहगद हो गई है कि कर्मवारी भविष्य निधि और प्रकीर्ण उपबन्ध अधि-नियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध जक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए;

अतः कंन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की जंध-धारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शिक्तयों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागु करती है।

[सं. एस-35017/246/82-पी एफ.-2]

S.O. 4436.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Zenith Exports Limited, 19, R. N. Mukherjee Road, Calcutta-1 including its factory at 145, Gopal Lal Tagore Road, Calcutta-3, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35017(246)/82-PF. II]

का. आ. 4437: कन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स एम. एम. डीजल सिंवसेस, 2 हरीमोहन घोष रोड, रामनगर, कलकत्ता-24, नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि और प्रकीर्ण उपबन्ध अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए;

अतः केन्द्रीय मरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उप-धारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उक्त अधिनियम की उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन की लागू करती है।

[सं. एस-35017/247/82-पी. एफ. 2]

<u>-- -----</u>

S.O. 4437.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in telation to the establishment known as Messrs M. M. Diesel Services, 2, Harimohan Ghosh Road, Ramnagar, Calcutta-24, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said act to the said establishment.

(No. S. 35017(247)/82-PF, III

नई दिल्ली, 7 दिसम्बर, 1982

का. आ. 4438: — केन्द्रीय सरकार द्वारा भारतीय नियोजक परिषद् के परामर्क से कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा 10 की उप-धारा (1) के खण्ड (ङ) के अधीन नाम निर्विष्ट चिकित्मा प्रमृत्रिधा परिषद् के सदस्य द्वा पी. पी. सन्धानम ने भारतीय नियोजक परिषद् का प्रतिनिधिष्ट करना बन्द कर दिया है;

अत: अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनयम की धारा 12 की उप-धारा (2) द्वारा प्रवत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, यह पोषणा करती है कि डा. पी. पी. सन्धानग, राजपत्र में इस अधिसूचना के प्रकाशन की तारीख से, चिक्तिसा प्रगृतिधा परिषद के सदस्य नहीं रहेंगे।

[संख्या यू . -16012/8/82-एच अर्थ . 3

New Delhi, the 7th Dectmber, 1982

S.O. 4438.—Whereas the Central Government is of the opinion that Dr. P. P. Santhanam, a member of the Medical Benefit Council nominated in consultation with the Council of Indian Employers under clause (e) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948) has ceased to represent the Council of Indian Employers;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of sub-section (2) of section 12 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government hereby declares that Dr. P. P. Sanathanam shall cease to be a member of the Medical Benefit Council from the date of publication of this notification in the official Gazette.

[No. U-16012/8/82-HIN

नई दिल्ली, 8 विसम्बर, 1982

का. आ. 4439 : — केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैं सर्म वी मेंटल्स बोक्स इम्प्लाईज को-ओपरेटिय करेडिट सोसाइटी लिमिटेड, पी. 48 हाईड रोड, ऐक्सटेन्शन, कल-कत्ता-27, नामक स्थापन से सहबद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियो की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई हैं कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि और प्रकीर्ण उपबन्ध अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए;

अत: केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उध-धारा (4) द्वारा प्रवत्त शिक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू करती है।

[सं. एस-35017/248/82-पी. एफ. 2]

New Delhi, the 8th December, 1982

S.O. 4439.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs The Metal Box Employees' Co-operative Credit Society Limited. P-48 Hide Road, Extension, Calcutta-27, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35017(248)/82-PF.II]

का. आ. 4440 :— केन्द्रीय सरकार को गह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्ग जो. एन. मुखर्जी एण्ड कम्पनी (प्राइवेट) लिमिटेड, 20, स्ट्रान्ड रोड, कलकत्ता-1, नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोज्जल और कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहसत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि और प्रकीर्ण उपबन्ध अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लाग किए जाने चाहिए;

अत केन्द्रीय मरकार, उक्त अभिनियम की धारा ए की ज्ञान्धारा (4) द्वारा प्रवत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उक्त अधिनियम को उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू करती हैं।

> [सं. एस-35017/249/82-पी. एक. 2] ए. के. भटटराई, अंदर सचिव

S.O. 4440.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs J. N Mukherjee and Company (Private) Limited, 20, Strand Road. Calcutta-1, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellancous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35017(249)/82-PF. III A. K. BHATTARAI, Under Secy.

म्रावेश

नई दिल्ली, 25 सितम्बर, 1982

का० आ० 4441.—केन्द्रीय सरकार की राय है कि इससे उपाबद अनुसूची में विनिधिष्ट विषय के बारे में (६) सेन्द्रल कैटल बीडिंग फार्म, अलमाधी, (2) क्षेत्रीय स्टेशन फार फोरेज प्रोडक्शन एण्ड डिमान्सट्रेशन, अलमाधी, के प्रबन्धमंत के संबंध में एक भौद्योगिक विवाद नियोजकों भीर उनके कर्मचारों के बीच विद्यमान है।

ग्रीर केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्स विवाद को न्यायनिर्णयन के लिए निर्वेशित करना बाछनीय समझती है ;

ग्रस: भ्रध, केन्द्रीय सरकार, श्रौद्योगिक विवाद मिधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 7-क भीर धारा 10 की उपवास (1) के खब (घ) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयाग करने हुए, एक प्रीयोगिक श्रीव्याण गठित करनी है जिसके पीठासीन प्रधिकारी श्री टी० श्ररूल राज होंगे, जिसका सुख्यालय भद्रास से होता भीर उक्त निवाद को उक्त श्रीधकरण को न्यायनिर्णयन के लिए निर्देशित करती है।

प्रकृत्युषी

"240. प्राकिस्मक कर्मकारण का, जिन्हा "एपीकल्चर एण्ड इरिगेशन वर्कस पृतियन का प्रतिनिधार करती है, (1) संद्रूल केटल क्रीडिंग फार्म भीर (2) क्रेन्नाय स्टेशन कार कोरेज प्रोडक्शन एण्ड डिलास्ट्रेशल, प्रशासाधी के सबझ में नियोजको द्वारा उनको निलियत न क्षिण जाने से इन्यार किया जाना न्यायंग्वित है ? यद नहीं, तो कर्मकार किया प्रमुत्तेष के हकवार है भीर किस जाराख से ?"

[स॰ एल-42011/::/81-डी. ३(की)]

ORDER

New Delhl, the 25th September, 1982

S.O. 4441.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of (1) Central Cattle Breeding Farm, Alamadhi (2) Regional Station for Forage Production and Demonstration Alamadhi and their workmen in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto annexed.

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 7A, and clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10, of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby constitutes an Industrial Tribunal of which Shri T. Arul Raj shall be the Presiding Officer, with headquarters at Madras and refer the said dispute for adjudication to the said Tribunal.

SCHEDULE

"Whether the demand of the 240 casual workmen represented by Agriculture & Irrigation Workers' Union for their regularisation by the employers in relation to (1) Central Cattle Breeding Farm and (2) Regional Station for Forage Production and Demonstration, Alamadhi is justified? If so, to what relief are the workmen entitled and from what date?"

[^{NT}]. I -42011(22) /81 IJ(B)]

आदेश

नई विरुषी, 11 दिसम्बर 1981

का ० आ ० 4442.— एयर इडिया के प्रवत्त्वात्त से सम्बद्ध नियाभ को श्रीर उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, जिनका प्रतिनिधित्व (1) एयर इंडिया इस्पलाइज गिरुड, (2) एयर कारपोरेशन इस्प्लाइज यूनियन भौर (3) इडियन एयर-काफ्ट टैक्नीशियन एसोमिएशन करनी है, एकं भीडोगिक विवाद विद्यमान है;

श्रीर उक्त नियोजको श्रीर कर्मकारों ने श्रीशोगिक विवाद श्रधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 10-क की उपधारा (1) के उपजन्त्रों के श्रनुसरण में एक लिखिन करारो द्वारा उक्त विवाद को उनमें विजित व्यक्ति के मान्यस्थम के लिए निर्देशित करने का करार कर लिया है श्रीर उक्त माध्यस्थम करार की एन प्रति केन्द्रीय सरकार को भेजी गई है,

भतः, भवः, उक्त भिश्चिम्म, की धारा 10-क की उपयान (3) के उपजन्यों के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त माध्यत्यम हारारों को , षो उने 28-11-198 दे को मिला था, प्रकाणित करती है।

5152

(भाँगोगिक विवाद ग्रिधिनियम, 1947 की धारा 10 ए के श्रश्नीन) पक्षकारों के नाम

नियानकों का प्रतिनिधित्व करने वाले

- श्री के० ए० सपत, उप निवेशक, गामिक एथर इंडिया, एखर इंडिया भवन, नरीमन प्याइट, बम्बई।
- अभि एम० एम० चौधरी, सलाह-कार, कर भीर खजाना, एभर इंडिया, एभर इंडिया भवन,* गरीमन व्याइट, बस्बई ।
- 3 श्री आर्ज भर्तमैट, श्रीसोगिक सबस्य प्रवत्यक, एत्रण इडिया, एश्रण इडिया भवन, नरीमन प्याइट, सन्वई ।
- 4 श्री पि⁹० एम० ठाकुर, श्रीचार्गक सबध प्रवन्धक , एभर इंडिया, एभर इंडिया भवन, नरीमन काइट, बम्बई ।

फर्मचारियों का प्रतिनिधित्य करने वार्ले 1. श्री के० ए०

- श्री के० ए० खान, प्रनरस सैकेटरी, एश्रम इडिया डम्प्ताइज गिल्ड, डी मैलो प्रवन, डी मैलो रोड, बम्बई ।
- १ श्री ए० व ० पाटनवार, शहरा पेजीबेट, एश्चर इक्टिया इस्साइज शिल्ड, की मेहा राउ, की मेला भवन, वस्चई ।
- उ. थां एमं० गालिब, मैक्वेटिरी, गुप्तर इडिया इस्प्लाईज गिलड, इ. मैलो भवन, डी मैलो रोड, बस्बई !
- 4 र्था टा० पी० सित्, नैकेटरी, एअर इंडिंग इम्प्लाईज गिल्ड, डा मैलें 'वन, डी मैलो रोड, मम्बई।

पक्षकारों के धाव निराशिक्षात श्रीद्योगित विवाद का न्यामृति सीठ डीठ दी**वे बैक** के राजनेमेणन, बम्बई, के मध्यस्थम के तिए निर्देशित करने का करार किया गया है।

(1) विनिर्दिष्ट विवाद ग्रम्न (वषय

लेखा पद्धा। और वर्ष के दौरान प्राप्त जन्मादिकता निक्कवी कादि को ध्यान में रखने हुए, क्या एक्सर इंडिया के कर्मचारी 31 भाषी, 198? को समाप्त हुए मेखा तर्ष के लिए बोनम सवाय प्रधि नियम के अर्थन धनने भजदूरी वरों के 12 35 प्रतिशत से प्रधिक की घर पर बोनस प्राप्त करने के हमवार है। यदि हा, तो समझित कर्मचारियों को देय बोनम की जॉर्नियन राशि यदि कोई हो, मरा है ?

(2) विवाद के पक्षकारों का विवरण, एझर इंडिया, जो वासु निगम जिसमें अंतृंबित स्थापन या अधियनम, 1953 (1953 का उपक्रम का नाम और पता भी 27) की धारा 3 के सबीन सम्मिलत है।

एग्नर इंडिया, जो वायु निगम अधियनम, 1953 (1953 का 27) की धारा 3 के मधीन स्थापन निगम है जिसका पर्जा-कृत कार्यालय एग्नर इंडिया भवन, नरीमन प्लाईट बम्बई, में हैं भीर भारत में इसके सभी प्रतिष्ठान भीर भारत में एग्नर इंडिया के सभी प्रतिष्ठानों में नियोजित सबी लामेंचाने, जिनना प्रतिनिधित्य एग्नर इंडिया इंस्लाइज पिंहड, बम्बई कारती है।

- (3) भारत में प्रभावित उपक्षम में नियोजित कर्मकारियों की कृष संख्या
- _0.00 **857011**

13740

(4) विवाद हारा श्रभावित य सम्भाव्यसः श्रभावित हीने वाने कर्मचारियों की प्रावक्षित संख्या 7000 समभूग

मध्यस्थ पापना पत्राष्ट सर्वाधित सरकार द्वारा सरकारी राजपत्त में करार के प्रकाशन की तारीच से छा सास की कालावधि या इतने भौर समय के भीतर जो हमारे श्रीच पारस्परिक लिखित छागर द्वारा बदाया जाय, देगा। यदि पूर्व वर्णित कालाविध के भीतर पंचाट नहीं दिया जाता तो साध्यस्थम के लिए निर्देश स्थता रह हो जाएगा भीर हम नए भाष्यस्थम के लिए निर्देश स्थता रह हो जाएगा भीर हम नए भाष्यस्थम के लिए नात्वीत करने को स्वतब होंगे।

पशकारों के प्रकाशकर

एभर इंडिया का प्रतिनिधित्व करने वाले

ह्र्व/-(केव्यूव सपत) 8-11-82 उप हुव/-(एमव्यूलव भीधरी) 8-11-82 विवेषभ-कार्मिक सलाहकार-कर घीर खजाना

ह्०/- (जार्ज नशीमैट) 8-11-82 भीकोकि प्रवस्थान

ह०/-(पी॰ एम॰ ठाकुर) 8-11-82 भौगोगिक संबंध प्रश्ननक एमर इडिया इम्प्लाइज्निएड का प्रति-विधिष्य करने वाने

हु०/-(के॰ ए॰ हु०/-(ए०वी॰ बाम) जनरल पाटनकर) मैकेटरी 8-11-82 बादस प्रैजीकेट

ह॰ /- (एम॰ ह॰/- (टी॰ पी॰ गालिक) सिंह) 8-11-82 सैकेटरी सैकेटरी

नाकी हु0/-(कें शाप्त बीत कें राष्ट्र) 8-11-82 कार्मिक श्रीधकारी एसर इंडिया, एसर इंडिया भवन, नरीमन प्याइंट, बस्बई।

ह०/-(ए० बम्बोपाध्याय) 87/19, बोस-पुकुष रोड, कलकता-700042 1056 GI/82---10

करार

भौगोिल विवाद स्रक्षितिमय, 1947 की धारा 10-र पक्तकारों के नाम

नियोजकों का प्रतिनिधिस्य करने काली । श्रो केठ एउ सात, कार्मिक एग्नर इंडिय इंडिया पतन, नरोगन पत बम्बई ।

- श्री जी० क्लोमेंट, श्रीबांगिक संबंध प्रवन्धक एश्चर इंडिया, वस्बई ।
- अश्रीएम०एम० सूरी, उप कार्मिक प्रबन्धक, एश्रर इंकिया, नई
 दिल्ली।

कर्मचर्गारमों का प्रतिनिधित्व करने वाले

- श्री उत्तम , सिंह फ्रध्यक्ष,
 एचर कारपोरेशन इम्प्लाइज यूनि यन, नई दिल्ली ।
- श्री पी० बी० नावानी, बाइस प्रेजीडैंट, एम्रट कारपीरेशन इन्ट-लाइज युनियन सम्बर्ध।
- श्री एम० एम० श्रोझा, सैकेटरी एखर कारपीरेशन द्रम्प्लाइज यूनियम करकत्ता !
- 4. श्री एल० के० प्रामीपाध्याय ज्वाहंट सैंकेटरी, एग्नर कारपोरे-णन कम्प्लाकज युनिसन, धम्बई।

पद्मकारों के बीच सिम्नलिखिन धौद्योगिक विवाद को त्यायमूर्ति सी० बी० बीचे, बैंकचे रोक्लेमेशन, सम्बद्ध के माध्यस्थ्म के लिए निर्देशित करने का करार किया गया है।

(1) विनिर्दिष्ट विवाद ग्रस्त विषय

लेखा पद्धति और वर्ष के दौगन उत्पादिकता निम्कर्षो प्रावि को ध्यान में रखते हुए, क्या एप्पर इंडिया के कर्मचारी 31 मार्च, 1982 को समाप्त हुए लेखा-वर्ष के लिए बोनस संदाय प्रधिनियम के प्रधीन प्रपनी वरी के 12.29 प्रतिशत से प्रधिक की दर पर बोनस प्राप्त करने के हकदार हैं ? यदि हां, तो संबंधित कर्मचारियों को देय बोनम की धनिरिक्त राशि, यदि कोई हो, क्या है ?

(2) विवाद के पक्षकारों का विवरण एग्नर इंजिया, जो वायु निगम जिसमें ग्रंतविश्वित स्थापन या उपक्रम प्रधिनियम, 1953 (1953 का नाम भौर पता भी सम्मिलित है। का 27) की धारा 3 के प्रधीन

र्घर इंडिया, जो सायु निनम प्रधिनियम, 1953 (1953 का 27) की धारा 3 के घड़ीन स्थापित निगम है, जिसका पंजी-कृत कार्यालय एअर इंडिया भवन, नरीमन प्लाइंट बस्बाई में है और भारत में इसके सभी प्रक्रिकान

मीर

भारत में पृथर इंडिया के सर्घा प्रतिष्ठालों में नियोणित क्रमी कर्मचारी जिनका प्रतिनिधित्व एश्वर कारपोरेशन इस्प्लाइज युनियन करती है।

्त में प्रभावित उपक्रम 13740 गत कर्मचारियों की संख्या

(4) विवाद द्वारा प्रशाबित या 7000 या सम्भाव्यतः प्रावित होने वाले कर्मचारियो की प्राक्कलित संख्या

मध्यस्य प्रथमा पंचाट मंबंधित सरकार द्वारा सरकारी राज्यस्य में इस करार के प्रकाणन की तारीख से छः माम की कालाविद्य या इसमें प्रीय समय के भीतर जी हमारे बीच पारम्परिक लिखित करार द्वारा बद्धावा आये, देगा। यदि पूर्व वर्णित कालाविद्य के भीचर पंचाट नहीं दिया जाता तो माध्यस्थ्म के लिए निदेश स्वतः रद्द हो जाएगा ग्रीर हम नए माध्य-स्थम के लिए बातचीत करने की स्यतंत्र होंगें।

पक्षकारों के हस्ताकर

एमर इंडिया का प्रतिनिधित्य करने वाले एप्रर कारपीरेशन इष्ण्पाहम यूनियन का प्रतिनिधित्व करने वाले

- (ह०)--के० ए० सपत, जप निवेशक, फार्मिक
- (ह॰) (उस्तम सिंह) प्रध्यक्ष, ७-11-82
- (ह०) (ए० सव्यम्बद्धाः), उपकासिक प्रवस्थक
- (ह्०) पी० बी० गवानी), उपाध्यक्ष 9-11-82
- (ह०) (जॉ० क्लामेंट), फीफोरिक संबंध प्रवन्धक
- (ह०) (एम० एम० मोझा) जनरल सेकेटरी, 4-11-82 (ह•) (एल० के० ग्रामोध्याय)
- (ह•) (एल० के० ग्रामोध्याय) ज्याहंट सैकेटरी

साक्षी

- (ह०)-- (रयुवंश नागः) (क्षेत्रीय सचिव)
- ह०(०)--- (ए०आए० बालासुबह-मण्यम्) क्षेत्रीय मन्त्रिव
- (ह०)--- (डी० एस० कोहली) कार्मिक प्रधिकारी
- (ह०)--- (एम० सुकन्दन) सहावक कार्मिक मधिकारी
- (ह०)-→(जी० सी० जुन्जू) कोझीय सचिव
- (ह०)---(सी० यू० छीछारेजा) सहायक कार्मिक प्रविकारी

तारीया नवम्बर ०१, १९८२

करार

(भौषोणिक विवाद श्रिष्ठित्यम, 1947 की धारा 10-क के मधीत) पक्षकारों के नाम:

नियोजकों का प्रतिनिधित्व करने वासे

- भी के० ए० सपत, उप निदेशक, कार्मिक एअर इंग्रिया
- श्री जी० क्लोमैंट, ग्रीशोधिक संबंध प्रवस्थक, एमर इंडिया, वस्यहा
- कर्मजारियों का प्रतिनिधित्व करने वाले
- 1 श्री पी० श्रे० पिरोजिया, जनरल सैनेटरी, इंडियन एझरकाफ्ट टैननीणियन एसोसिएसन सम्बर्ध।
- 2 की सीं० जे० सिंह, कैयरमैन, (धम्बई) (क्षेत्र) इंडियान एभरकाफ्ट टैक्नांबियत एसो-शिएम, बम्बई ।

- अधी में। पी० सी० पिल्सै, सैकेटरी (बन्मई क्षेत्र) इंडियन एअरकाफ्ट टैम्नीशियन एरो-सिएयन, जम्बई।
- अधि औ० एस० क्षेत्र देव, सहि। क्षेत्र क्षेत्र), इंडियन मैक्केटरी, (बन्धई क्षेत्र), इंडियन एसरफाफ्ट टैक्नी जियन एसी-सिएशन, बम्बई ।

पक्तकारों के बीच निम्निशिखित श्रीबोधिक विवाद को न्यापम्पि सीठ ही दिया के से रोलेक्स्मणन, सम्बद्ध के मध्यस्थम के निर्विधित करने का करार विधा गया है।

(1) विनिविष्ट विवादग्रस्त थियन

लेखा पढिति धौर वर्ष के दौरान प्राप्त उत्पाविकता निष्कवों मादि को छ्यान में रखते हुए, क्या एग्रर इंडिया तो कर्मचारी 31 मार्च, 1982 को समाप्त हुए सेखा वर्ष के लिए बोनस संदाय प्रधिनियम के प्रवीन अपबूर्ण मजदूरी वरों के 12.25 प्रति-, शत से श्रीधिक की दर पर बोनम प्राप्त करने के हकदार हैं ? यदि हा, तो संबंधित कर्म-चारियों को देव बोनम की श्रीतिरंकत राशि, यदि कोई हो क्या है ?

(2) विवाद के पक्षकारों का विवरण, जिसमें अंतिकालित स्थापना या उपक्रम का नाम भीर पता भी सम्मिलित है।

एअर इंडिया, को नामु निगम प्रिक्षिनियम, 1953 (1953 को 27) को द्वारा 3 के प्रधोन स्थापित निगम है, जिसका पंजीकृत कार्यालय एअर इंडिया भवन, नरीमन प्वाइंट, यस्बई में है और बारत में इसके सभी प्रसिष्टान

भीर

भारत में एअर इंडिया के सभी
प्रतिष्ठानों में नियोजिन सभी
कर्मचारी जिनका प्रतिक्रियन इंडियन एअरकास्ट टैक्नोणियन एसोसिन्धन करनो है।

- (3) भारत में प्रभावित जनकम में 13740 नियोजित कर्मभारियों की शुल संख्या
- (4) विवाद द्वारा प्रभावित या 1850 सम्माययतः प्रभावित होने जासे मर्मचारियों की प्राक्शनित संख्याः

मध्यस्य ध्रमना पंचाट संबंधित सरकार द्वारा सरकार। राज्यस में इस करार के प्रकाणन की तारांध्य तो छः मास की का नावधि या इतने और समय के भीतर जो हमारे बीच पारस्मिक लिखिन करार द्वारा बढ़ाया आय, थेगा। यदि पूर्व विणित कालाविध के भीतर पंचाट नहीं विधा जाता, तो माध्यस्यम के लिए नोवधि स्वतं रहर हो आएगा भीर असे नए बाक्सभा के लिए बाक्सभी करने की स्वतंत्व होंगे।

पक्षकारी के हस्ताक्षर

एक्रर इंजिया का प्रतिनिधित्य करने वाले

ह०--- (के० ए० सपत्)

ह० (जॉ० कसीमैंट)

उप-निदेशक-कार्मिक

ध्यौद्योगिक संअंज प्रबन्धक

इडियन एग्ररकापट टैंक्नोशियन का प्रतिनिधित्य करने वाले

हरू-- (पी० जेर निपरेतिस्या)

ह०-(२० जो० सिष्ट्)

जनरल सैकेटरी

घेयरमैन

हरू-- (केर पार सीर पिरलें)

त्र०∽-(जी० एस० ६व)

सैनेटरं/

सहायक सैकेटरी

साक्षी

ह०-- (जे० पीं० वयसानी) ह०-- (के० रघुगम रेंड्डी) ह०-- (डो० बी॰

go - (केo झार० मीo केo राख) 12-11-82 कासिक प्रधिकारी

तारीख . 12 नवम्बर, 1982

भैने भौदांगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 की घारा 10-क के प्रधीन फार्म "सी" में हस्ताक्षर किए गए करारों की प्रतियों को देख जिस है, जिस पर एग्रर इंडिया और एमर इंडिया एम्पलाइज भिन्ड के बीच 8 नथम्बर, 1982 को, एअर इंडिया भीर एग्रर कारपारेशन एम्पलाइज यूनियन के बीच 9 नवस्वर, 1982 को झीर इंडियन एवरकाफ्ट टैक्नेशियन एसंशिएमन के बीच 12 नवस्थर, 1982 को हस्ताक्षर किए गए थे जित्से पदाकारों ने मेरे माध्यस्यम के लिए उसमें उल्लिखिस प्रीचानिक विवादों की निर्देशित करने का करार कर लिया है।

मैं उक्त करारों में मध्यस्य के रूप में काम करने के लिए अपनी सहमित देता है।

स्०/ ' सी० टो० दीथे, मध्स्य [संख्या एम-11013/1/82-को [[(बी)] nao एस० पराशर, शैस्क श्रविकारी

ORDER

New Delhi the 11th, December, 1982

S.O.4442-Whereas an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of Air India, Bombay and their workmen represented by : (1) Air India Employees Guild, (2) Air Corporations Employees' Union and (3) Indian Aircraft Technicians' Association.

And whereas, the faid employers and their workmen have by written agreements under sub-section (1) of section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Ast, 1947 (14 of 1947), agreed to refer the said dispute to arbitration and have forwarded to the Central Government a copy of the said arbitration agreement;

Now therefore, in pursuance of sub-section (3) of section 10A of the said Act, the Central Government hereby publishes the said agreements which were received by it on the 26th November, 1982.

AGREEMENT

(Under Section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947) BETWEEN

Name of the Parties:

Representing employers.

1. Shri K.A. Sapat, Dy. Director-Personnel, Air-India. Alr-India Building, Nariman Point, Bombay.

2. Shri M.L. Choudhry, viser, Taxes and Tre-Air-India, Air-India Builc Nariman Point, Bombay.

3. Shri George Clement. Industrial Relations' Manager. Air-India, Air-India Building, Nariman Point. Bombay.

4. Shri P.M. Thakur, Indus-Relations' Manager. trial Air-India, Air-India Building, Nariman Point, Bombay.

1. Shri K.A. Khan, General Secretary, Air-India Employees' Guild, D' Mellow Bhawan, D'Mellow Road. Bombay.

2. Shrl A.V. Patankar, Vice President, Air-India Employees' Guild, D'Mellow Bhawan, D'Mellow Road Bombay.

3. Shrl M. Ghalib, Secretary, Air-Indla Employees' Guild. D'Mellow Bhawan, D'Mellow Road, Bombay.

4. Shri T.P. Slngh, Secretary, Air-India Employees' Gulld. D'Mellow Bhawan, D'Mellow Road. Bombay.

It is hereby agreed between the partles to refer the following in lustrial dispute to the arbitration of Justice C.T. Dighe, Reclamation, Bombay: Backbay

(i) Specific inztters in dispute;

Representing employees:

Whether the employees of Air-India are entitled to bonus under the Payment of Bonus Act, 1965 for the accounting year ended on 31st March, 1982 at a rate higher than 12.25% of their wages keeping in voiw he system of accounting and the productivity results obtained during the year?

If so, what is the additional quantum, if any, of bonus payable to the employees concerned?

the dispute including the name and address or the establishment undertaking involved:

(il) Details of the parties to Air-India, a Corporation established under Section 3 of Air-Corporations Act, 1953 (27 of 1953) having its registered office at Air Indla Buidling. Nariman Point, Bombay, and all its establishments In India,

And

All employees employed in all the establishments of Air-India in India, represented by Air-India Employees' Guild, Bombay.

number of emploemployed in the 13 740

rtaking affected in

, Estimated number of con- 7,000 approximately.

ployees affected or likely to be affected by the dis-

, pute:

The Arbitrator shall make his award within a period of six months from the date of publication of this agreement in the Official Gazette by the appropriate Government or within such further time as is extended by mutual agreement between us in writing. In case the award is not made within the period aforementioned, the reference to arbitration shall stand automatically cancelled and we shall be free to negotiate for fresh arbitration.

Signatures of the Parties

Representing Air-India:

Sd/-Sd/-(M.L. CHOUDHURY) (K.A. SAPAT) Adviser, Taxes and Treasury Dy. Director—Personnel 8-11-82 8-11-82

Sd/-

Sd/-

(GEORGE CLEMENT) (P.M. THAKUR) Industrial Relations' Manager Industrial Relations' Manager 8-11-82 8-11-82

Representing, Air-India Employees' Gulid:

Sd/-(K.A. KHAN) General Secretary 8-11-82

Sd/-(A.V. PATANKAR) Vice President 8-11-82

Sd/-(M. GHALIB) Secretary

Sd/-(T.P. SINGH) Secretary

WITNESSES

Sd/-Sd/-(M. BANDYOPADHYAY) (K.R.V.K. RAO) Personnel Officer. 87/19, Bosepukur Road. Air-India, Air India Building, Calcutta-700042. Nariman Point, Bombay.

AGREEMENT

(Under Section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947)) Betweeen

Names of the Parties:

Representing employers:

- 1. Mr. K.A. Sapat, Dy. Director-Personnel Air-India, Air-India Boilding, Nazimen Point, Bombay.
- 2. Mr. G. Clement, Industrial Relations' Manager, Air-India, Bombay.
- 3. Mr. S.M. Puri, Dy. Personnel Manager, Air-India, New Delhí.

Representing employees:

1. Mr. Uttam Singh, President, Ait Corporations Employees' Union, New Delhi.

- 2. Mr. P.B. Nawaney, Vice President, Air Corporations Employees' Union, Bombay.
- 3. Mr. M.M. Ojha, General Secretary, Air Corporations Employees' Union, Calcutta.
- 4. Mr. L.K. Gramopadhyay, Joint Secretary, Air Corporations Employees' Union, Bombay

it is hereby agreed between the parties to refer the following industrial Dispute to the arbitration of Justice C.T. Dighe, Backbay Reclamation, Bombay:

(i) Specific Matters in dispute:

Whether the employees of Air-India are entitled to bonus under the Payment of Bonus Act, 1965 for the accounting year ended on 31st March, 1982 at a rate higher than 12.25% of their wages keeping in view the system of accounting and the productivity results obtained during the year, etc.;

If so, what is the additional quantum, if any, of bonus payable to the employees concerned?

the dispute including the name and address of the establishment or undertaking involved:

(ii) Details of the parties to Air-India, a Corporation established under Section 3 of Air-Corporations Act, 1953 (27 of 1953) having its regd, office at Air-India Building. Nariman Point Bombay and all its establishments in India;

And

All Employees employed in all the establishments of Air-India in India, represented by Air Corporations Employees' Union.

(iii) I otal number of employees employed in the undertaking affected in India:

13,740

(iv) Estimated number of employees affected or likely to be affected by the disputa.

7,000

The Arbitrator shall make his award within a period of six months from the date of publication of this agreement in the Official Gazette by the appropriate Government or within such further time as is extended by mutual agreement between us in writing. In case the award is not made within the period aforementioned, the reference to arbitration shall stand automatically cancelled and we shall be free to negotiate for fresh arbitration.

Signatures of the Parties

Representing Air-India

Sd/-

(K.A. SAPAT)

Dy. Director-Personnel

Sd/-

(S.M. PURI)

Dy Personnel Manager

Sd/-

(G. CLEMENT)

Industrial Relations' Manager

Representing Air Corporations Employees Union.

Sd/-

(UTTAM SINGH) President

(М.М. ОЈНА) General Secretary

Sd/-

Sd/-

(P.B. NAWANEY)

(L.K. GRAMOPADHY)

Vice President

Sd/-

Joint Secretary

WITNESSES Sd/-

(RAGHUVANSH NAG)

(A.R. BALASUBRAMANIAN)

Regional Secretary Sd/-

Regional Secretary

(G.C. KUNDU)

Sd/-(D.S. KOHLI)

Regional Secretary

Personnel Officer

Sd/-

(K. KUKUNDAN) Asst. Personnel Officer (C.U. CKICHAREJA)

Date: November, 09, 1982

Asstt. Personnel Officer

AGREEMENT

(Under Section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947)

BETWEEN

Names of the Parties:

Representating Employers:

- 1. Mr. K.A. Sapat, Dy. Director-Personnel, Air-India, Air-India, Building, man Point, Bombay. Nari-
- 2. Mr. G. Clement, Industrial Relations Manager, Air-India, Bombay.

Representing employees:

- Mr. P.J. Piprodia, General Secretary, Indian Aircraft Technicians' Association, Bombay.
- 2. Mr. C.J. Singh, Chairman, (Bombay Region), Indian Aircraft Technicians' Association, Bombay.
- 3. Mr. K.P.C. Pillar, Secretary (Bombay Region), Indian Aircraft Technicians' Asso-Indian ciation, Bombay
- 4. Mr. G.S. Dev. Asst. Secretary (Bombay Region), In-dian Aircraft Technicians' Association, Bombay.

It is hereby agreed between the parties to refer the following industrial disputs to the arbitration of Justice C.T. Dighe, Bac bay Reclamation, Bombay.

(i) Specific Matters in dispute:

Whether the employees of Air-India are entitled to bonus under the payment of Bonus Act, 1965 for the accounting year ended on 31st March, 1982 at a rate higher than 12.25% of their wages keeping in view the system of accounting and the productivity results obtained during the year etc.

If so, what is the additio quantum, if any, of bonu, payable to the employeeemployed Concerned?

(ii) Details of the parties to the dispute including the name and address of the establishment of Undertaking involved:

Air-India, a Corporation established under Section 3 of Air Corporations Act, 1953 (27 of 1953) having its registered office at Air-India Building, Nariman Point, Bombay and all its establishments in India.

All Employees employed in all the establishments of Air-India in India, represented by Indian Aircraft Technicians' Association.

(iii) Total number of employees employed in the undertaking affected in India.

(iv) Estimated number of employees affected or likely to be affected by the dispuie.

1850

The Arbitrator shall make his award within a period of six months from the date of publication of this agreement in the official gazette by the appropriate Government or within such further time as is extended by mutual agreement between us in writing. In case the award is not made within the period aforementioned, the reference to arbitration shall stand automatically cancelled and we shall be free to negotiate for

Signatures of the Parties

Representing Air-India

fresh arbitration.

Sd/-Sd/-(G. CLEMENT) K.A. SAPAT) Dy. Director-Personnel Industrial Relations Manager Representing Indian Aircraft Technicians' Association Sd/-(P.J. PUPRODIA) (C.J. SINGH) General Secretary Chairman Sd/-(K.P.C. PILLAI) (G.S. DEV) Secretary Asst. Secretary

Witnesses

Sd/-Sd/-(k. RAGHURAM REDDY) (J.P. BABLANI)

Sd/-(D.P. TIWARI)

(K.R.V.K. RAO) Personnel Officer

Sd/-

Date: November 12, 1982.

I have seen the copies of the Agreements signed in FORM C'under Section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 between Air-India and the Air-India Employees' Guild on 8th November 1982, between Att-India and the Air-Corporation Employees' Union on 9th November 1982, and between Air-India and the Indian Aircraft Technicians' Association on 12th November 1982, wherein the parties have agreed to refer the Industrial Disputes mentioned therein for my arbitration.

I, hereby, give my consent to be the Arbitrator in terms of the said Agreements.

> C. T. DIGHE, Arbitrator [F. No. L-11013(1)/82-D. H(B)] S. S. PRASHER, Desk Officer

प्रवर्तम मिर्देशालयं

(विवेशी सुद्धा विभिन्नमन अधिनियम)

नई दिल्ली, 18 नवम्बर, 1982

का॰ आ॰ 4441 -- विवेशी मुद्रा विनियमन शिविनियम, 1973 (1973 का 46) को घारा 79 द्वारा दी गई शिक्तियों का प्रयाग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार द्वारा बनाई गई विवेशी मुद्रा विनियमन (नाम प्रकाशन) नियमावली, 1975 के श्रनुसरण में प्रवर्तन निवेशक इसके द्वारा (दिनोक 7-5-77 से 5-8-1977 तक की तीन माह की श्रवधिके लिए) निम्नलिखित व्यक्तियों के नाम और श्रन्य क्योरे ालशित करते हैं :---

- (क) वे व्यक्ति जिन्हें विवेशी मुद्रा विभियमन प्रविनियम, 1973 र्का धारा 56 के प्रवीन न्यायालयों द्वारा दोषसिद्ध किया जा चुका है या जिन्हें विवेशी मुद्रा विनियमन प्रविनियम, 1947 की घाराँ 23 की उपधारा (1) में निविद्ध किसी उपबंध के उल्लंबन के लिए लायालयो द्वारा दोषसिद्ध किया जा चुका है; और
- (बा) वे व्यक्ति जिन्हें निर्देशी मुद्रा निनियमन अधिनियम, 1973 की आरा 50 के प्रधीन न्यायनिर्णयन अधिकारियो द्वारा स्यायनिर्णय करके पेनास्टी के लिए दाया ठहराया गया है भाषा ये व्यक्ति जिनके निरुद्धार, प्रवर्तन निदेशालय या इस बारे में निर्देशक, प्रवर्तन निदेशालय की प्रक्रियों के प्रयोग और उनके कर्तव्यों के निर्वहन के लिए प्राधिकृत प्रवर्तन निदेशालय के किसा अन्य प्रधिकारों ने यह न्यायनिर्णय दिया है कि उन्होंने विदेशों मुद्रा विनियमन अधिनियम, 1947 की धारा 23 की उपधारा (1) में निर्विष्ट किसो उपबंध का उल्लंबन किया है, जहां
 - (1) उस व्यक्ति के निरुद्ध किसी पिछले भवसर पर इसी प्रकार न्याय निर्णयन दिया गया हो भयवा उसे दोषसिद्ध किया जा चुका हो; था
 - (ii) न्याय निर्णयन अधिकारी द्वारा लगाई गई पैनाल्टी उन भारताय मुद्रा था विवेशी युद्रा के मूल्य महिल जिसके जर्रत करने के आदेश विये गए हैं ६० 10,000,00 यह उससे अधिक हो।

7-5-77 से ७-8-77 तक फ--- उपर्युक्त के अनुसार दोषसिद्ध व्यक्ति

	पार्टी का नाम	भ्रौर पता	वि०मु०वि० ग्रधिनियम के उपबन्ध, जिनका उल्लं षन हुग्रा है	टी गई सजा के स्पोरे		
1		2	3	4	5	6
				- W.		

7-5-77 से 6-8-1977 नक

ब--उपर्युक्त के अनुसार न्यायनिणीत **म्या**क्ति

 अप्र सं०	पर्टी का नाम भौर पता	वि०मु०थि० प्रक्षितियन भा उपबन्ध जिनका उल्लंबन हु भा है	पेनाल्डी स्पर्यों में	भारतोय या/श्रीत विवेशी करेन्सी की आदेशिस जक्ती	स्यदेश वापस माने के लिए भादेशिस विदेशो मुद्रा की राशि
1	2 *	J	4	3	6
	बेस्टियन परहता हंउस्ट्रोज मौर उनके नियेशक योज रेंज, कथकसा-7	12(2)	1 ধাৰ	<u></u>	
	१०एकः० काजोरियः, विद्यानः सरणी, शतकारा-६	4(1)	15,000		
मं० 1	िटो॰ प्ररुणाश्वसम्, (, पानसिरा स्ट्रीट, ासु, मद्रास	5(1)(कक) 5(1)(ग)	1,500	न्∘ 15,000	- Magney
4. वै ससं	 सं:०न्नार० भीतिवासन रंगलाथन, एवेण्यू, जत्नात-10	4(3)	4,40,000		<u></u>

1 2	3	4	5	8
 श्री कै०एन० बंसल मैससं ईस्टर्न रेडियो एण्ड जनरल सप्लाई क्रिली 	धारा 9 कं∘,	30,000		J
 श्री जी०एन० एस० संसूर 295, विक्वोरिया स्ट्रीट, सिगापुर 	5 (1)(新斯)	10,000		
 श्री बलदेव राज भनोट डारामैसर्स राज इंटरनेशनल ट्रेक्टस 102, मोहन सिंह पैलैंस, नई दिल्ली 	8(1)	8,000	भमरीकी कालग 37%	
 श्री प्रमृतलाल बा॰ देलाई 12, पितालिल प्रपार्टमें द्स तांत्रा थल, नानपुरा स्ट्रीट गुजरात स्टेट i 	4(1) 4(1)	25,000		
 (1)श्मी जे० एन० कजारिया कजारिया क्रुंज, एस०वी०रोब, बोरोवली बम्बई-92 	4(1)9 श्रीर 5(1)(का)	20,000		
(ii) श्री नरनदास गोधनदास झजारिया कजारिया क्रुंज, बस्बई-92				
10. (i) मैससं यूतियत इंडस्ट्रिल एंड कमिशिय भस्कटी हाउस कमरा त० 1 76, मोहम्बद श्रती, बम्बई	ल 'मं॰ 12(2) ग्रीर 10(1)	75,000		
 (ii) श्री याकूब स्भाइल दादाभाय भैसर्ल यूनियन इंडस्ट्रिल एंड कर्माशिय प्रीप्राइटर 	ज क० के			
 (i) मैससं भवी भवसं 103, एम०ए० रोड, बम्बई-3 	4(1)	15,250	पाकिस्तानी ए० 3,385	 -
(ii) भी भली हुसेन भक्तबरली	9 5(1)(ध) 10(और)		पीन्ड 16, प्रमरीकी डालर 14, कुवैत बीनार 11	
(iii) श्री कादरप्रली चकवरली	12(2)		डालर <i>4,</i> क्यूडीझार	
(iv) स्त्री फजोई श्रम्यास कुरवान हुसेन झत्तरः	गला		1477, बंगला देश 9 में फिलस 3,300	
12. श्री पी०के० खमा द्वाराहोटल हेरिटेज, बादकुल्ला, बम्बई	4(1)	६० 250 /	भ्रमरीकी कालर 2,730 पोन्क 2,019.07	
13. डा० एल० एन० चोधरी द्वारामैसर्स नेमाई हाजरा चौधरी बादामतसा, कलना रोड, बर्देशन	1973 का 14	20,000		
14 श्री पी०के० मुखर्जी 117-सी, जोधपुर पार्क, कलकला	1947 का 4(1)	75,000	₹ 0 768	
15. श्री सुदर्शन कुमार मोबी सुपुत्र श्री एच० शार० मोबी, मोबी भवन, विल	s(1) भी	32,600	पींड 1125 द्वेबलर चैंक पींड 300 घम- रीक डालर 23	দ্ভ 29,200
16. श्री देवदरः मग्र वार्ष श्री-24/3, ग्राधित नगर, दिल्मी	8(1) ग्रीर 14	14,000		
17. भी ए० डी० सम्रवाल बी-24/3,मक्ति नगर,दिस्सी	16(f) 8(1)मीर (14)	10,000		
18. भी ए० सी० माको शलुमुधिल हा ऊस, चेंगा मु र, केरन्य	५(१) (-इक)	25,000	55,680	
19. श्री के॰ कृष्णामूर्ति 27, मासिलामणिमुदशी स्ट्रीट, बालाजी नगर	९(।) (छ। ,मद्रास-14	-,	10 490	
20. श्रीमती राजन्मा जाणं हाराश्री पी०ए रोशिनोयुभिससिटी रोड, पलायम, जिबें इस	্ত জার্জ 5(1)(ক্ষঞ্চ)	10,000	4,000	

1	2	. 3	4	5	II—Sec.	
21.	मारायण दास के ० ओशी	5(1)(年程)				
	म० 56, मोहम्मद प्रली रोड, करीम विस्तिब, हुस	्राप्तात् पंतन	10,000	~-		
	बस्धर्-3					
22.	श्री ६० हम गाँ	5 (1) (क्क)	27.000			
	सुपुत्र श्री ईलाथ घली	5(1)(π)	27,000	~_		
	र्काइट हाउस पयाभूर पो० भा० जिला− -ककाम्र	7(1)(4)				
23.	स्री के व्याला कृष्ण	5(1)(柘松)	= 000	40.700		
	पवमा निवास	3 (1) (क्ल)	5,000	49,800		
	हुंड पोस्ट आफिम के पास ते हिलकों ती	-1-/(/				
	श्री एम० पी० मधुसूदलम्		5 000			
	श्री ठी०एम० कुन पातस्त्रीयार		5,000			
24.	श्री वी ० मुधुकरूपन्	5(1)(略略)				
~ ~	सिलाध्वानी गोपनी	\$(1)(¶)	25,709	₹० ३,9 00		- •
	नार्थं स्क्रीट देवकोद्दाइ	3(1)(4)				
95.	भी के ० सिंगारम, थॅकराई	5 (1) (भका)				
10,	यो ० म्रा ० मधिकारम	३(१)(चन) ५(१)(प)	25, 00 ●	रं० 11 500		
	तिरुपद्ग र तासुक, जिला-राममं	(1)(1)				
26	क्री एम ० हमणा नरीकार	12(2)				
40	11, साउच स्ट्रीट नापीर, संजोर	12(2)	10,000			
0.7	भी के ब्यो ब्रेस व्यक्तुल लती क	5(1)(虾缸)				
41-	21/121, श्रीहयाप्पा नाइकर स्ट्रीह, नवास	5(1)(πη) 5(1)(π)	60,000	7-7		
9 0	द्वी एस ॰ प्रशानियाच्या विद्विद् या र	5(1)(刊) 5(1)(單)				
L O.	१ थी, मेन रोड	9(1) (4)	13 300	To 20 000		
	चित्र, सर्वे । चित्र व्यवे ,	5(1)(年前)				
	तमिलनाङ्	5(1)(ग) 5(1)(ग)				
	सी ए० झार० लक्ष्मणन त वेड्डिया र					
	क्षा एउ आए० जावनानार काक्कपार 128, मोक्षीलाल स्कृष्टि	4 (1)	27,000			
	•	9				
	र्शवमृर, जला-रामनव	9(1) (理)				
,	जुला-राच्यव	4(1)				
	मी सी ० जान कुरिय न को र	4(1)				
		4(1) और 9	30,000	~-		
	भीमती भव्यात्मा कृ रियंक	8 भीर 14				
	हुबाकरम,	9				
1	चपावनम् पोस्ट केन्स्र केन्द्रभग	14				
	जिला कोड्टायम	14				
		29(1)(*)				
		19(1)(1)				
		4(1), B(1)				
		14				
	ी मोटा सिंह	5(1)(¶)	10,000			
9	पुत्र श्री प्याच्य सिंह	5 (1) (कक्त)				
		4(1)				
2 %	ोभनी गुरुवेस कौर,	9(1)(41)	13,000	~ =		
4	.54, माश्रल टाउन,	8(1)				
₹	ुधियाना	9 (1) (47)				
3. 4	- ो फोलो सिंह	5(1)(布布)	7,000			
	पुत्र श्री वेलासिह	5(1)(π)	3,000		•	
	कान न ० 1657/मी	- (- / \ \ /	J, 000			
	डीगढ़		10.000			
	। वदप्रत सहगर	_	10,000			
	। वयप्रत सहगल प्राइटर में सर्च सोम्यो एक्मपोर्टेस एं ण्ड इंपोर्टेस, लृधियाना		20,000			- -
अ	अहिटर न तम लान्या एक्तपादल एक्ट इपादल, लुवियाना					

Enforcement Directorate

(Foreign Exchange Regulation Act)

New Delhi, the 18th November, 1982

F. E. R. Act

- S.O. 4444.—In pursuance of the Foreign Exchange Regulation (Publication of Names) Rules, 1975 made by the Central Government in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 79 of the Foreign Exchange Regulation Act, 1973 (46 of 1973) the Director of Enforcement hereby publishes below (for the three months from 7-5-77 to 6-8-1977) the names and other particulars of—
 - (A) persons who have been convicted by courts under section 56 of the Foreign Exchange Regulation Act, 1973 or convicted by courts for contravention of any of the provisions specified in sub-section (1) of the Section 23 of F.E.R. Act, 1947; and
 - (B) persons who have been adjudged as liable to penalty by the adjudicating officers under Section 50 of the Foreign Exchange Regulation Act, 1973 or adjudged by the Director of Enforcement or any other officers of Enforcement authorised to exercise the powers and discharge the duties of the Director of Enforcement in this behalf, to have contravened any of the provisions specified in sub-section (1) of the Section 23 of the F.E.R. Act, 1947, where
 - (i) the person had, on a previous occasion, been similarly adjudged or convicted; or
 - (ii) the penalty imposed together with the value of the Indian Currency or foreign exchange ordered to be confiscated by the adjudicating officer is Rs. 10,000/- or above.

7-5-77 to 6-8-77

		'A'-PERSONS CONV	ICTED AS ABOV	'E	
S. No.	Name and address of the party	Provisions of the F.E.R.A. contravened	Particulars of sentence awarded	Particulars of confiscation ordered under section 23 (IB) of the FERA 1947 by the Court	Particulars of directions regarding bring- ing back into India of the Foreign Exchange
1	2	3	4	5	

7-5-77	to	6-8-197	7
--------	----	---------	---

'B'-PERSONS ADJUDGED, AS ABOVE

S. No. Name and address of the party	Provisions of F.E.R.A. contravened	Penalty in Rs.	Indian or/and Foreign Currency ordered to be confiscated	Amount of foreign exchange ordered to be repatriated
1 2	3	4	5	6
1. M/s. Christien Mica Industries and their Dire Range, Calcutta-7.	ctors, 4, Lyons 12(2)	1 Lakh		
2. Shri C.L. Bajoria, 212, Bidhan Sarani, Calcut	ta-6. 4 (1)	15,000		_
 Shri C.T. Arunachalam, No. 1, Pansira Stree Madras. 	t, Poonamalu, 5(1)(aa) 5(1)(c)	1,500	Rs. 15,000	_
4. M/s. C.R. Srinivasan 11, Ranganathan Avenu	e, Madras-10. 4(3)	4,40,000	 ,	
 Shri K.L. Bansal, M/s. Eastern Radio & Gener 5-E, Connaught Place, New Delhi. 	al Supply Co., Sec. 9	30,000		
6. Shri G.N.S. Mansoor, 295, Victoria Street	Singapore. 5(1)(aa)	10,000	_	
 Shri Baldev Raj Bhanot, C/o M/s. Raj Interna 102, Mohan Singh Palace, New Delhi. 	ational Travels, 8(1)	8,000	U.S.\$. 376	
 Shri Amrit Lal B. Desai, 12, Pinakin Apartme Nanpura Street, Gujarat State. 	nts, 3rd Floor, 4(1) 4(1)	25,000		_
 (i) Shri J.N. Kazeria, Kazaria Kunj, S.V. Re Bombay-92. (ii) Shri Narandas Godhandas Kajaria, K Bombay-92. 	5(1)(a)	20,008	_	

1	2	3	4	5	6
	 i) M/s Union Industrial & Commercial Co., Maskati House, R. No. 1, 76, Mohamedali Road, Bombay i) Mr. Yakoob Ismail Dadabhoy, Prop. of M/s. Union Industrial & Commercial Co., 	12(2) & 10(1)	75,000		- ·
11, (i) M/s Attari Bros, 103, M.A. Road, Bombay-3	4(1) 9	15,250	Pak Rs. 3,385	
(1	i) Shri Ali Hussain Akbaralı,	5(1)(d)		£16, U.S. S 1	4.
	i) Shri Kadarali Akbarali,	10(1)		K.D. 11 § 4	•
(iv) Shri Fazoe Abbas Kurban Ilussain Attarwala.	&		QDR 1477,	
		12(2)		V.D.91/4 Fins 3,300	
12, S hr	1 P.K. Khaona, C/o Hotel Heritage, Bycula, Bombay.	4(1)	Rs. 250/-	U.S. \$ 2,730 £2,019-07	
	L.N Chowdhury, C/o M/s Nemai Hazta Chowdhury, damtala, Kalna Road, Burdwan.	14 of 1973	20,000	_	
	ri P.K. Mukherjee, 117B, Jodhpur Park, Calcutta.	4(1) of 1947	75,000	R: 763	-
	i Sundorshan Kumar Modi, S/o Shti H.R. Modi, Modi awan, Delhi.	8(1)	32,600	£ 1125 T.C.£ 200 U 8.\$ 23	Rs. 29,200
16. Shi	ri Dev Dutt Agarwal, B-24/3, Shaku Nagar, Delhi.	8(1) & 14	14,000		
17, Shr	i A D. Agarwai, B-24/3, Shakti Nagar, Dolhi.	16(1) 8 (1) & 14	10,000	_	→
	C. Chacko, Alumuthil House, Chengannin Kerala.	5(1)(aa)	25,000	Rs. 55,680	→
Na	Krishnamoorthy, 27, Masilamani Mudali Street. Balaji gar, Madras-14.		_ -	10,000	_
Un	s. Rajamma George, C/o Mr. P.A. George, Roshmo iversity Road, Palayam, Trivandrum.	5(1)(an)	10,000	R4. 4,000	
Bui	rayan Das K. Joshi, No. 56, Mohamed Alt Road, Karim Iding, II Floor, Bombay-3.	5(1)(aa)	10,000	_	
Car	1E. Humza, S/o Elath Ali, Elatt House, Payyannur P.O., manore District	5(1)(aa) 5(1)(c)	27,000	_	•
Tell	Bala Krishan, Padma Nivas, Near Head Post Office, licherry, P. Madhusudanam	5(a)(an)	5,000 } 5,000 }	Rs. 49,800	~
	I. Kunappa Nambiar	5(1)(c)	5,000		
De	v. Muthukuruppan, Silambani Gorani, North Street, svakottai	5(1)(aa) 5(1)(o)	<u>25,700</u>	Rs- 3,900	
Tah	Singaram, Thankarai, Athikaram P.O., Tirupathuruk, Ramuad District.	5(1)(aa) 5(1)(c)	25,000	Rs. 11,500	_
	Hamza Naricar, 11, South Street, Nagore, Tanjore. O.S. Abdul Lateof, 21/121, Andiappa Naiker Street,	12(2) 5(1)(aa)	1 0,0 00 6 0, 000	=4 1	
	dras.	5(1)(c)	,,,,,,		
28. S. Tan	Palanlappa Chettiar, 1B, Main Road, Vikravandi, nilmadu.	9(1)(b)	13,300	R v. 20,000	
	0.5.77.4	5(1)(a.i) 5(1)(c)			
	7-5-77 to L. Lakshmananan Chettiar, 128, Motilal Street, Kanda-	6-8-1977 4(1)	27,000		<u>-</u>
nur	, Ramnad District.	9 9(1)(b) 4(1)			
	ohn Kurian & Smt. Abyanma Kurian, Kunnakaram, ngavanam Post, Kottayam District.	4(1) 4(1) & 9 8 & 14 9	30,0€3		
		14 14			
		29(1)(c)			
		19(1)(e)			
		4(1), 8(1) 14			
		1.7			

1	2	3	4	5	6
31. Shri Mota Singh S	O Shri Piara Singh	5(1)(c) 5(1)(u) 4(1)	10,000		
32 Smt Gurdev Kaur,	, 454, Model Town, Ludhiana	9(1)(b) 8(1) 9(1)(a)	13,000		_
33. Shri Pholo Singh Sa Chandigath	o Bela Singh, H No 1657/C,	5(1)(aa) 5(1)(c)	7 000 3,000 10,000		_
34 Shri Ved Brat Sheg Imports, Ludhiana	al, Prop. M/s. Sonyo Exports &		20,000		_

[No. F-19/4-Word/82] MS BINDRA, Director

नर्रियल्ली भमार्च, 1982 (विश्मुश्विच प्रक्षितियम्) ,

कार आर 4443 - विदेशी मुद्रा विनियमन प्रमिनियम, 1973 (1973 का 45) का धारा 79 द्वारा वो गई कक्षियों का प्रयोग करत हुए के द्वीर सरकार द्वारा बनाई गई विदेशी मुद्रा विनियमन (नाम प्रकाशन) नियमाधली 1975 के श्रनुमरण में प्रवर्तन निदेशक इनके द्वारा दिवाल 7-8-77 में 8-11-77 तक की (लीन माह की प्रविध के लिए) निम्योलिखिन व्यक्तियों के नाम और गण व्योगे प्रकाशन करते हैं --

- (क) वे व्यक्ति जिन्हे निवेशी सुद्रा विनियमन व्यक्तित्यम, 1973 की घार 55 के बाधीन स्थाय लयी द्वार दोवसिक्ष किया ज मुहा है हा ते हैं विदेशी मुद्रा विनियमन व्यक्तियम, 1947 की सारा 23 की उपवादा (1) में निविश्ट किसी उपवास के उल्लेखन के निर्म्यान निवी द्वारा दोवसिक्र किया का मुका है, और
- - (1) उस म्बन्ति के विरुद्ध किसी पिछने अवसर पर क्या प्रकार ना निर्मा दिनः गना हो अवतः उसे दोर्थानद्ध किया जा अका है, या
 - (1i) न्यायनिर्णयन मधिकारी द्वारा लगाई गई। पेनस्टी उस भारतीय मुद्रा था विदेशी मुद्रा के मूल्य सहित जिसके जब्त करने के आदेश दिए। ।।। हैं द॰ 10,000 00 दा उस से भविक हो।

7-8-71 में ७-11-77 तक क~-ज्यर्युक्त के अनुतार दोषसिद्ध व्यक्तिक्

		क~-उपर्युक्त के श्रनुतः			
क्रम सं०	पर्टी का नाम भीर पता	वि० मु० ति० प्रक्षि- चिथम के उपबक्ष, जिनका उल्लेखन हुआ ह		मु० ति० श्रक्षिनित्रम,	विदेशी मुद्रा के भारत वायस जाने विषयक निदेशी के क्यीरे
1	2	3	4	, 5	6
	ns				
			77 से 6-11-77 नह		
売 ○ €○	प⊥र्टी का नाम धीर पतः		प्रमुपार त्य थनिणीत ————————————————————————————————————		स्थवेश वापस लाने के लिए भावेशित विदेशो मुद्राके राशि
% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 <u>0</u>	पार्टी का नाम धौ र पता	ख उपयुंक्त के वि० मु० थि० भ्रधि- नियम के उपबद्ध, जिन	प्रमुपार त्य थनिणीत ————————————————————————————————————	व्यक्ति भारताय या / भीर विदेशी करेती ही प्राद-	निए मादेशित विदेशो

1	2	3	4	5	6
2.	मैस से एम० एस० मार्जारा 3, माधोपुर्र, णुवियामा	12(2)	1 5,000		
3.	र्थः मबीमचंद नवलचन्द झावेरी मैससे नर्वः नचलचन्द एंड कं० 21-ए एगियारी लेल, बस्मई-3	9(1)(ध) 5(1)(ग)	10,000		**
4.	की कें जी देसाई सलेगा निकास, वपतरीं रोड सलाब ईस्ट वस्टाई-	4(1)	15,000	द्रालर 1,278.50	
5.	की बी॰ पी॰ पटेल मैससै बी॰ पी॰ पटेल एंड कं॰ के प्रोपराइटर 187, प्रिसेस स्ट्रीट, बम्बर्ग-400002	12(2)	1,50,000		
6	. सर्वेश्वी केवलराम मूलचन्द झानन्त, किशनलाल मूलचंव मानंद, रमनलाल केवलराम झानंद, मदनलाल सी० झानंद श्रीमती गांति देवी मैसर्स लाल एंड कॅं० के सभी भागीदार 117-119,काजी सैयद स्ट्रीट,कम्बई-3	12(2)	25,000		~- <u>-</u> -
7	. श्री हवीबखान रहःमधान पटाम प्रोपराइटर मैससे एच० भार० ट्रेडसै 1963 एंड 1974, हेरामुसाली पोल पानी श्रीभा, बहुमदाबाद	वि॰ मु॰ वि॰ मिमिनियम 1947 का 12(2) 18(2)	70,250	_	~~
		18(1) 14			
8	 (i) मैससे स्यू इंडिया फाटन बेस्ट कार्पेरेकन नृषद्दीन चेस्वर्स चौथा तल 87-वी, फेंच स्ट्रीट शस्वई- (ii) श्री पदमजी मुरारजी वाउच भागीवार (iii) श्री नवीनजन्द वीसाजी मागीवार 	12(2)	10,000		
9	(*) A *	12(2) घौर 10 (1)	1,00,000		
10	. स्त्री अहमद जमन 37, रातु सरकार लेम कलकत्ता	5(1)(平)(新0)	40,000		
11	. भी एस० गणेशन साज्य स्ट्रीट, पाथुर कोराडाचरी पो० भा० ब्री मामिसम साल्युक संजीर	5(1)(क्क) 5(1)(ग) 9(1)(ख) 9(1)(अ)	17,800		più gyan
12	श्री ए० के० एम० गीविवास्वामी 33, सोसायधी स्ट्रीट विविश्वल, मब्	5 (1) (布布)	2,500	५० 10,000	
13	. एस० ईवायायुल्ला 4/109-6-1, निदूर पो० भा० मपुरम ताल्लुक जिला-तंजीर	9(1)(*)	25,000		20 cd
14.	श्री जयंतीलाल मानकचन्य गोधी 68 एफ, नेपयन सी रोड बम्बई-6	5 (1) (কক)	20,000		-

1	2	3	4	5	6
5.	भी मब्बुल जलील	5(1)(新昭)	20,000	₹0 4,04,950	
	गुडायुविल्ला बीब्	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
	ग्राम⊸६डावा				
	जिला—किर्वेद्रम				
16.	श्री नाग्	5(1)(略略)		च॰ 66,000	
	चिट्टाराको ट्टार्च				
	रामगव				
ı 7 .	श्री ओम प्रकाश	9(1)(ব)	कोई पैनास्टी नहीं	च० 15,000	
	नं० 36, मुकेव	. , , ,			
	नास्लामुथु स्ट्रीट				
	मद्रास-1				
8	श्री के॰ इदाहम	5 (1) (新術)	20,000	- -	
	जिसाराममव	5(1)(π)			
		9(1)(%)			
		9(1)(Ψ)			
₽.	त्रीमती मारियम्मा वान् स्वरुक्तार होते सर्	6 (1) (भाषा)	15,000		
	कुट्टापुभ्रा पो॰ मा॰ तिस्बेल्ला पो॰ मा॰				
_		(-)			
0	मैंसर्स योजावुर एक्सपोर्ट कोपॉरियन	12(2)	25,000		- mark Sales
	मं• 36, मोधिराप्पा चावडी				
	र्तजोर	. / . \			
1.	बीमती एस० पी० पुन्वरावकी श्रवी	4(1)	10,000		
	ए० सिरुवायल				
_	र्मनव	- (-) ()	10.000		
2.	श्रीमती वेद्यवनाई, बी1 41	5(1)(事事)	10,000		
_	कुनाराविलास, क्रिपी18	a / - \ / \ !	40.000		
3.	रैव० फावर टी० एन० कोशी विकवास्ताः	9(1)(1)	38,000		
		9(1)(軒)	N.		
	are (alternative and a constraint of the first	8(1) धौ र 5(1) (ग			बैक खा ता बंद फ रन।
14.	बा॰ (श्रीमती) एसीन ठाकुर, परनी बा॰ का मेश्वर ठाकुर सिविश	[19/3 m] 14	15,000		मीरशेष राशितथा
	मासिसटेंट सर्जन गार्चेन बाग प्रस्पताल पटना बिहार				ज्ञस पर उपाणित
					क्याज को स्थवेश
					वापस साना।
	<u> </u>				बानस साना ।
2 5.	मेसर्स खोम सिंह राजेंद्र सिंह	1947 47 12(2)	1,00,000		
	इन्पोर्टर एक्सपोर्टर				
	मजीठ मंदी				
	पेजाब	o/ - \ (= \	44.44-		
4 6.	भी सुरेन्द्र सिंह, सुपुत्र श्री मेहंगा सिंह	9(1)(박)	11,000	- -	
	प्राम माहिल गैं ला रिक्ता				
	जिलाजालंधर	- # . \ /: A			
27.	श्रीमती गुरमीत कीर पत्नी श्री मेहंगा सिंह	9(1)(4)	10,000	•	
	षाममाहिल गैला				
	जिला जालंधर				
28.	भी मेहंगा सिंह सुपुत्र भी साधु सिंह	9(1)(ष)	1 1,000		
	ग्राम माहिल गैला				
	जिला−−ज(लंधर				
29.	श्री भाग सिंह सुपुत्र श्री सरतार सिंह	5 (1) (ग)	16,000		
	थामकोने-को-कला				
	जिला~- सुधियाना				
	जिला== नुविधाना				
30.	भी गुरमुख सुपुत्र भी बीवार सिंह	5(1)(क्क)	20,000		
30.		5 (1) (कक)	20,000		

[टी॰ 19/4/कोमार्ड/82] एम॰ एस॰ बिन्द्रा निवेशक

New Delhi, the 8th March, 1982

(F.E.R. ACT)

- S.O. 4443.—In pursuance of the Foreign Exchange Regulation (Publication of Names) Rules, 1975 made by the Central Government in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 12 of the Foreign Exchange Regulation Act, 1973 (46 of 1973) the Director of Enforcement hereby publishes below (for the three months from 7-8-77 to 6-11-77) the names and other particulars of ~
 - (A) perform who have been convicted by courts under action 56 of the Foreign Exchange Regulation Act, 1973 or convicted by courts for contravention of any of the provisions specified in sub-section (1) of the Section 23 of F.E.R. Act, 1947, and
 - (3) persons who have been adjudged as hable to penalty by the adjudicating officers under Section 50 of the Foreign Exchange Regulation Act, 1973 or adjudged by the Director of Enforcement or any other officer of Enforcement authorised to exercise the powers and discharge the duties of the Director of Enforcement in this behalf, to have contravened any of the provisions specified in sub-section (1) of the Section 23 of the F.E.R. Act, 1947, where
 - (i) the person had, on a previous occasion, been similarly adjudged or convicted; or
 - (ii) the penalty imposed together with the value of the Indian Currency or foreign exchange ordered to be confiscated, by the adjudicating officer is R., 10,000/- or above.

7-8-77 to 6-11-77 A PERSONS CONVICTED AS ABOVE

S. Name and address of the Party No.	Provisions of the F.E R A. contravened	Particulars of seatonce awarded	Particulars of confiscation ordered under section 23(IB) of the FERA 1947 by the Court	Particulars of directions re- garding bring- ing back into India of the Foreign Ex- change
1 2	3	4		6

_____NIL,______

7-8-77 to 6-11-77 B. PERSONS ADJUDGED, AS ABOVE

S N	Name and address of the party	Provisions of F.E.R.A. contravened	Penalty in Rs.	Indian or/and Forcign Currency ordered to be confiscated	Amount of foreign ex- change ordered to be repat- riated
1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Shri Sadhu Singh S/o Shri Bhagwan Singh, Vill. & P.O Cheema Khurd, Teh: Phillaur, District Jullundur.	5(1)(aa)	15,000		
2.	M/s. S.S. Marjara, 3, Madhopuri, Ludhiana.	12(2)	15,000	_	_
3.	Shri Navinchand Navalchand Jhaveri, M/s. Navinchand Navalchand & Co., 21-A, Agiari Lane, Bombay-3.	9(1)(d) 5(1)(e)	10,000		
4.	Shri K. G. Desai, Sailesh Niwas, Daftry Road, Malad East, Bombay.	4(1)	\$15,000	1,278 50	1
5.	Shri B.P. Patel, Prop of M/s. B.P. Patel & Co., 187, Princess Street, Bombay-400002.	12(2)	1,50,000		-
6.	S/Shri Kewalram Mulchand Anand, Kishanlal Mulchand Anand, Ramanlal Kewalram Anand, Madanlal C. Anand, Smt. Shanti Devi, all partners of M/s. Lal & Co., 117-119, Kazi Sayed Street, Bombay-3.	12(2)	25,000		_
7.	Shri Habibkhan Rahimkhan Pathan, Prop M/s. H.R. Traders, 1963 & 1974, Heramusah Pole, Panes Kuwaa, Ahmedabad.	12(2) of F.E.R Act, 1947 18(2) 18(1) 14	70,250	~	_
8.	(i) M/s. New India Cotton Waster Corporation, Noorudin Chamber, 4th Floor, 87-B, French Street, Bombay. (ii) Shri Padamji Noorarji Daud, Partner (iii) Shri Navinchand Visaji, Partner,	12(2)	10,000	_	<u> </u>

7-8-77 to 6-11-77						
1	2	3	4 .	5	6	
9.	(i) M/s. Chandra Exporting House, Room No. 28, 2nd Floor, Ali Chambers, Madras Street, Bombay.	&	1 10,000			
	(ii) Shi M.V. Thakkar C/o M/s. Madhavdas & Sons,	10(1)				
Bombay-3. 10. Shri Ahmed Zaman, 37, Ratu Sarkar Lane, Calcutta. 11. S. Ganessan South Street, Pathur, Koradachri P.O.		5(1)(e)(i) 5(1)(aa)	40,000			
	Naudilam Taluk, Tanjore.	5(1)(c) 9(1)(b)				
		9(1)(b)	17,800			
2.	A.K.M. Govindasamy, 33, Society Street, Dindigul, Madurai.	5(1)(aa)	2,500	10,000	^	
3.	S. Idayathulla, 4'109-6-1, Nidoor P.O, Mayuram Taluk, Tanjore District.	9(1)(b)	25,000 /	antoning		
4.	Shri Jayantilal Manakchand Gandhi, 68F, Napean Sea Road, Bombay-6.	5(1)(aa)	20,000	Server		
	Shri Abdul Jaleel, Thudathuvilla Veedu, Edava Village, Trivandrum District.		20,000	4,04,950		
	Shri Nagu, Chittarakottai, Ramnad. Shri Om Prakash, No. 36, Mukev, Nallamuthu Street,	5(1)(aa) 9(1)(b)	No penalty	66,000 15,000		
	Madras-1.	2(2)(2)	I to positive	12,000		
•	Shri K. Ibraham, Ramnad District.	5(1)(aa) 5(1)(c)	20,000	united		
		9(1)(b) 9(1)(d)				
	S. A. Marianna Chan W. Marula D.O. Primalla	9(1)	4 # 00 #			
٠.	Smt. Mariamma Thanu, Kuttapuzha P.O., Tiruvella P.O.	Σ(1)(aa)	15,000			
	M/s, Thanjavur Expor Corpn; No. 36, Mothirappa Chavady, Tanjore.	12(2)	25,000			
	Smt. S.P. Sundaravalli Achi, A. Siruvayal, Ramnad.	4(1)	10,000	name of the same o		
	Smt. Deivanai, B-141, Kunaravilas, Trichy-18.	5(1)(aa)	10,000	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
•	Rev. Fr. T.N. Koshy, Thiruvalla.	9(1)(b) 9(1)(a) 8(1) &	38,000	de-manage		
		5(1)(c)				
	Dr. (Mrs.) Eileen Thakur, wife of Dr. Kameshwar Thakur, Civil Assistant Surgeon, Gardinbagh Hospital, Patna, Bihar	14 of 1973	15,000	1	To close to bank a/c. a to repatriate to balance	
					nterest accru	
	M/s. Khem Singh Rajinder Singh, Importer Exporter, Majith Mandi, Punjab.	12(2) of 1947	1,00,000			
1	Shri Surinder Singh S/o Shri Mehanga Singh, Vill. Mahil Gaila, District Jullundur.	9(1)(d)	11,000	-		
	Smt. Gurmeet Kaur W/o Shri Mehanga Singh, Vill. Mahil Gaila, District Jullundur.	9(1)(d)	10,000	majna		
	Shri Mehanga Singh S/o Shri Sadhu Singh, Vill. Mahil Gaila, District Jullundur.	9(1)(d)	11,000	- September 1		
	Shri Bhag Singh S/o Shri Kartar Singh Vill. Kone-ko-Kalan, District Ludhiana.	5(1)(c)	16,000	addiguna		
	Shri Gurmukh S/o Didar Singh, Vill. Mazari, P.O. Banga, District Jullundur.	5(1)(aa)	20,000		-	

[T. 19/4/Coord/82] M. S. BINDRA, Director